



Home Office

Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014:

Anti-social behaviour powers Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

Revised in October 2026



© Crown copyright 2021

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3 or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/anti-social-behaviour-crime-and-policing-bill-anti-social-behaviour>

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to :
ASBLegislation@homeoffice.gov.uk

Contents

Contents	3
Introduction	4
Part 1: Putting the victim first	6
1.1 The ASB Case Review (formerly known as the Community Trigger)	10
1.2 The Local Policing Body Review	26
1.3 Community Remedy	38
Part 2: More effective powers	44
2.1 Respect Orders, Youth Injunction, Housing Injunction	52
2.2 Criminal Behaviour Order	80
2.3 Dispersal Power	87
2.4 Community Protection Notice	93
2.5 Public Spaces Protection Order	108
2.6 Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order (E-PSPO)	122
2.7 Closure Power	126
2.8 Absolute ground for possession	135
Annex A- Data sharing guidance	142
Annex B – Example risk assessment	145

Introduction

About this Guidance

The Home Office first published this statutory guidance in July 2014; its aim was to enable the effective use of new powers to tackle anti-social behaviour that were introduced through the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 ('the 2014 Act'). These powers are flexible and local in nature, as those who work within, and for, local communities are best placed to understand what is driving the behaviour in question, the impact that it is having, and to determine the most appropriate response. This guidance is intended to assist the police, local authorities and other local agencies who exercise functions under the 2014 Act to respond to instances of anti-social behaviour in their local areas.

The Guidance was first revised in December 2017 to reflect developments since the 2014 Act came into force, to ensure that there was a greater focus on the impact of anti-social behaviour on victims and on their needs, and to emphasise the need to ensure that relevant legal tests are met to trigger the use of the powers. The Guidance was further revised in January 2021 to reflect the Sentencing Code, which is a product of the Sentencing Act 2020, to update references to legislation, including to the 2014 Act, and to clarify the availability of the powers in the 2014 Act in certain circumstances. The guidance was then updated in July 2022 to include Expedited Public Spaces Protection Orders, which were introduced to protect the public from harm in the context of protests in the vicinity of schools, vaccination centres and NHS Test & Trace (T&T) sites in England or Test, Trace, Protect (TTP) sites in Wales. The guidance was updated in March 2023 to coincide with the launch of the previous government's ASB Action Plan and to promote greater and more consistent use of the powers and tools. It was then updated in September 2025 to reflect recommendations made by the Victims' Commissioner outlined in her 2024 report: [Still Living a Nightmare](#).

This guidance is now being updated following the introduction of the Crime and Policing Act 2026.

This revised guidance is issued by the Secretary of State as statutory guidance under Parts 1-4 of the 2014 Act.

Summary

The first part of this guidance focuses specifically on putting victims at the heart of the response to anti-social behaviour. We know that, where left unchecked, anti-social behaviour can have an overwhelming impact on its victims and on the wider community. Therefore, the formal Anti-social Behaviour Case Review, formerly known as the Community Trigger, is an important safety net in ensuring that victims' voices are heard.

It is important that victims can easily access information about how to apply for a formal ASB Case Review and in what circumstances they can do so. We have previously made changes to the Guidance to emphasise the importance of victim representation during the ASB Case Review process and for independent perspectives to be involved in the review. The Community Remedy also gives victims a say in out-of-court punishments where the perpetrator of the anti-

social behaviour is dealt with through a community resolution disposal. It is important that local agencies are aware of the Community Remedy when dealing with cases of anti-social behaviour, and to consider what is the best outcome for all those involved. The [ASB Principles, available online](#), seek to describe a consistent approach to understanding and addressing Anti-social Behaviour (ASB) in local communities.

The Community Safety Accreditation Scheme (CSAS) is a voluntary scheme under which chief constables can choose to accredit those employed in roles that contribute to maintaining and improving community safety with limited but targeted powers. Chief Officers remain responsible for accreditation decisions; accredited persons must be appropriately trained; employers must provide supervision and complaints processes; and the use of powers should be monitored to ensure they remain lawful, proportionate and consistent. Those with accreditation should consider where their powers can be used to tackle anti-social behaviour. Following the commencement of the Crime and Policing Act 2026, powers include the ability for those accredited to issue fixed penalty notices for breaches of Community Protection Notices and Public Spaces Protection Orders. The maximum amount that may be specified in a fixed penalty notice for either breach is £500. The amount set should remain proportionate to the circumstances of the offence, and an authorised officer may specify a lower amount where appropriate.

The second part of the guidance focuses on the use of powers provided for in the 2014 Act. These are designed to be flexible to ensure that local agencies have the tools they need to respond to different forms of anti-social behaviour. The guidance sets out the legal tests that must be met before each of the powers can be used. The guidance emphasises the importance of ensuring that the powers are used appropriately to provide a proportionate response to the specific behaviour that is causing harm or nuisance without impacting adversely on behaviour that is neither unlawful nor anti-social.

Part 1: Putting the victim first

The impact on victims, communities and businesses

The legal tests that govern the use of the anti-social behaviour powers are focused on the impact that the behaviour is having, or is likely to have, on victims, communities and businesses. When considering the response to a complaint of anti-social behaviour, agencies must consider the effect that the behaviour in question is having on the lives of those subject to it. For example, agencies should recognise and consider the debilitating impact that persistent or repeated anti-social behaviour can have on its victims, and the cumulative impact if that behaviour persists over a period of time.

The legislation requires the relevant local agencies to be satisfied that the specific legal tests and safeguards set out in the legislation are met before the 2014 Act powers are used.

These tests are intended to help ensure the appropriate and proportionate use of the powers and that they are being used to target specific problems or specific circumstances. They also allow for preventative action to be taken, for agencies to intervene early to prevent problems from escalating, and in some instances for there to be a focus on tackling the underlying causes of the anti-social behaviour.

The response to anti-social behaviour may require collaborative working between different agencies to determine the most appropriate solution. Where a report or complaint is made to one agency, that lead agency should consider the potential role of others in providing a solution if they are not themselves able to take action. This will help to ensure that reports of anti-social behaviour are not inadvertently lost between the different reporting arrangements of different agencies. It may also help to provide a mechanism for considering the potential for engaging the wider community in finding solutions to specific local anti-social behaviour issues.

It is important to note that businesses and retailers can be affected by anti-social behaviour. The powers in the 2014 Act are available to relevant agencies when anti-social behaviour occurs in these settings.

The former Anti-social Behaviour Strategic Board developed a set of principles which seek to describe a consistent approach to understanding and addressing Anti-social Behaviour (ASB) in local communities. The principles are not intended to fetter local decision making but rather to act as a guide in seeking to deliver the best possible outcomes for victims of ASB. We strongly recommend that agencies refer to the following guiding principles:

1. Victims should be encouraged to report ASB and expect to be taken seriously. They should have clear ways to report, have access to help and support to recover, and be given the opportunity to choose restorative approaches to tackling ASB.
2. Agencies will have clear and transparent processes to ensure that victims can report ASB concerns, can understand how the matter will be investigated and are kept well informed of progress once a report is made.

3. Agencies and practitioners will work across boundaries to identify, assess and tackle ASB and its underlying causes. Referral pathways should be clearly set out between services and published locally. This includes pathways for the ASB Case Review and, where relevant, health services. Health partners may contribute to local arrangements by advising on health-related vulnerability, supporting appropriate referrals, providing relevant information where lawful and proportionate, or participating in reviews where health needs are directly relevant to the case.
4. The public's ASB concerns should always be considered both nationally and locally in strategic needs assessments for community safety. Best practice should be shared through a network of ASB experts within each community safety partnership, each policing area and nationally.
5. Adults and children who exhibit ASB should have the opportunity to take responsibility for their behaviour and repair the harm caused by it. Agencies should deliver appropriate interventions, which may include criminal justice options, based on the seriousness, risks and vulnerabilities of the case.

Giving victims a say

The Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 included two specific measures, designed to give victims and communities a say in the way that reports of anti-social behaviour are dealt with, and to help ensure that victims' voices are heard. These measures are:

- **the ASB Case Review (formerly known as the Community Trigger):** this gives victims of persistent anti-social behaviour the ability to demand a formal case review where the locally defined threshold is met, in order to determine whether there is further action that can be taken. The relevant bodies in the local area must agree on, and publish their ASB Case Review procedures; and
- **the Community Remedy:** this gives victims a say in the out-of-court punishment of perpetrators of anti-social behaviour when a community resolution, conditional caution or youth conditional caution is chosen as the most appropriate response.

The above measures are discussed in more detail below.

Exploitation, abuse and domestic abuse

Exploitation and abuse can sometimes be underlying factors in cases of ASB. While not always immediately visible, these issues may contribute significantly to the behaviours being reported. It is therefore helpful for agencies to remain mindful of these possibilities when assessing and responding to ASB.

Incorporating consideration of these factors into ASB risk assessments can support a more informed and proportionate response. This approach may help identify when someone is experiencing harm or vulnerability, rather than simply engaging in ASB. In such cases, more punitive responses may not always be the most appropriate or effective. Recognising signs of exploitation or abuse can help ensure that support and safeguarding interventions are also considered.

Where concerns arise, involving relevant partner agencies can help build a fuller understanding of the situation and reduce the risk of missing vital information. It may also help to avoid misidentifying victims of exploitation as perpetrators of ASB.

Agencies are encouraged to remain alert to the possibility that behaviours reported as ASB may, in fact, be indicators of wider safeguarding concerns. Prevalent forms of exploitation and abuse which may be underlying factors in ASB cases include domestic abuse and cuckooing/home takeover.

Domestic Abuse is...

In England and Wales, the [Domestic Abuse Act 2021](#) defines domestic abuse as any incident or pattern of abusive behaviour between individuals aged 16 or over who are personally connected. This includes those who are or have been intimate partners or family members, regardless of gender or sexuality.

Abusive behaviour includes, but is not limited to:

- Physical or sexual abuse
- Violent or threatening behaviour
- Controlling or coercive behaviour
- Economic abuse
- Psychological, emotional or other abuse

The abuse can be a single incident or a pattern of behaviour. The law recognises that domestic abuse can have a devastating and long-lasting impact on victims and their families, particularly children who witness it.

Cuckooing/home takeover is...

Cuckooing, also known as 'home takeover' or 'home invasion', is a form of criminal exploitation where a person's home is controlled and used for relevant criminal activity without valid consent. A new criminal offence of cuckooing (controlling another's home for criminal purposes) is included in the Crime and Policing Act 2026 and separate guidance on cuckooing will be published.


Victims of cuckooing are often vulnerable individuals who have been groomed and exploited into allowing their home to be taken over. They may not recognise themselves as victims and appear complicit in the ASB and criminality taking place in and around their home. Children and young people may also be criminally exploited to carry out cuckooing activity, particularly in connection with county lines drug dealing.

1.1 The ASB Case Review (formerly known as the Community Trigger)

Purpose	To give victims and communities the right to request a review of their case where a local threshold is met, and to bring agencies together to take a joined up, problem-solving approach to find a solution for the victim.
Relevant bodies and responsible authorities	Key bodies: • Councils. • Police. • Integrated Care Boards in England and Local Health Boards in Wales. • Registered providers of social housing who are co-opted into this group.
Threshold	At least three qualifying complaints in the previous six-month period. May also take account of: • the persistence of the anti-social behaviour; • the harm or potential harm caused by the anti-social behaviour; • the adequacy of the response to the anti-social behaviour. The key relevant bodies (listed above) must publish details of the procedure to ensure that victims are aware that they can apply in appropriate circumstances.
Details	When an ASB Case Review is requested, the relevant bodies must determine whether the threshold has been met and inform the victim of that decision. If the threshold is met: • a case review will be undertaken by the relevant bodies. They will share information related to the case, review what action has previously been taken and decide whether additional actions are possible. The local ASB Case Review procedure should clearly state the timescales in which the review will be undertaken; • the victim should be invited to participate in the Case Review process and have the opportunity to speak to the panel directly, whether this be in person or online. • the review will see the relevant bodies adopting a problem-solving approach to ensure that all the drivers and causes of the behaviour are identified and a solution sought, whilst ensuring that the victim receives appropriate support and is kept updated of progress; • the victim is informed of the outcome of the review. Where further actions are necessary an action plan will be discussed with the victim, including timescales. If the threshold is not met: • although the formal procedures will not be invoked, this does provide an opportunity for the relevant bodies to review the case to determine whether there is more that can be done. Agencies have a duty to publish specified data on the ASB Case Review at least every twelve months.
Who can use the ASB Case Review procedure?	• A victim of anti-social behaviour or another person acting on behalf of the victim with his or her consent, such as a carer or family member, Member of Parliament, local councillor or other professional. • The victim may be an individual, a business or a community group.
The legislation	Sections 104 and 105 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.

Protecting the vulnerable

The ASB Case Review provides an important safety net for victims of persistent anti-social behaviour and those who may be most vulnerable.



Purpose

The ASB Case Review is an important statutory safety net for victims of anti-social behaviour who believe they have not had an effective response to their reports of anti-social behaviour. Where a locally determined threshold is met, victims can by law require the relevant bodies in the local area to undertake a review of the case, and those bodies have a statutory duty to undertake that review. In addition to the victim, the ASB Case Review can be activated by a person on behalf of the victim who is aware of the circumstances and acts with the victim's consent. This might include a family member, friend, carer, councillor, Member of Parliament or other professional.

As a matter of good practice, relevant bodies should consider initiating a Case Review once the threshold has been met, even where the victim has not requested one. Local arrangements and working protocols should set out how agencies will identify cases where an agency-initiated review may be appropriate, particularly where a case is complex or protracted, the victim is vulnerable, or there may be barriers preventing them from requesting a review. This proactive approach can help ensure that repeated reports are considered collectively and that appropriate action is taken before the situation escalates. Any decision should take account of local systems and operational capacity.

Receiving an ASB Case Review application should not be perceived by agencies as a complaint about their work but as an opportunity to find a solution for the victim(s) of the anti-social behaviour.

Putting victims first: The ASB Case Review an important safety net for victims of persistent anti-social behaviour. It provides a mechanism to ensure that their case is reviewed in order to secure a satisfactory resolution. The legislation requires the relevant local agencies to determine a local threshold for triggering the Case Review procedures. It is important that these agencies ensure that victims are aware of the procedures, the circumstances in which they can apply for a formal review, and how to do so. Consideration should always be given on how victims can best express the impact that the anti-social behaviour has had on their lives, including attendance at relevant meetings.

Who are the relevant bodies?

The relevant bodies in any area are those organisations listed below who must have an ASB Case Review procedure in place and who must undertake a case review when a person asks for one and the local threshold is met. Local providers of social housing are not required to operate separate ASB Case Review procedures unless this is agreed locally, but should be involved in local arrangements where their role, tenancy management responsibilities or knowledge of the case makes their participation appropriate. The relevant bodies are:

- the district council, unitary authority or relevant London borough council for the area;
- the police force covering the area;
- the relevant integrated care board in England or local health board in Wales; and
- local providers of social housing who are co-opted into local arrangements, where their involvement is appropriate to the case or local partnership model.

Co-option of social housing providers should be agreed through local ASB Case Review arrangements and should set out when and how providers will participate, including information sharing, attendance at review meetings and contribution to action plans. Participation should reflect the provider's role in the case, including where the behaviour affects tenants, leaseholders, residents, housing management functions or neighbourhoods in which the provider has relevant responsibilities.

Involving Local Policing Bodies and wider agencies

The Local Policing Body must be consulted when the ASB Case Review procedure is set up and whenever it is reviewed. Relevant bodies should also consult and share the procedure with the local Community Safety Partnership (CSP) and other relevant local partners, recognising the CSP's strategic role in coordinating local responses to anti-social behaviour and, in some areas, its role in escalation or appeal arrangements. This will help

ensure the procedure is aligned with existing local arrangements and the Local Policing Body's role.

Local Policing Bodies should have an active oversight role in the ASB Case Review process, helping to provide assurance to victims that local procedures are being followed and that relevant bodies are held to account for the quality and consistency of their response. Local arrangements should set out how the Local Policing Body will be involved, which may include:

- auditing a proportionate sample of previous case reviews to assess whether the published procedure was followed and whether recommendations and action plans were progressed;
- attending ASB Case Review meetings as an independent party where this would strengthen scrutiny, transparency or victim confidence;
- convening the relevant bodies to undertake the ASB Case Review where this is agreed through local arrangements;
- identifying and sharing good practice, and working with relevant bodies to ensure that agreed improvements are adopted and embedded in local procedures.

Local Policing Bodies should liaise with the relevant bodies before becoming directly involved in the procedure. Local Policing Bodies also have responsibilities for the commissioning of victims' services and consideration should be given to how to ensure that local agencies consider how the victim is supported as part of the process. They should take a constructive, collaborative approach with partners, and noting where areas are devolved and where other partners have specific expertise to contribute. The Local Policing Body should also be aware of the local appeals process and determine how they are to interact with it.

In addition, the Crime and Policing Act 2026 introduced further statutory requirements for Local Policing Bodies in relation to the ASB Case Review. This includes promoting awareness of the ASB Case Review in their police force area and providing a route for victims to review decisions via the LPB office. Local Policing Bodies should ensure that those involved in this process understand local ASB Case Review procedures, the statutory threshold and the information to be considered when reviewing decisions, so that queries are handled consistently and informed decisions can be made. These new requirements are covered in detail in section 1.2 of this guidance below.

Relevant local health services, including Integrated Care Boards in England and Local Health Boards in Wales, may need to be involved where health-related vulnerability, mental health, substance misuse or other health needs are relevant to the case. Their role may include advising on appropriate referral pathways, contributing relevant information where lawful and proportionate, identifying existing support, or attending a review meeting where this would assist the review. Health partners are not expected to lead the ASB Case Review unless this

is agreed locally, but should work with relevant bodies to ensure health-related needs and risks are properly considered.

What must the relevant bodies do?

The relevant bodies listed above must:

- set the local threshold for activating the ASB Case Review;
- establish and publish arrangements for conducting ASB Case Reviews;
- undertake a formal Case Review where the local threshold is met; and
- publish the numbers of applications for the ASB Case Review and other information, as detailed on page 23.

Setting the local threshold

The relevant bodies should collectively agree an appropriate ASB Case Review threshold, having regard to the nature of anti-social behaviour and harm experienced by victims in their area.

The threshold must be **at least three** qualifying complaints of anti-social behaviour in a six-month period; this does not preclude those who exceed this threshold (e.g. by making more than three qualifying complaints within the six-month period) from having a Case Review conducted. For example:



Where a person makes an application for the ASB Case Review and has made at least the set number of qualifying complaints, the threshold for a review is met and the relevant bodies have a duty to undertake the ASB Case Review. This can be on an open or closed case.

The ASB Case Review should not be treated as a complaints process and the relevant bodies should use it as an opportunity to review whether further proportionate action is available, whether relevant powers and interventions have been properly considered, and whether the victim's concerns have been appropriately addressed. The process does not guarantee a particular outcome or require agencies to resolve every case through the review. It also does

not replace existing organisational complaints procedures, which should continue to be used for complaints about the service provided by an agency.

Qualifying reports

For the purposes of the ASB Case Review procedures, a qualifying report is:

- where the anti-social behaviour was reported within one month of the alleged behaviour taking place; and
- the application to use the ASB Case Review is made within six months of the report of anti-social behaviour.

Relevant bodies involved in these reviews may, where appropriate, set different thresholds to those set out above, provided that they do not make it more difficult for the victim to make a successful application. For example, setting the threshold at four qualifying reports, or requiring qualifying reports to have been made in a two-week period, would make it harder to meet the threshold. Likewise, relevant bodies should not include additional caveats in their threshold that make it harder to meet the threshold or prevent timely resolution of cases.

The requirement for the anti-social behaviour to be recent is to prevent more historical incidents of anti-social behaviour being used to invoke these procedures.

It is recommended that relevant agencies consider undertaking a Case Review when the threshold has been met even where the victim has not requested one. Local areas should have flexibility in how this recommendation is implemented, taking account of local systems, operational capacity and existing case management arrangements. Where automatic review is not practicable, agencies should nevertheless ensure that repeated reports are monitored and that victims are made aware of the ASB Case Review process where appropriate.

The definition of anti-social behaviour in this context is behaviour causing harassment, alarm or distress to a member or members of the public or businesses. When deciding whether the threshold is met, agencies should consider the cumulative effect of the incidents and consider the harm or potential harm caused to the victim, rather than rigidly deciding whether each incident reached the level of harassment, alarm, or distress.

It is important that relevant bodies ask victims about their assessment of the level of harm experienced, however this input should be considered as one part of a broader, objective evaluation. The purpose is to ensure that the impact on victims is fully understood and addressed, without prejudicing the rights of the alleged perpetrator. All decisions must continue to meet the legal thresholds set out in the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 and be based on a balanced assessment of all available evidence.

Similarly, although housing-related anti-social behaviour has a lower test of nuisance or annoyance for an injunction under Part 1 of the 2014 Act, in such instances because of the victim's inability to separate themselves from the anti-social behaviour, the harm experienced may well result in harassment, alarm or distress for the purposes of the ASB Case Review.

The ASB Case Review is specifically designed to deal with anti-social behaviour. However, anti-social behaviour can often be motivated by hate and the relevant bodies may wish to include reports of these incidents as part of their procedures.

Setting the threshold: additional considerations

In considering whether the threshold is met, the relevant bodies should have regard to:

- the persistence of the anti-social behaviour;
- the harm, or potential harm, caused by the anti-social behaviour; and
- the adequacy of the response from agencies.

The harm, or the potential for harm to be caused to the victim, is an important consideration in determining whether the threshold is met because the more vulnerable will be less resilient to anti-social behaviour. People can be vulnerable for various reasons, and vulnerability or resilience can vary over time depending on personal circumstances and the nature of the anti social behaviour. The relevant bodies should use their risk assessment procedures as part of the decision on whether the threshold is met. Risk assessment matrices cannot provide a definitive assessment of someone's needs, but they will assist agencies in judging an appropriate response. It may be beneficial for the relevant bodies to adopt a common risk assessment matrix, or to have an agreed matrix for the purposes of the ASB Case Review.

ASB can sometimes be an indicator of other related issues. During this risk assessment, if any vulnerabilities or types of abuse are identified such as domestic abuse, cuckooing (non consensual control of a property for relevant criminal purpose) or mental health concerns for either the victim or the perpetrator then the relevant local service should be notified and have the opportunity to be involved in the Case Review process.

Cases where there are repeated applications by people which, on investigation, relate to non- anti-social behaviour matters may be indicative of an underlying vulnerability, or unmet need. Consequently, even where the threshold is not met, local agencies may wish to consider the possibility of hidden needs or risks which may require a response from a particular agency.

Behaviour which falls below the level of harassment, alarm, or distress, may not meet the threshold, but when assessed on the grounds of potential harm to the victim, the impact of the behaviour may be such that the threshold is considered to be met.

Where a victim is considered particularly vulnerable, relevant bodies should consider whether additional practical and emotional support can be offered. In accordance with the Victims' Code (2023), a person is entitled to support if they have suffered harm as a result of criminal conduct, regardless of whether the incident is formally recorded as a criminal offence or whether charges are pursued. This ensures that victims can access support services even if the behaviour is initially treated as ASB rather than an offence. If the incident has been reported to the police, the victim must be referred to local victim support services. Where it has not been reported, victims should still be made aware of how to access such services independently.

Where a reported incident does not amount to a criminal offence and a referral to police-commissioned victim support services is not available, police forces should still ensure the victim is given clear information about alternative local sources of support, including relevant local authority,

housing, ASB casework, health, safeguarding or voluntary sector services, and should consider whether a multi-agency response is needed to address any ongoing risk, vulnerability or harm.

Publishing the ASB Case Review procedure

The relevant bodies must publish the ASB Case Review procedure to ensure that victims are aware that they can apply to activate the procedures in appropriate circumstances.

Consideration should be given to where this information is published and how accessible the information is. For example, the title 'ASB Case Review' in isolation may not be sufficient of itself to alert victims to the purpose of the procedures. The best way of reaching audiences is to clearly link ASB Case Review information to broader information on reporting and responding to anti-social behaviour, and making it clear that the procedure is about seeking a review of the case.

The relevant bodies should decide an appropriate method and format for publicising the procedure, taking account of the needs of the local community. The information should be provided on the websites of all the relevant bodies, signposting the public to the lead agency's website, a point of contact and the procedures for activating the process. Consideration should be given to whether it is appropriate to translate the information into different languages.

Agencies should take reasonable steps to explain the ASB Case Review process to victims where repeated reports indicate that the threshold may be met or may soon be met. Local areas should decide how best to provide this information in a proportionate and operationally deliverable way, including through signposting in correspondence, websites or case updates. This should explain the victim's right to request a Case Review, how to challenge the threshold decision, and how to challenge the outcome of the Case Review. In many areas, the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner or wider Local Policing Body arrangements may provide an additional route for victims who are dissatisfied with the outcome of a Case Review, or who wish to challenge whether the threshold for review was met, to have a fresh perspective.

It is important that the Case Review is accessible and that victims have various methods to apply for one. For example, where the victim might not have access to the internet or a smartphone, they may prefer to make an application over the phone or may need assistance with making the application. Agencies should raise awareness of the different methods to apply for the Case Review and communicate this effectively to the victim.

Publishing a point of contact

The published information on the ASB Case Review must include a point of contact for making an application. When publishing the point of contact it is good practice to provide a telephone number, email address, postal address, printable form, and a form which can be completed online.

Putting victims first: Using the ASB Case Review should be made as straightforward as possible for victims of anti-social behaviour

It is good practice to have several methods to contact an agency, recognising that some victims may feel more comfortable contacting one agency than another, or may not have access to the internet or, in the case of issues involving neighbours, may be reluctant to use the telephone for fear of being overheard. The ASB Case Review can be used by any person, and agencies should consider how to make it as accessible as possible to young people, those who are vulnerable, have learning difficulties or do not speak English.

The ASB Case Review procedure

The relevant bodies must work together to devise and agree the procedure for the ASB Case Review. The procedure should ensure that the review looks at what action has previously been taken in response to the victim's reports of anti-social behaviour. It must also include provision for a person to request a review of the way that their application for a previous ASB Case Review has been dealt with, and the way in which the review was carried out.

When setting up the procedure, the relevant bodies should consider how the ASB Case Review can be built into existing processes. Many areas already have regular multi-agency meetings to discuss cases of anti-social behaviour, including Community Safety Partnership meetings. These may be suitable forums to undertake the case review. Alternatively, the relevant bodies may decide that it is more appropriate to have a separate forum to discuss ASB Case Review applications. The Local Policing Body may also want to be responsible for convening the relevant bodies when a ASB Case Review application is received. Where the perpetrator is under the age of 18, the youth offending team should be invited to attend the review.

Local areas should consider appointing an appropriately trained independent lead to chair ASB Case Review meetings, particularly where most agency representatives have had prior involvement in the case. This can help provide objective scrutiny, strengthen confidence in the process and ensure that the review benefits from an external or fresh perspective.

The case review should not include a review of any decisions made by the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS). If a victim is not satisfied with a decision made by the CPS, they should refer to the CPS complaints process, and the Victims' Right to Review Scheme. The latter makes it easier for victims to seek a review of a CPS decision not to bring charges against a suspect or to terminate proceedings, in relation to decisions made after 5 June 2013.

Putting victims first: Relevant bodies should keep the victim updated throughout the **Case Review process** and should ensure that the victim has a single point of contact to liaise with throughout the ASB Case Review process to keep them updated, including notifying them when and why a case is going to be closed. Ideally this person would be from the lead agency conducting the Case Review, with the authority to liaise with all relevant agencies. By having a single point of contact, victims are more likely to feel informed and supported through the Case Review which can help in achieving a resolution.

The relevant body should allow the victim to choose their level of involvement and always invite the victim to attend a section of the case review meeting (in person or virtually) to help members of the panel understand the level of harm and impact the behaviour has had on them. In some cases, the victim may prefer that a third party (e.g. councillor or MP) represent them and explain the impact the ASB is having on them. If the victim is not able to attend themselves, it is always good practice to have somebody involved in the case review, such as a caseworker from a victim support organisation to attend a meeting to represent the victim, The victim should also be given the opportunity to submit a written impact statement detailing the impact of the anti-social behaviour on them.

A standard ASB Case Review procedure

Each area should agree a procedure that suits the needs of victims and communities in their area. However, the basic outline of that procedure is likely to include the following steps:

- a victim of anti-social behaviour (or someone acting on their behalf) makes an application to use the ASB Case Review;
 - the lead agency decides whether the threshold is met; the lead agency engages with all other relevant agencies and brings them together to share information about the case, consider whether any new relevant information needs to be obtained, review previous actions taken and propose a response. If there are additional vulnerability concerns such as domestic abuse or mental health concerns, then the relevant local service should be alerted.
 - the victim is invited to set out the impact of the ASB on themselves and their family and are given the opportunity to speak to the panel directly, whether this be in person or online.
 - The victim is kept informed throughout the process, preferably via a single point of contact from the lead agency. The victim is informed of the outcome, or agencies will work with the victim to devise and implement an action plan;
 - if necessary, escalation and review.

Sharing information

The effective operation of the ASB Case Review requires that the relevant bodies must share information for the purpose of carrying out the review. This may include details of previous complaints made by the victim, information about the effect the issue has had on others and details of what action has previously been taken. Relevant bodies should therefore have agreements in place for information sharing, risk assessments and a common understanding of the aims of the ASB Case Review. Victims also need to give consent for information about them to be collected and shared between agencies. It is good practice to also share relevant information on the ASB Case Review with the Local Policing Body.

The relevant bodies may request any person to disclose information for the purpose of the case review. If the request is made to a person who exercises public functions and they possess the information, they must disclose it. The only exception to that is where to share the information, which would be either:

- a disclosure of personal data in contravention of any of the provisions of the data protection legislation¹ which are not exempt from those provisions, or
- a disclosure which is prohibited by any of Parts 1 to 7 or Chapter 1 of Part 9 of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016.

¹ This expression has the same meaning as set out in section 3(9) of the Data Protection Act 2018.

Other than these two exceptions, disclosing information for the ASB Case Review does not breach any obligation of confidence or any other restriction on the disclosure of information.

Sharing information: housing providers

Housing providers undertake several functions, including some that are public in nature and some that are not. If a request is made in relation to functions that are public in nature, the ASB Case Review information-sharing duty applies. This applies to housing providers who are co-opted into the group of relevant bodies as well as those who are not. This sits alongside wider information-sharing gateways, including section 115 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, where disclosure is necessary or expedient for the purposes of any provision under the Act and is compliant with data protection legislation.

Sharing information

In England, the Regulator of Social Housing's Regulatory Framework, Neighbourhood and Community Standard, requires registered housing providers to:

- co-operate with relevant partners to help improve social, environmental, and economic wellbeing in areas where they own properties; and
- work in partnership with other agencies to prevent and tackle anti-social behaviour in the neighbourhoods where they own homes.

Making Recommendations / Action Plan

The relevant bodies who undertake the review may give actions to other agencies. The legislation places a duty on a person who carries out public functions to have regard to those recommendations. This means that they are not obliged to carry out the actions, but that they should acknowledge them, and they should be challenged if they choose not to carry them out without good reason, particularly where vulnerabilities exist. It is good practice for the relevant bodies to keep the victim informed on how they are carrying out the recommendations and monitor case progression. Where recommendations cannot be actioned, agencies should provide full reasons to the victim.

The recommendations are likely to take the form of an action plan to resolve the anti-social behaviour. Whenever possible, the relevant bodies should involve the victim in devising the action plan to help ensure that it meets the victim's needs. The relevant bodies will not be able to recommend the CPS take action, as it operates independently under the superintendence of the Attorney General and must make decisions in accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors.

Responding to the victim

The 2014 Act places a duty on the relevant bodies to respond to the applicant at particular points in the process. These include when:

- the decision as to whether or not the threshold is met;
- the outcome of the review is decided; and
- any recommendations are made as an outcome of the review.

The relevant bodies may wish to provide the victim with a suitable contact for their ease of communication about the progress of the review.

The relevant bodies should agree as part of the procedure whether one agency will communicate with all victims, or whether an appropriate agency will lead in a specific case. In some instances, people who make use of the ASB Case Review procedure may feel that they have previously been let down by agencies, so it is important that they receive timely and consistent communication regarding their case.

When communicating with victims, local agencies should consider victim support issues, including considering any existing vulnerabilities, and whether they could benefit from being signposted or referred to local victims' services.

Publishing data requirements:

The legislation states that relevant bodies must publish information covering:

- **the number of applications for the ASB Case Review received;**
- **the number of times the threshold for review was not met;**
- **the number of ASB Case Review case reviews carried out; and**
- **the number of ASB Case Review case reviews that resulted in recommendations being made.**

This data can represent the whole area; it does not need to be broken down by relevant body. One relevant body can publish the information on behalf of all the relevant bodies in the area.

This is a statutory requirement which all relevant bodies must adhere to. The data must be published at least every twelve months, although the relevant bodies may wish to publish data more frequently, or to publish additional details. For example, the relevant bodies may publish information about which area applications came from, or the agencies that they related to, if this information is useful to communities and victims. Published information must not include details which could identify victims.

Hate Crime

In England and Wales, the National Police Chiefs' Council and Crown Prosecution Service define hate crime as *“any criminal offence which is perceived by the victim or any other person, to be motivated by hostility or prejudice based on a person's race or perceived race; religion or perceived religion; sexual orientation or perceived sexual orientation; disability or perceived disability and any crime motivated by hostility or prejudice against a person who is transgender or perceived to be transgender.”*

Any crime can be prosecuted as a hate crime if the offender has either demonstrated hostility based on race, religion, disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity or been motivated by hostility based on race, religion, disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity.

Hate crime may initially manifest itself as anti-social behaviour, but upon investigation is found to have targeted an intrinsic part of the victim's identity (e.g. their race, religion, sexual orientation, disability or transgender identity). A successful hate crime prosecution where evidence of hostility is accepted by the court will attract an increased sentence.

Hate crime law in England and Wales spans four pieces of legislation:

- I. The Aggravated Offences, set out in sections 28 to 32 Crime and Disorder Act 1998, cover race and religion. These offences mirror certain non-hate crime equivalent offences (that is: assault, criminal damage, public order offences or harassment) but with higher maximum sentences. An offender is charged with these (e.g. 'racially-aggravated assault'), instead of non-hate crime versions, where there is proof of racial or religious hostility on the part of the offender;
- II. Sentencing uplifts, set out in section 66 Sentencing Act 2020, apply to all five protected characteristics and provide a court with direction to impose more severe penalties within the existing maximum sentence thresholds for the underlying non-hate crime (e.g. an uplift may be added to a 'standard' criminal damage sentence) where there is evidence of hostility. The underlying offence convicted would still be a non-hate crime;
- III. The Stirring Up Offences, set out in sections 17 to 29N Public Order Act 1986, cover race, religion and sexual orientation. The offences relating to religion and sexual orientation deal with conduct (either words or behaviour) or material which is threatening in nature, and which is intended to stir up hatred. The racial hatred offences cover a wider range of conduct (either words or behaviour) or material which is threatening, abusive or insulting, and which is intended or likely to stir up hatred.
- IV. Indecent or racist chanting at football matches, set out in section 3 of the Football (Offences) Act 1991. The offence of racist chanting applies where chanting is threatening, abusive, or insulting by reason of race, citizenship, ethnic or national origins. The offence of indecent chanting is broader and applies to chanting that is grossly offensive or obscene. This can, in practice, capture other forms of abusive chanting, including homophobic or transphobic language, where it meets the required threshold.

The College of Policing produce operational guidance for forces on how to tackle hate crime. This can be found at [Hate crime | College of Policing](#). Other resources can be found on the police hate crime website True Vision (www.report-it.org.uk). In addition, the CPS hate crime home page (<https://www.cps.gov.uk/crime-info/hate-crime>) provides relevant policy, guidance and performance data as well as helpful information for victims.

Non-Crime Hate Incidents (NCHIs):

Please note that following the publication of the College of Policing and NPCC's review of NCHIs in March 2026, it is planned that they will cease to be recorded in their current form from early 2027. A new definition of "incident" will be introduced, with relevant cases recorded within anti-social behaviour reporting and identified with a prejudice qualifier. Further details will be set out in due course.

A non-crime hate incident (NCHI) is an incident that is motivated by hostility or prejudice towards someone because of their race, religion, disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity but which does not amount to a criminal offence. These incidents are recorded by the police to collect information on 'hate incidents' that could escalate into more serious harm or indicate heightened community tensions.

NCHI recording stems from the murder of Stephen Lawrence in 1993. The 1999 [Stephen Lawrence Inquiry Report](#) called for Codes of Practice to create "a comprehensive system of reporting and recording all racist incidents and crimes". NCHI recording has since expanded to cover all five protected characteristics covered by hate crime laws in England and Wales.

As provided for in the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act 2022, in 2023 the Government introduced the statutory Non-Crime Hate Incidents Code of Practice on the Recording and Retention of Personal Data in order to make the processes surrounding the recording and retention of personal data more transparent and subject to stronger safeguards. The new code came into effect on 3 June 2023 and applies to police forces across England and Wales. The code can be found here: [Non-crime hate incidents: code of practice - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)

Whilst recognising the operational importance of NCHI recording for the police in protecting vulnerable people and communities from harm, the code seeks to ensure that the police only record NCHIs when it is necessary and proportionate to do so and not simply because someone is offended. The code therefore introduces an additional threshold test which means that personal data may only be include in a NCHI record if the incident is clearly motivated by intentional hostility and where there is a real risk of either significant harm to individuals or groups or a future criminal offence being committed against individuals or groups.

The College of Policing updated its Authorised Professional Practice (APP) on NCHI recording for forces to align with the code. The updated APP came into effect on 3 June 2023 and can be found here: [Hate crime | College of Policing](#)

1.2 The Local Policing Body Review

Purpose	To give victims and communities the right to request a further review of their case by the Local Policing Body, where; - The relevant bodies determine that the threshold for carrying out an ASB Case Review was not met and an application is made to the LPB to review that decision. - The victim is dissatisfied with the outcome of the ASB Case Review and where locally set Grounds for Appeal are met.
Relevant bodies and responsible authorities	Key bodies: - Relevant Bodies, as defined in this Statutory Guidance The Local Policing Body is responsible for carrying out the LPB Review. This includes Police and Crime Commissioners in England and Wales, the Court of Common Council in the City of London, and the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime, where the Mayor or Deputy Mayor hold the responsibilities of a PCC.
Threshold	A Local Policing Body must carry out an LPB Review of a decision that the ASB Case Review threshold was not met, where: - An application for an ASB Case Review has been made by the victim or a person acting on their behalf and where a decision has been made by a Relevant Body that the threshold is not met and where an application to appeal the decision is received. A Local Policing Body must carry out a LPB Review, where: - An application for a LPB Review has been made by the applicant in relation to the ASB Case Review or a person acting on their behalf; - The LPB determines that the threshold for carrying out the ASB Case Review was met; and - The victim can demonstrate that the locally set Grounds for Appeal are met. A LPB may also carry out a LPB Case Review when no application has been made, if they feel it is appropriate and that the threshold for the initial ASB Case Review was met.
Details	The Local Policing Body must: - Establish the process for the LPB Review in their area, and it is good practice to ensure that those involved understand the relevant legislation, ASB Case Review procedures, local arrangements and the role of partner agencies. - Establish and publish details of the LPB Review procedures. The LPB procedures must include information on how to apply for an LPB Review, including where the applicant wishes to challenge a decision that the ASB Case Review threshold was not met, a point of contact, and provisions on evaluating and revising procedures. - Inform the applicant of the outcome of the LPB Review and any recommendations resulting from it. - Promote awareness of the ASB Case Review, including the procedures for the review, and how to make an application. - Promote awareness of the LPB Review, including the procedures for the review, and how to make an application. - Publish specified data relating to the LPB Review at least every twelve months.
Who can use the LPB Review procedure?	- A victim of anti-social behaviour or another person acting on behalf of the victim with his or her consent, such as a carer or family member, Member of Parliament, local councillor or other professional. - The victim may be an individual, a business or a community group.
The legislation	Sections 104A of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, as inserted by section 6 of the Crime and Policing Act 2026.

Purpose

The Local Policing Body (LPB) Review is a new process introduced through the Crime and Policing Act 2026. It places a statutory duty on Local Policing Bodies – including Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) – to review how local agencies in their area, such as councils or the police, have responded to victims of anti-social behaviour (ASB) who have requested an ASB Case Review.

Victims of anti-social behaviour will have the opportunity to escalate their case to the Local Policing Body where:

- The relevant bodies determine that the threshold for carrying out an ASB Case Review was not met and an application is made to the LPB to review that decision; or
- The victim is dissatisfied with the outcome of the ASB Case Review and where locally set grounds for appeal are met.

This is an important new safeguard that aims to ensure ASB victims' concerns have been properly considered, that statutory responsibilities have been fulfilled, and that appropriate action has been taken or considered. The LPB Review is also intended to provide accountability and oversight of the agencies involved in the ASB Case Review process. The LPB Review is intended to enable LPBs to provide an element of independence and additional perspective on the case and help to identify further solutions. It should focus on whether the relevant bodies properly considered the case, the threshold and available options, rather than guaranteeing that a specific outcome will be achieved.

Where a request has been made for a LPB Review, it should not be viewed by agencies as a complaint about their work, or how a case was handled. Instead, it should be seen as a further opportunity to revisit the case to work together to find a solution for the victim(s) of anti-social behaviour.

The core principles around ASB Case Reviews, as addressed under Section 1.1 should be applied when establishing and delivering the LPB Review process. This should include, but is not limited to:

- making it easier for victims to access the process and meet the thresholds.
- ensuring harm and vulnerability are key considerations when establishing and delivering LPB Review processes.
- the recognition that anti-social behaviour can often be motivated by hate.

It should not be more difficult for the victim to make a successful application, nor should it include additional caveats that make it harder to meet the requirements for an LPB Review.

Who is responsible for carrying out the LPB Review?

The Local Policing Body is responsible for carrying out the LPB Review for each local government area which falls within their police area, and local providers of social housing, as it considers appropriate. References in this guidance to Police and Crime Commissioners, Offices of Police and Crime Commissioners and Local Policing Bodies should be read in line with the legislation in force at the relevant time. If policing governance arrangements change, responsibilities should be understood as transferring to the body or office that assumes the relevant statutory functions.

Local Policing Body as defined in Section 96 the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011, means:

- Police and Crime Commissioners in England and Wales
- The Common Council in the City of London
- The Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime, for example in Greater London, Greater Manchester and West Yorkshire, where the Mayor or Deputy Mayor hold the responsibilities of a PCC.

Where a local government area falls within two or more police force areas, the LPBs must jointly carry out an LPB Review.

In Wales, Police and Crime Commissioners are Local Policing Bodies for the purposes of the LPB Review. Local areas in Wales should ensure that their procedures clearly explain how the LPB Review interacts with local ASB Case Review arrangements and any separate local appeal process, including how independence will be maintained where more than one route of review or challenge is available.

LPBs should ensure appropriate governance arrangements are in place to identify and manage actual or perceived conflicts of interest and to maintain independence between any local appeal arrangements, the original ASB Case Review and the LPB Review. This may be particularly relevant where representatives of organisations whose decisions are subject to review are involved in local governance structures, or where the LPB has had prior involvement in the case.

What will the Local Policing Body be required to do?

The Local Policing Body is required to agree a local LPB Review process, undertake reviews and feed back to the victim. They are also required to undertake a LPB Review where the defined legal threshold is met. In doing so, the Local Policing Body must determine and consider how the relevant agencies responded to the initial ASB Case Review, or the request for one. The purpose of the LPB Review is to determine whether appropriate steps were taken, whether the response was appropriate, and explore whether opportunities may have been missed or where further interventions may be required. The LPB can then make recommendations to the agencies involved in the ASB Case Review. The LPB may agree with the initial recommendations made by the relevant agencies and suggest no further action, or alternatively, may propose further or different recommendations.



The following are statutory requirements. The LPB must:

- Establish the LPB Review process in their police area.
- Establish and publish details of the LPB Review procedures. The LPB procedures must include information about how to make an application for a LPB case review, a point of contact, and provisions on evaluating and revising procedures.
- Undertake an LPB Review where an applicant challenges a decision that the ASB Case Review threshold was not met.
- Undertake the LPB Review where the Grounds for Appeal are met.
- Inform the victim of the outcome of the LPB Review and any recommendations resulting from it.
- Promote awareness of the ASB Case Review, including the procedures for the review, and how to make an application.
- Promote awareness of the LPB Review, including the procedures for the review, and how to make an application.
- Publish specified data relating to the LPB Review at least every twelve months (see page 36 for a breakdown of the required data)

Setting up the LPB Review

The Local Policing Body must establish and publish the LPB Review procedures. It is for LPBs to decide how to carry out the LPB Review, and the relevant procedures. Procedures **must**, however, as a minimum, include information on:

- How to apply for an LPB Review, including where the applicant wishes to challenge a decision that the ASB Case Review threshold was not met;
- A point of contact in the LPB regarding the review. It is good practice to provide an email address, telephone number, postal address, printable form, and a form which can be completed online;
- Provisions on assessing the effectiveness of those procedures; and
- How those procedures may be revised.

In making and revising the LPB Review procedures, the LPB must:

- Consult relevant bodies for each local government area which falls within their police area, and local providers of social housing (as it considers appropriate).
- Agree a procedure that suits the needs of victims and communities in their area.

The LPB should also agree a procedure that aligns as far as reasonably practicable with existing relevant policies and procedures.

The Local Policing Body must then publish and promote awareness of the LPB Review procedures to ensure that applicants are aware of the process and how they can apply to activate the procedures, where the threshold is met.

In doing so, the LPB should consider the most appropriate method and format for publicising their LPB Review procedures, so it is easily accessible for applicants and takes account of user needs. In particular, the LPB should consider where this information is published. The information should be made available on the websites of the LPB and all relevant bodies within the LPB's jurisdiction that are involved in the ASB Case Review.

As well as promoting awareness of the LPB Review, the LPB is responsible for promoting the ASB Case Review and must detail the procedures involved in the ASB Case Review and the application process. In promoting awareness of the LPB Review and the ASB Case Review, LPBs may consider distributing information in person to ensure accessibility for all community members, particularly those without computer access. For example, via leaflets, posters, or community outreach.

Putting victims first: Local Policing Bodies should ensure that the LPB Review process remains victim-focused and takes appropriate account of the victim's experience, vulnerability and the impact that anti-social behaviour has had on them.

When carrying out a review, LPBs should consider any evidence of repeat victimisation, vulnerability, health-related needs or other factors that may have increased the harm suffered by the victim. Victims should not be disadvantaged where vulnerability, trauma or other exceptional circumstances have affected their ability to report incidents or engage with agencies.

LPBs should provide victims with an opportunity to explain why they have requested a review and consider how best to involve them in a way that is proportionate and avoids unnecessary distress. LPBs should also consider whether victims have been made aware of, and offered access to, appropriate support services. When informing the victim of the outcome, LPBs should clearly explain their findings and any recommendations arising from the review.

The LPB Review process (example)



Statutory threshold for review

The Local Policing Body must undertake a LPB Review where:

- The relevant bodies have determined that the threshold for an ASB Case Review was not met and an application for an LPB Review is received from the applicant (or a person acting on their behalf), and the LPB considers that the threshold for carrying out the ASB Case Review was met; or
- The relevant bodies have carried out an ASB Case Review and an application for an LPB Review is received from the applicant (or a person acting on their behalf), and the LPB considers that the threshold for carrying out the ASB Case Review was met.

The Local Policing Body may decide on a case-by-case basis whether to proceed with the LPB Review but **must do so where it meets the minimum requirements set out above**.

The LPB may also carry out a LPB Review if no application has been made for one, but they feel it is appropriate and that the threshold for the initial ASB Case Review was met. LPBs can do this by ensuring that they receive information from the Relevant Bodies when an ASB Case Review is closed. Though the legislation allows LPBs to carry out a LPB Review where there has been no application for one, there is no obligation to do so.

This could, for example, involve a simple check of the victims' complaints, to see if these have met the statutory requirements set out in the 2014 Act legislation, i.e. at least 3 qualifying complaints within 6 months. In carrying out the LPB Review, the Local Policing Body should determine and consider how the relevant agencies responded to the initial ASB Case Review. To help streamline this process, LPBs may wish to embed in their procedures, questions on whether the victim has previously applied for an ASB Case Review, and what the outcome of the Review was.

If the LPB disagrees with the relevant agencies' initial assessment and believes that the threshold was met for an ASB Case Review, the LPB may simply recommend that the relevant bodies carry out a full ASB Case Review. The LPB will need to clearly set out the reasons why they believe the threshold has been met. It may be helpful to set up a meeting with the relevant agencies to discuss the recommendations, and next steps.

The formal review

The purpose of the LPB Review is to determine whether appropriate steps were taken, whether the response was adequate, and explore whether opportunities may have been missed or where further interventions may be required.

The LPB should consider the;

- Persistence of the ASB;
- The harm, or potential harm, and;
- The adequacy of response by the Relevant Bodies; amongst other relevant factors.

Once the threshold for an LPB Review is met, the Local Policing Body should formally initiate the process. The LPB will need to engage with the relevant agencies who led the ASB Case Review, including social housing providers, as it considers appropriate.

The LPB can then request the relevant case documentation, review the information provided, the complaints of the victims, and the actions taken by the relevant agencies in response to reports of anti-social behaviour in addition to relevant policies, procedures and information relating to the initial ASB Case Review, such as minutes, action plans, and victim impact statements. It is up to the Local Policing Body to determine how in depth this process should be.



The LPB Review provides independent oversight of how the original ASB Case Review, or request for one, was handled. It is not itself an ASB Case Review and does not require the LPB to reconsider the whole case. The LPB should assess

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

whether the procedure was followed; the review was thorough and agreed actions progressed; the victim was heard; and the recommendations were appropriate and proportionate. It should also consider why the matter escalated, whether anything relevant was overlooked, and whether further measures are needed to support the victim or address continuing anti-social behaviour.

Responsibility for the original ASB Case Review remains with the relevant bodies. The LPB may confirm its recommendations, recommend further or different action, or ask the relevant bodies to undertake or reconvene a review. Local arrangements may allow the LPB to own, convene, administer or support the process, but its review function must remain distinct and any conflict of interest must be managed.

It is important that all bodies engage in the process in an open and constructive way and work collaboratively towards the shared goal of tackling anti-social behaviour and providing support to victims. While participating agencies may have differing objectives, priorities, and capabilities, each contributes unique expertise that is important for understanding the full dynamics of the case and the most effective response.

Sharing information

The legislation provides that the Local Policing Body may request relevant persons to disclose information in carrying out the LPB Review. This may include details of previous complaints made by the victim, information about the impact the issue has had on others and details of what action has previously been taken. Victims also need to give consent for information about them to be collected and shared between agencies.

The LPB may request any person to disclose information for the purpose of the LPB Review. If the request is made to a person who exercises public functions and they possess the information, they must disclose it. The only exception to that is where to share the information, which would be either:

- A disclosure of personal data in contravention of any of the provisions of the data protection legislation which are not exempt from those provisions, or
- A disclosure which is prohibited by any of Parts 1 to 7 or Chapter 1 of Part 9 of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016.

Other than these two exceptions, disclosing information for the LPB Review does not breach any obligation of confidence or any other restriction on the disclosure of information.

LPBs and the relevant bodies should therefore develop systems and agreements for information sharing and a common understanding of the aims of the LPB Review. Relevant bodies should also ensure that local information-sharing arrangements support the timely provision of information required for ASB Case Reviews and LPB Reviews. Existing information-sharing agreements should be reviewed periodically to ensure they remain fit for purpose.

Making recommendations

Following the conclusion of the LPB Review, the Local Policing Body can make recommendations to any person or organisation exercising public functions, for example local authorities or the police, on matters arising from the LPB Review. Recommendations should be informed by an understanding of ASB case management, relevant tools and powers, and local partnership arrangements, and should be clear, practical and capable of being effectively implemented.

The LPB may endorse the recommendations made through the original ASB Case Review or make further or different recommendations. Where it makes different recommendations, these supersede the original recommendations, and the action plan should be updated accordingly. The relevant bodies remain responsible for delivering the actions allocated to them and should identify a lead agency or named officer to coordinate the action plan, monitor progress and keep the victim informed.

Local procedures should explain how a victim can raise concerns where agreed actions have not been completed, have stalled or are not addressing continuing anti-social behaviour. Where the victim raises such concerns as part of an LPB Review, the LPB should consider whether agencies have provided adequate reasons, hold them to account for their response and, where appropriate, recommend revised actions, timescales or further oversight. The LPB should not assume operational responsibility for delivering the action plan unless this has been expressly agreed locally.

The legislation also places a duty on persons carrying out public functions to have due regard to LPB Review recommendations. This means that they are not obliged to carry out the actions, but they will have a statutory duty to acknowledge the recommendation, demonstrate that they have considered it and be open to challenge if they choose not to carry out a recommendation, without a clear rationale.

An LPB Review does not prevent agencies from progressing agreed actions from the original ASB Case Review, particularly where action is needed to manage risk or prevent further harm. Once the LPB Review has concluded, persons carrying out public functions are only required to have due regard to the original ASB Case Review recommendations where those recommendations are confirmed by the LPB. Where the LPB makes different or further recommendations, agencies should have due regard to those recommendations and update the action plan as appropriate.

Example recommendation

Following an ASB Case Review into repeated dangerous and anti-social use of vehicles in a public park, the local authority and police agree an action plan. This includes repairing the damaged access barrier, improving lighting and signage, targeted police patrols at identified peak times, and gathering evidence to identify those responsible. The behaviour continues because the barrier repair is delayed and patrols have not consistently covered the agreed times. A community group requests an LPB Review.

LPB Review recommendations:

- The local authority should complete the barrier repair by an agreed date and consider temporary access controls until the work is finished.
- The police should undertake targeted patrols during the evidenced peak periods for a defined period and record incidents and enforcement activity.
- The local authority should assess whether the statutory tests for a Public Spaces Protection Order are met and, if so, begin the required consultation.
- The relevant bodies should review progress with the community group after eight weeks and agree further action if the behaviour persists.

Local agencies' response:

- The local authority confirms a completion date for the barrier repair, introduces temporary access controls and begins the PSPO assessment.
- The police agree targeted patrols at the identified times for eight weeks, with activity recorded and shared at the review point.
- A named council officer will coordinate the action plan, provide fortnightly updates to the community group and convene the eight-week review.

Where an agency does not implement a recommendation in full, it should explain its reasons to the applicant and the LPB, set out any alternative action and state when the position will be reviewed. This helps the applicant understand how the LPB Review has influenced the local response.

Engaging the victim

The LPB should liaise with the victim throughout the LPB Review process, ensuring they are kept informed and consulted. This may include the assessment of risk and ongoing vulnerabilities and support throughout panel participation.

The LPB must inform the applicant about the outcome of the LPB Review and any recommendations resulting from it. It is best practice to involve the victim from the outset. The LPB may wish to provide the victim with a suitable contact for their ease of communication about the progress of the Review.

In some instances, people who have already gone through the ASB Case Review process may feel that they have previously been let down by agencies, so it is important that they receive timely and consistent communication regarding their LPB Review.

The LPB Review should take time to understand what the victim wants from the process and their views should help to shape the outcome of the review and the outcomes and recommendations. The LPB will consult with victims for the purpose of the review and take their views into account in the development of the action plan. The LPB will have ultimate responsibility for ensuring that panel outcomes and recommendations are appropriate and proportionate.

When communicating with victims, LPBs should consider victim support issues, including considering any existing vulnerabilities, and whether they could benefit from being signposted or referred to local victims' services.

If a respondent is dissatisfied with the outcome of the LPB Review

Local Policing Bodies must specify in their procedures what to do if an applicant is dissatisfied with how the LPB has handled or reviewed a case.

Local Policing Bodies should not review decisions made by the Courts or Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) as part of the LPB Review. If a victim is not satisfied with a decision made by the CPS, they should refer to the CPS complaints process, and the Victims' Right to Review Scheme. The latter makes it easier for victims to seek a review of a CPS decision not to bring charges against a suspect or to terminate proceedings, in relation to decisions made after 5 June 2013.

Publishing data requirements

Legislation states that the LPB must publish information on the LPB Review as soon as practicable after the reporting period. This includes information on the:

- Number of applications made to the LPB for a LPB Review
- Number of times the LPB decided not to carry out a LPB Review
- Number of times the LPB did decide to carry out a LPB Review in response to an application
- Number of reviews the LPB carried out, where there was no application for one
- Number of reviews resulting in recommendations
- Number of reviews following an ASB Case Review that confirmed the recommendations from the initial review
- Number of reviews following an ASB Case Review that did not confirm the recommendations from the initial review.



This is a statutory requirement which LPBs must adhere to. The data must be published at least every twelve months, although the LPB may wish to publish data more frequently, or to publish additional details such as the number of LPB Reviews concerning decisions that the ASB Case Review threshold was not met and the

number concerning the outcome of an ASB Case Review. Published information must not include details which could identify victims."

1.3 Community Remedy

Purpose	To give victims a say in the out-of-court punishment of perpetrators of less serious crime and anti-social behaviour, including allowing them to consider a restorative justice approach.
The Community Remedy document	The Community Remedy document is a list of actions which may be chosen by the victim for the perpetrator to undertake in consequence of their behaviour or offending. The Act places a duty on the Policing Body to consult with members of the public and community representatives on what punitive, reparative, or rehabilitative actions they would consider appropriate to be on the Community Remedy document.
Applicants / who can use the Community Remedy	• Police officer; • An investigating officer (which can include Police Community Support Officers for certain offences, if designated the power by their chief constable); • A person authorised by a relevant prosecutor for conditional cautions or youth conditional cautions.
Community resolutions	When dealing with anti-social behaviour or less serious offences through a community resolution, the police officer may use the Community Remedy document to engage the victim in having a say in the punishment of the perpetrator.
Test	• The officer must have evidence that the person has engaged in anti-social behaviour or committed an offence; • The person must admit to the behaviour or the offence (and agree to participate); • The officer must think that the evidence is enough for court proceedings including for a civil injunction, or impose a caution, but considers that a community resolution would be more appropriate.
Conditional cautions	The Community Remedy document should be considered when it is proposed that a perpetrator be given a conditional caution or youth conditional caution as a means of consulting the victim about the possible conditions to be attached to the caution.
Failure to comply	If the perpetrator fails to comply with a conditional caution or youth conditional caution, they can face court action for the offence.
The legislation	Sections 101 to 103 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.



Purpose

All Police and Crime Commissioners, and the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime in London, must have a Community Remedy document in place to set out how victims of anti-social behaviour and less serious crime can have a say in the punishment of perpetrators who receive an 'out of court' disposal; that is, a community resolution, conditional caution, or youth conditional caution. Where a conditional caution or youth conditional caution is given, the Community Remedy provides a means of consulting the victim about possible conditions to be attached to the caution.

The Community Remedy document

The Community Remedy document is a list of actions that the victim will be invited to choose from when a community resolution is to be used. The list of actions may vary from one police force to another, based on what is available in the area and what the Police and Crime Commissioner and chief constable agree is appropriate. The Community Remedy document must be published. They should consider providing this to the local Community Safety Partnership and the local agencies responsible for preventing and tackling anti-social behaviour. It is also good practice for Police and Crime Commissioners to ensure that the public are aware of the document.

Consultation

The Police and Crime Commissioner (and Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime in London) must consult on the actions to be included in the Community Remedy document with:

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- members of the public;
- whichever community representatives the Police and Crime Commissioner considers appropriate to consult;
- the relevant local authority; and
- the chief officer of police for the area.

It is recommended that Community Safety Partnerships are also consulted to utilise their local expertise and knowledge on anti-social behaviour and criminal issues.

The public consultation may be undertaken in whatever format the Police and Crime Commissioner considers appropriate (for example, online consultation, talking to community groups or local victims' groups, via local newspapers etc.) and may be undertaken as part of another consultation such as on the local Police and Crime Plan. The Community Remedy document may be revised at any time, particularly when new options are to be added.

Actions to be included in the Community Remedy document

The Police and Crime Commissioner and the chief constable will agree the actions that are listed in the Community Remedy document. These actions must be appropriate and proportionate to the types of offences for which community resolutions are used and seek to have a positive impact on the perpetrator. Each of the actions must have:

- a punitive element, reflecting the effects on the victim and the wider community; or
- a reparative element, to provide appropriate restitution/reparation to the victim; or
- a rehabilitative element, to address the causes of the perpetrator's behaviour; or
- a combination of above.

What could be included?

The legislation does not specify what actions should be included in the Community Remedy document. These will vary between areas, reflecting the views of local people and the availability of activities. Examples of actions that might be included are:

- mediation (for example, to resolve a neighbour dispute);
- cleaning graffiti or other damage (either their own or others);
- a written or verbal apology;
- the perpetrator signing an Acceptable Behaviour Contract – where they agree not to behave anti-socially in the future – or face more formal consequences;
- take part in a restorative justice activity (see below);
- paying an appropriate amount for damage to be repaired or stolen property to be replaced;
- participation in structured activities that are either educational or rehabilitative, funded by the Police and Crime Commissioner as part of their efforts to reduce crime; or

Community Resolutions

Community resolutions are a means of resolving less serious offences or instances of anti-social behaviour. They are used where the perpetrator has been identified and admits to the behaviour or offence in question and the police believe that there is sufficient evidence to obtain a civil injunction or other disposal but consider that a community resolution would be a more appropriate and proportionate response.

Community resolutions can be used by:

- a police officer;
- an investigating officer (a person employed by a police force or a Police and Crime Commissioner's office or who is under the direction and control of the chief officer and has been designated as an investigating officer); or
- a police community support officer in relation to offences which their chief constable has designated them powers to deal with or more generally on the authority of a police officer of appropriate rank.

Using the community remedy document with community resolutions

When a community resolution is used, the officer must make a reasonable effort to obtain the views of the victim on whether the perpetrator should carry out any of the actions in the Community Remedy document. If the officer considers that the action chosen by the victim is appropriate, the perpetrator should be asked to carry out that action. The officer will have ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the action offered is appropriate and proportionate to

the nature of the anti-social behaviour or the offence committed. Where there are multiple victims, the officer should make reasonable efforts to take the views of all victims into account.

Community resolutions are entirely voluntary. The officer should ensure that the victim understands the purpose of community resolutions and that he or she knows that they can choose not to be involved. This will help to ensure the victim has realistic expectations of what can be achieved. For example, the resolution may not be legally enforceable if the perpetrator fails to complete the agreed action.

Putting victims first: The Community Remedy gives victims more say in the out of court punishment of perpetrators. However, the victim's involvement is voluntary, and they must not be made to feel that they should take part in a process that they are not comfortable with, that they think may put them at risk, or that they do not believe will be of benefit to them.

When using the Community Remedy, the officer should consider the most appropriate way to involve the victim. If the victim is under 18 or vulnerable, they may require a family member or carer to assist their understanding of the purpose of community resolutions and choose an action from the Community Remedy document.

If the victim is not contactable, or it cannot be ascertained who the victim is, for example, if the offence is graffiti in a public place, the officer may choose an appropriate action for the perpetrator to undertake.

Conditional caution and youth conditional caution

When a conditional caution or a youth conditional caution is used, the officer or authorised person must make reasonable efforts to obtain the views of the victim as to whether the perpetrator should carry out any of the actions listed in the Community Remedy document. If the officer issuing the conditional caution considers that the action chosen by the victim is appropriate, the action can form part of the conditions of the caution. The police officer or investigating officer (or prosecutor in some cases) will have ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the sanction offered to the perpetrator is appropriate and proportionate to the offence. If there are multiple victims, the officer must make reasonable efforts to take the views of all the victims into account.

Conditional cautions are available for all offences apart from domestic abuse and hate crime. In these cases, conditional cautions may only be used in exceptional circumstances with explicit clearance from CPS due to the potential impact and serious nature of such offending (see CPS Guidance on Out of Court Disposals in Hate Crime and Domestic Abuse Cases). A youth conditional caution is available for any offence, except for domestic violence or hate crimes where they must score 3 or less on the gravity matrix to be eligible for a conditional caution².

What is Restorative Justice?

- Restorative Justice is a process that brings those harmed, and those responsible for the harm, into communication. It enables everyone affected by a particular incident to play a part in repairing the harm which can be valuable in finding a positive way forward. The communication may take many forms, for some this may mean meeting the person(s) causing the harm face-to-face, for others, this could be communicating via letter, recorded interviews or videos.
- Whichever form of Restorative Justice is most appropriate, trained facilitators should be used to prepare and support the process throughout.
- Restorative Justice is voluntary for all parties and it must be agreed by all involved, including facilitators, that it is safe and appropriate to proceed. It will only happen if all parties, having acknowledged the basic facts of the case, want to take part. No-one has to take part and they can withdraw at any time. They can ask to participate in Restorative Justice at a time that is right for them.
- Access to high quality restorative justice services in an area is best done via PCCs. The APCC provide the relevant contact information.

² Full details can be found in the Ministry of Justice Code of practice for youth conditional cautions:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/code-of-practice-for-youth-conditional-cautions>


Part 2: More effective powers

The powers for dealing with anti-social behaviour provided by the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 are deliberately flexible to allow professionals to work collaboratively and use them to protect the public from different forms of anti-social behaviour.

Working together and sharing information

The powers allow the police, councils, social landlords and other agencies to deal quickly with issues as they arise, with agencies working together to ensure the best results for victims and the wider public. To assist joined-up working, an effective information-sharing protocol is essential. There is already a duty on some bodies (such as the police and councils) to work together and in respect of anti-social behaviour specifically, there is a specific duty on specified bodies to work together when the ASB Case Review is activated, as set out earlier in this guidance.

Problem-solving policing is an approach that should be considered by police when tackling anti-social behaviour. Problem-solving policing uses the SARA (scanning, analysis, response, assessment) model of problem solving.



Vulnerabilities

The powers also strengthen the protection to victims and communities and provide fast and effective responses to deal with anti-social behaviour. Particular consideration should be given to the needs and circumstances of the most vulnerable when applying the powers to ensure that they are not disproportionately and unreasonably impacted upon, and local agencies must be satisfied that the behaviour meets the legal tests. Any use of these powers must be compliant with the Human Rights Act 1998, the Equality Act 2010 (in particular the public sector equality duty pursuant to section 149) along with all other relevant legislation.

All plans and services should be designed around the needs and preferences of local residents, rather than systems or processes, taking account of the wider context of people's lives – as part of relationships, families and neighbourhoods. Where there are multiple needs for a person or in a family, there should be a support from a range of services, including healthcare, to assess their needs, develop a shared care plan and consider the role of the 'lead practitioner' – someone who acts as a single, consistent and trusted point of contact throughout someone's journey.

Assessing the risk to victims

It is good practice for agencies to assess the risk of harm to the victim(s), and any potential vulnerabilities, when they receive a complaint about anti-social behaviour. This should be the starting point of a case-management approach to dealing with anti-social complaints. The welfare, safety and well-being of victims must be the main consideration at every stage of the process. It is therefore important to identify the effect that the reported anti-social behaviour is having on the victim(s), particularly if repeated incidents are having a cumulative effect on their mental or physical well-being. A continuous and organised risk assessment will help to identify cases that are causing, or could result in, serious harm to the victim, either as a one-off incident or as part of a targeted and persistent campaign of anti-social behaviour against the victim(s).

Early and informal interventions

Early intervention, especially through informal approaches, may often be all that is necessary to stop incidents of anti-social behaviour. Such interventions can establish clear standards of behaviour and reinforce the message that anti-social behaviour is not tolerated. In many cases, awareness of the impact of the behaviour on victims, and the threat of more formal enforcement, may be sufficient to encourage an individual to change their behaviour. Frontline professionals will be best placed to decide when and how to use these approaches, but it is recommended that the use of informal methods be considered first in most cases, and particularly when dealing with young people as a means of preventing poor behaviour from escalating. Frontline professionals should always consider using early and informal interventions prior to using any of the powers set out in the 2014 Act. In particular, the use of youth injunctions, where a risk assessment is required, and community protection notices on individuals younger than 18 should only be considered if other forms of intervention are not appropriate or have been unsuccessful.

It is, however, the case that informal intervention may not be the appropriate first step in the circumstances of some cases. Such as where the victim is at risk of harm, and it is right that frontline professionals make informed decisions about the best approach.

Possible informal interventions may include:

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

Verbal or written warning	In deciding whether to use a verbal or written warning, the police, council, or housing officer should still be satisfied that there is evidence that anti-social behaviour has occurred or is likely to occur. The warning should be specific about the behaviour in question and why it is not acceptable, the impact that this is having on the victim or community and the consequences of non-compliance. Formal warnings should be issued only where the agency is satisfied that the stated consequences of further anti-social behaviour are appropriate, proportionate and capable of being pursued. Agencies should monitor compliance and respond promptly where the warning is not followed, including by considering the specified consequence or explaining why a different response is appropriate. Warnings that are not monitored or acted upon may undermine victim confidence and reduce their effectiveness in preventing further behaviour. Each warning should be tailored to the individual and clearly identify the behaviour relied upon, the change required, any support available, the period for compliance or review, and the action that may follow if the behaviour continues. The recipient should be able to understand what they must do, or stop doing, to comply. Details on support services to address causes of ASB should also be included in warnings e.g. substance misuse support. A staged approach of warning letters, particularly for children and young people is considered best practice. It is generally not proportionate to serve one warning and then move straight to formal interventions. Where appropriate, local agencies should alert each other when a warning has been given so that it can be effectively monitored, and a record should be kept so that it can be used as evidence in court proceedings later, if matters are taken to that stage.
Community resolution	Community resolutions are a means of resolving less serious offences or instances of anti- social behaviour through informal agreement between the parties involved as opposed to progression through the criminal justice process. A community resolution may be used with both youth and adult perpetrators and allows the police to deal more proportionately with less serious crime and anti-social behaviour, taking account of the needs of the victim, the perpetrator, and the wider community. For a community resolution, the case must be capable of proof as well as an admission of guilt or acceptance of responsibility. Community resolutions are primarily aimed at first time perpetrators where genuine remorse has been expressed, and where an out-of-court disposal is more appropriate than taking more formal action.

	The Community Remedy document discussed in Part 1 of this guidance must be used when dealing with anti-social behaviour or less serious offences out of court through community resolutions.
Mediation	In appropriate circumstances, mediation can be an effective way of resolving an issue by bringing all parties together. This can be effective in resolving neighbour disputes, family conflicts, lifestyle differences such as noise nuisance complaints and similar situations. However, mediation is unlikely to work if forced on those involved. All parties should be willing to come to the table and discuss their issues, with necessary support offered to those who are deemed to be vulnerable but still want to attend. It is not for the mediator to establish a solution to the issue as, in most cases, they will have already tried this with each party unsuccessfully. For mediation to deliver long-term solutions, those in dispute should agree a solution. The mediator should facilitate the conversation and draw up any agreement if required for all parties to sign-up to if agreement is reached. Shuttle mediation may be appropriate where parties are willing to engage but do not feel able to meet directly. Mediation is likely to be most effective when offered at the earliest opportunity, before positions become entrenched. Where a party refuses to take part in mediation, or fails to comply with an agreed outcome, this may be relevant evidence when considering whether formal interventions, including court-based action, are necessary and proportionate.
Acceptable Behaviour Contracts/Agreements	An acceptable behaviour contract or agreement is a voluntary written agreement between a person responsible for anti-social behaviour and the local agency or agencies seeking to prevent it. It can be particularly effective with young people by encouraging them to understand the impact of their behaviour and make positive changes before it escalates. The agreement should be developed with the individual, reflect their circumstances and include proportionate, achievable expectations alongside appropriate support. Where appropriate, consideration should be given to involving a parent, guardian, advocate or support worker to help ensure that the individual's views are understood and reflected. They provide an opportunity to include positive requirements as well as prohibitions to help support the person tackle any underlying issues which are driving their behaviour. The terms of an acceptable behaviour contract or agreement should be discussed with the individual before they are drafted and signed to help encourage compliance. There is no formal sanction for refusing to sign. Any further intervention should be determined on a case-by-case basis, taking account of the behaviour, available

	evidence, risk and proportionality. This may include a formal written warning or, where appropriate, consideration of a Civil Injunction or Criminal Behaviour Order. Similarly, there are no formal sanctions associated with breaching an acceptable behaviour contract or agreement, and where this occurs, consideration can be given to taking further steps, such as seeking a Civil Injunction, if the circumstances warrant this. Where this is the case, the work undertaken as part of drafting the acceptable behaviour contract or agreement can form part of the evidence pack for the court. Acceptable behaviour contracts or agreements should include built-in review points to monitor compliance, reinforce expectations and acknowledge positive progress or good behaviour. These checks should involve the relevant agencies and, where appropriate, the perpetrator and their parent, guardian or support worker. Keeping a record of engagement, compliance and any positive change can help support early intervention and demonstrate where informal action is working. Conversely, refusal to engage, repeated non-compliance or failure to sustain agreed behaviour may be relevant when considering whether more formal interventions are necessary and proportionate.
Parenting contracts	Where informal interventions are used with a young person under 18, their parent or guardian should be involved where appropriate, as they can play an important role in supporting behavioural change and compliance. A parenting contract is distinct from an acceptable behaviour contract: it is agreed with the parent or guardian and sets out the steps they will take to support and supervise the young person. Parent support plans may also be used to provide early help while setting clear expectations about the parent or guardian's role in supporting the young person to comply with the acceptable behaviour contract or agreement. However, where the behaviour of the parent or guardian is part of the issue, including where they are engaging in anti-social behaviour or not providing appropriate boundaries or supervision, agencies could consider a parenting contract. These are similar to acceptable behaviour contracts but are agreed with the parent or guardian. They may also be considered where the child is under 10 and other interventions are not appropriate. Where concerns relate to parental supervision or boundary setting, agencies should also consider whether engagement with Children's Services or other family support services is appropriate.
Support and counselling	The anti-social behaviour powers allow professionals to respond to the underlying causes of anti-social behaviour, for example through positive requirements attached to a Respect Order,

	Youth Injunction, Housing Injunction or Criminal Behaviour Order. However, providing positive support does not have to wait for formal court action, and can be given as part of any informal intervention, for example by providing support around overcoming substance misuse or alcohol dependency that may be linked to the person's anti-social behaviour. Support and counselling should be considered at the earliest opportunity and should form part of a wider problem-solving approach to addressing the underlying causes of anti-social behaviour. Effective support can help individuals understand the impact of their behaviour, engage with appropriate services, and make sustained positive changes. This may include counselling, mental health support, substance misuse services, family support, mentoring, housing support or other locally available interventions. Agencies should consider how support can be maintained and reviewed over time, with the aim of achieving longer-term positive outcomes for the individual, victims and the wider community, rather than focusing only on short-term compliance or enforcement.
--	---

Conclusion

In many cases, informal and early intervention can be successful in changing behaviour and protecting communities. Such interventions may be included in local plans to deal with anti-social behaviour but should not replace formal interventions where these are the most effective means of dealing with anti-social behaviour.

Begging and rough sleeping

The powers in the 2014 Act are designed to target anti-social behaviour and are not intended to target rough sleeping or begging specifically. Individuals experiencing homelessness should not face enforcement or criminalisation due to their living circumstances, nor those begging to sustain themselves.

Where rough sleeping is identified, local authorities are advised to assess suitable services and provide support, including measures to reduce potential risks to those affected, and the local community. Local authorities are responsible for delivering key services, including social care and housing and are therefore well positioned to assist individuals experiencing homelessness. In assisting individuals, multi-agency partnerships are key to ensure a holistic approach that avoids duplication.

Begging, however, can sometimes be accompanied by behaviour that meets the legal test for anti-social behaviour, or a criminal threshold. This could include harassment, intimidation or aggression associated with begging, such as intimidating or harassing members of the public. Where begging is part of or accompanied by behaviour that crosses the threshold into criminal conduct (for example,

the offence of harassment, possession of drugs, or littering), conviction or penalties for the relevant criminal offence can be pursued where appropriate.

Where begging involves anti-social behaviour, or where anti-social behaviour occurs in the context of homelessness, local agencies may use the powers in the 2014 Act to address this, if the relevant legal tests are met. This could, for example, incorporate enforcement against breach of a Public Spaces Protection Order prohibiting public drinking, giving a Community Protection Warning (CPW) or a Community Protection Notice (CPN) to an individual aggressively begging and harassing members of the public, or asking the CPS or another prosecution authority to seek a Criminal Behaviour Order (CBO) from the court where an individual routinely commits the criminal offence of harassment by shouting at and threatening members of the public in a town centre. It could also include the issuing of a Respect Order. It is, however, crucial that enforcement action is taken against the anti-social behaviour in question, and that the powers in the 2014 Act are not used as a means of disproportionately penalising those who are homeless or begging but not engaging in ASB.

It is ultimately for local agencies to consider the most appropriate action based on the behaviour in question. Local agencies must be satisfied that the behaviour has met the relevant legal tests for using the 2014 Act powers, and use is reasonable and proportionate to the behaviour. Agencies should consider early and informal interventions in the first instance, before considering more formal interventions.

Any use of the powers must be compliant with the Human Rights Act 1998, the Equality Act 2010 (in particular the public sector equality duty pursuant to section 149) along with all other relevant legislation.

Example of how ASB powers might be used to address ASB-related begging.



1. Verbal warning and support services

An individual is reported to be begging aggressively outside a shop entrance, causing harassment and distress to members of the public. Police engage with the individual, and provide a verbal warning to stop the aggressive behaviour. The police also signpost the individual to the local authority who will assess the individual's needs and decide on the appropriate support services.



2. Community Protection Warning (CPW) letter

The individual continues to exhibit aggressive behaviour while begging. The police issue an official warning letter, the Community Protection Warning (CPW). The warning states the individual must not aggressively beg or be present in a specified area.



3. The Community Protection Notice (CPN)

The individual violates the requirements set out in the written warning. The individual is given a Community Protection Notice (CPN).



4. Possible criminal sanctions


The individual has breached the requirements of the CPN. This is a final step where the behaviour has continued. The individual may be arrested or issued with a summons. Relevant agencies can consider applying for a CBO upon successful conviction of a CPN to provide a robust way of dealing with the associated ASB.

This is only one example of how the ASB powers might be used to address ASB-related begging. This should not be considered as a direction, but local authorities and police may wish to consider such approaches when addressing this behaviour. All enforcement decisions should be taken locally taking account of the specifics of each case.

2.1 Respect Orders, Youth Injunction, Housing Injunction

2.1.1 Respect Orders

Purpose	To stop or prevent those who are 18 or over engaging in anti-social behaviour, putting a stop to these behaviours before they escalate. Breach of the order can be dealt with swiftly, via arrest and sentencing in the criminal courts.
Applicants	- Local councils; - Social landlords; - Police (including British Transport Police); - Transport for London; West Midlands Combined Authority; Transport for Greater Manchester; - Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales; and - NHS Counter Fraud Authority.
Test	On the balance of probabilities; - The respondent has engaged in or threatens to engage in; - Conduct that has or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress; and - The court considers it is just and convenient to grant the respect order to prevent anti-social behaviour.
Details	- Issued by the County Court or High Court. Unless there is a compelling reason for the application to be made to the High Court these should be made to the appropriate County Court. - Respect order will include prohibitions and can also include positive requirements to encourage the perpetrator to address the underlying causes of their anti-social behaviour.
Penalty on breach	- Police can arrest a respondent who is suspected of breaching the terms of their respect order or if they have reasonable grounds to suspect that person is about to commit an offence or is committing an offence. - Breach of a respect order is a criminal offence and must be proved to the criminal standard, that is, beyond a reasonable doubt. - The defendant is sentenced in either the Magistrates Court or Crown Court (for the most serious breaches). - Magistrates Court – Up to 6 months' imprisonment, an unlimited fine and/or a community sentence. - Crown Court – Up to 2 years' imprisonment, an unlimited fine and/or a community sentence.
Appeals	- Appeals against the making of a respect order are made to the High Court (where a decision has been made by the County Court) or to the Court of Appeal Civil Division (where a decision has been made by the High Court). - Appeal against a sentence in the Magistrates Court is appealed to the Crown Court and appeal against a sentence in the Crown Court is appealed to the Court of Appeal (criminal Division)..
The legislation	Part A1 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.



Purpose

The respect order under Part A1 of the 2014 Act is a civil behavioural order to deal with anti-social behaviour committed by adults. The respect order can offer effective protection for victims and communities and set a clear standard of behaviour for perpetrators, stopping the respondent's behaviour from continuing or escalating.

Although the respect order is a civil power, it is a formal sanction with criminal consequences for breach, and in appropriate cases professionals should consider whether an informal approach might be preferable before resorting to court action. However, where informal approaches have not worked or practitioners decide that a formal response is needed, they may apply to the court for a respect order.

Who can apply for a respect order?

Several agencies can apply for the respect order, which ensures that the body best placed to lead on a specific case can do so.

The agencies that can apply for a respect order are:

- a local council;
- a housing provider;
- a chief officer of police in England & Wales;
- the chief constable of the British Transport Police;

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- Transport for London;
- West Midlands Combined Authority³;
- Transport for Greater Manchester⁴;
- the Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales;
- the Secretary of State exercising security management functions, or a Special Health Authority exercising security management functions on the direction of the Secretary of State;
- NHS Counter Fraud Authority
- the Welsh Ministers exercising security management functions, or a person or body exercising security management functions on the direction of the Welsh Ministers or under arrangements made between the Welsh Ministers and that person or body.

The legal tests for granting a respect order

Court is satisfied on the **balance of probabilities** that the respondent engaged or threatened to engage in anti-social behaviour.



Just and convenient to grant the respect order for the purpose of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour.

Details

Anti-social behaviour is defined as conduct that has caused, or is likely to cause, harassment, alarm, or distress to any person. This can apply, for example, where the anti-social behaviour has occurred in a public place, such as a town or city centre, shopping mall, or local park, or a private setting such as a home.

Putting victims first: When applying for a respect order, in deciding whether the individual's conduct has caused or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress, agencies should contact potential victims and witnesses to understand the wider harm to individuals and the community. Not only will this ensure that victims and communities feel that their problem is being taken seriously, it will also aid the evidence-gathering process for the application to the court.

Who can the Respect Order be issued against? A court may grant the respect order against anyone who is 18 years of age or over. Applications must be made in the County Court or High Court. Unless there is a compelling reason for the application to be made to the High Court these should be made to the appropriate County Court.

Respect Orders apply to respondents aged 18 and over. Practitioners should be aware that many individuals aged 18 to 25 may still be transitioning from childhood to adulthood and may have distinct developmental, educational, housing or support needs. While the legal tests for a Respect Order are the same regardless of age, applicants should consider whether a young adult's age and circumstances are relevant when assessing the most appropriate and effective

³ The West Midlands Combined Authority (Functions and Amendment) Order 2017

⁴ The Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (Amendment) Order 2019

response to the anti-social behaviour. Where appropriate, applicants should consider whether diversionary approaches, support services or early intervention measures may help address the underlying causes of the behaviour before seeking a Respect Order, provided this is consistent with protecting victims and communities.

When can respect orders be used? The respect order can be used to deal with a wide range of behaviours, many of which can cause harm to victims and communities in both housing-related and non-housing related situations. This may include vandalism, public drunkenness or intoxication, aggressive begging, irresponsible dog ownership, noisy or abusive behaviour towards neighbours, or bullying. Respect orders should not be used to stop reasonable, trivial or benign behaviour that has not caused, or is not likely to cause, harassment, alarm or distress to victims or communities. Potential applicants are encouraged to make reasonable and proportionate judgements about the appropriateness of the proposed response before making an application for a respect order.

Where housing-related ASB occurs that is deemed to meet the legal test of harassment, alarm or distress (as opposed to the housing injunction legal test which is behaviour causing nuisance or annoyance), the relevant authority can apply for a respect order instead of a housing injunction. This would be for cases where greater harm is being caused and as a result a greater range of potential sanctions is necessary.

The respect order can also be used to tackle gang related activity, either directly on gang members or on those being exploited by gangs in order to disrupt their operations. This can be particularly useful in cases of 'county lines' where urban gangs exploit children and vulnerable people to move drugs and money to suburban areas and market and coastal towns. In such cases, the conditions of the respect order can include prohibitions on entering certain areas or affiliating with certain individuals. They could also include positive requirements such as engaging in drug awareness courses.

The "balance of probabilities" test means that the court must be satisfied that it is more likely than not that the respondent has engaged in, or threatens to engage in, the relevant anti-social behaviour. This is the civil standard of proof and is lower than the criminal standard of "beyond reasonable doubt", which applies to proving breach where breach is a criminal offence.

Non-local authority applicants should also consider consulting the relevant local authority (and vice versa) as they may hold information which is of relevance and/or which may need to be considered as part of the application. For example, a person may be vulnerable and additional safeguarding measures may be required. The local authority may also hold information which supports the application.

Risk assessment checklist: Prior to applying for a respect order, the relevant authority must complete a risk assessment checklist. This is a statutory requirement and therefore mandatory. The risk assessment must include an assessment of:

- a) the risk of any person being caused harassment, alarm or distress by the respondent's conduct,
- b) any vulnerabilities of the respondent,
- c) any alternative means of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour, and
- d) such other matters as the relevant authority considers relevant.

The risk assessment could, for example, consider both the respondent and victim's mental health, housing situation and other relevant contextual factors relating to the behaviour in question, for example, the presence of children being adversely affected by the ASB. Additionally, a risk assessment should consider use of positive requirements to determine if these would be feasible and useful in aiding a positive change in the respondent's behaviour longer term. Consideration should be given to the use of early and informal interventions, as detailed on pages 45 - 49.

Beyond the requirements set out in legislation, the scope of the risk assessment is flexible, and it is up to the relevant authority to determine the format and details of their risk assessment process. There is no requirement for the risk assessment checklist to be provided to the court, however relevant authorities should consider doing so as it could provide the court with relevant contextual factors when deciding whether to grant a respect order.

As part of the risk assessment, applicants should consider whether the respondent's circumstances may affect their ability to comply with any proposed positive requirement. In some cases, highly complex needs, chaotic lifestyles or multiple vulnerabilities may make compliance more difficult. This does not prevent the use of positive requirements, but applicants should carefully consider the suitability, timing and intensity of any intervention and whether additional support or adjustments may be required to maximise the prospect of successful engagement.

Although there is no statutory requirement to provide the risk assessment checklist to the court, it is recommended that the person authorising the proceedings provides a witness statement exhibiting the assessment. This will assist the court in understanding how the assessment was conducted and why it was considered appropriate to seek a respect order. The statement should set out the relevant equality, vulnerability and proportionality considerations, including any known protected characteristics, the enquiries made in relation to those matters, whether reasonable adjustments are required, whether due regard has been had to the Public Sector Equality Duty, and why any alternative remedies were considered unsuitable or insufficient.

It is recommended to include this at the earliest stage, instead of waiting for the defence to ask for disclosure as this would only unnecessarily lengthen the proceedings and may possibly be a reason for a judge to refuse an interim order at the first hearing. Advice from a lawyer should be sought when completing the risk assessment to ensure all potential issues the defence might raise are covered.

An example risk assessment checklist is provided at **Annex B**.

What to include in the application: Applications for a Respect Order should be supported by clear and proportionate evidence demonstrating how the statutory tests are met and why the proposed prohibitions and requirements are necessary to prevent further anti-social behaviour. Applicants should include details of the behaviour relied upon, the impact on victims and communities, any safeguarding considerations, the outcome of the statutory risk assessment, and any positive requirements proposed.

The respect order will include relevant prohibitions to require individuals to stop behaving anti-socially. It can also include positive requirements to encourage the individual to deal with the underlying cause of their behaviour. Agencies will have the discretion to tailor the positive requirements in each case to address the respondent's individual circumstances, behaviour and needs. There may be opportunities to work with voluntary sector organisations.

Positive requirements might, for example, require the respondent to:

- attend alcohol awareness or treatment sessions where alcohol misuse is linked to the anti-social behaviour;
- attend dog training classes provided by an appropriate organisation where the issue relates to irresponsible dog ownership; or
- attend a structured behaviour change, anger management or anti-social behaviour awareness course, where this is available locally and considered suitable to address the behaviour that led to the order.

Positive requirements can play an important role in addressing the underlying causes of anti-social behaviour and supporting longer-term behavioural change. They are likely to be most effective where there is a realistic prospect that the respondent can engage with the proposed intervention and where appropriate support is available locally.

When considering positive requirements, courts should have regard to the suitability and availability of local services and interventions. Requirements should be capable of being complied with and proportionate to the circumstances of the case.

Applicants should also take account of the respondent's individual circumstances, including any vulnerabilities, mental health needs, substance misuse issues, learning difficulties, housing circumstances, caring responsibilities and previous engagement with support services. Applicants should consider whether the proposed requirement is achievable, proportionate and capable of being delivered within the resources available locally.

Positive requirements should be supported by clear supervision arrangements. The person or organisation responsible for supervising compliance should understand the purpose of the requirement, the expectations placed on the respondent and the process for reporting compliance or non-compliance.

Supervising a positive requirement does not prevent an individual or organisation from providing support to the respondent. Practitioners should seek to maintain a constructive and supportive relationship wherever possible, while ensuring that accurate records are kept of attendance, engagement and compliance. Where concerns arise regarding non-compliance, supervisors should seek to understand whether barriers to compliance exist and whether these can be addressed before formal enforcement action is considered, where appropriate.

Applicants should ensure that proposed supervisory arrangements are realistic and sustainable, and that the organisation responsible for supervision has agreed to undertake that role before the application is made.

The prohibitions or requirements in the respect order must be reasonable and must not, so far as practicable:

- interfere with the times, if any, at which the respondent normally works or attends school or any other educational establishment; or
- conflict with the requirements of any other court order or respect order to which the respondent may be subject.

In addition, applicants should also consider the impact on any caring responsibilities the respondent may have and, if they have a disability, whether he or she can comply with the proposed prohibitions or requirements.

A draft of the proposed terms of the respect order should include all proposed prohibitions and requirements and their duration. Requirements should be clearly and unambiguously worded. Applicants will need to be prepared for the court to examine each prohibition and requirement and will need to be able to prove how each will help stop or prevent the respondent from engaging in or threatening to engage in anti-social behaviour in the future. An effective risk assessment will aid this part of the court process. Any positive requirement should clearly identify who will supervise compliance. This may be the applicant, a partner agency, or the organisation delivering the requirement, such as a voluntary or commissioned service, where they are willing and able to monitor attendance or engagement. The court should be provided with evidence that the requirement is suitable and enforceable, including how compliance will be monitored and how any non-compliance will be reported. Where two or more requirements are included, the court must consider their compatibility with each other.

Putting victims first: Keeping victims and communities updated on enforcement action at key points can help them to deal with the impact the behaviour is having. Victims may feel that their complaint has been ignored if they do not see changes to the behaviour. Letting victims know what is happening can make a big difference.

Duration of respect orders: Prohibitions or requirements in the respect order can be for a fixed or indefinite period and there is no minimum length for how long a respect order can apply for. Where a respect order has both a prohibition and a requirement, they do not need to be the same length. Applicants should specify the duration that they seek for the respect order in their application.

There may be circumstances in which a respondent is subject to more than one Respect Order. Before applying for a further Respect Order, applicants should make reasonable enquiries to establish whether any existing Respect Orders are in force and consider whether the proposed application is necessary and proportionate.

Where multiple Respect Orders apply to the same individual, applicants and the court should seek to ensure that the terms of the orders are compatible, clear and capable of being complied with. Consideration should be given to whether the objectives of the proposed application could instead be achieved through an application to vary an existing Respect Order. Practitioners should avoid unnecessary duplication or conflicting requirements and should ensure that the respondent can clearly understand all obligations that apply.

Publicising a respect order: Making the public aware of the respondent and the terms of the order can be an important part of the process in dealing with anti-social behaviour and providing reassurance to victims, as well as providing the information people need to identify and report breaches. The decision to publicise the respect order will be taken by the relevant authority. When deciding whether to publicise the respect order, public authorities (including the courts) must consider that it is necessary and proportionate to interfere with the person's right to privacy, and the likely impact on their behaviour. This will need to be balanced against

the need to provide re-assurance to the victims and the wider community as well as providing information so that they can report any breaches. Each case should be decided carefully on its own facts. For example, you could complete a media release assessment where your decisions around publicising a respect order are formally recorded as well as the reasoning for it.

Exclusion from the home: The court may exclude a perpetrator from any premises or an area specified within the terms of the respect order. This can include their home, where the court thinks that the anti-social behaviour includes the use, or threatened use, of violence against other persons, or there is a significant risk of harm. The word harm is defined in section 20 of the legislation as including “serious ill-treatment or abuse, whether physical or not” – which means that it could include emotional or psychological harm, such as harassment or racial abuse.

Social landlords will only be able to apply to the court to exclude their own tenants and visitors to properties managed by them, whilst councils and the police will be the lead agencies in applying to exclude private tenants or owner-occupiers from their homes. In cases where the police or local council is the lead authority in an application to exclude a social tenant, they should consult the landlord. If the exclusion is applied to someone in privately rented accommodation or in residential leasehold housing, the police or council should, where circumstances permit, inform and consult the landlord (generally referred to in the leasehold as the freeholder) beforehand.

We do not expect the power of exclusion to be used often and the court will pay special attention to issues of proportionality. As such, applications should only be made for exclusion in extreme cases that meet the higher threshold set out above.

‘Without notice’ applications: Respect orders can be applied for ‘without notice’ being given to the perpetrator in exceptional cases. They should not be made routinely or in place of inadequate preparation for normal ‘with notice’ applications.

The notification requirements that apply to ‘with notice’ applications do not apply to ‘without notice’ applications. Risk assessments must be completed for ‘without notice’ applications.

A without notice application may be appropriate where there is a credible and immediate risk of harm before a with notice hearing can be arranged, including threats to individuals, witnesses or property, or where there is reason to believe the defendant may frustrate the court process, for example by avoiding service. It may also be appropriate where the matter is time-critical and there is insufficient time to give notice, such as where the respondent is expected to engage imminently in conduct that the respect order would seek to prevent.

Interim respect orders: If an application is made without notice, the court must either:

- a. adjourn the proceedings and make an interim order;
- b. adjourn the proceedings without making an interim order; or
- c. dismiss the application.

The court may also grant an interim respect order where a standard application is adjourned. The interim respect order can only include prohibitions, not positive requirements. When applying for an interim respect order, the applicant should ensure that the application presents the victim’s case and also why the interim respect order is necessary.

Variation and discharge of respect orders: The court has the power to vary or discharge the respect order upon application by either the respondent or the applicant. If the applicant wishes to discharge or vary the respect order, they should notify the people and organisations they consulted as part of the initial application process. Applicants may consider applying to vary the respect order in response to changes in the respondent's behaviour. The powers of the court to vary the respect order include:

- to remove a prohibition or requirement in the respect order;
- to include a prohibition or requirement in the respect order;
- to reduce the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect; or
- to extend the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect;

The court's power to vary a respect order is broad and may be used to amend the wording of an existing prohibition or requirement where this is necessary to improve clarity, effectiveness or enforceability. Variation is not limited to adding or removing conditions and may be used to modify existing terms.

Where a respondent is subject to more than one respect order, applicants should consider whether variation of an existing order would provide a more effective and proportionate response than seeking a further order. The court should have regard to the overall package of prohibitions and requirements already in force when considering any application to vary or discharge an order.

If the court dismisses an application to vary the respect order, the party who made the application is not allowed to make a further application without the consent of the court or the agreement of the other party.

Hearsay evidence: Hearsay and professional witness evidence allow for the identities of those who are unable to give evidence due to fear or intimidation, to be protected. This is especially important as cases can involve anti-social behaviour in residential areas where local people and those targeted by the behaviour may feel unable to come forward for fear of reprisals. Hearsay evidence could be provided by a police officer, healthcare official, or any other professional who has interviewed the witness directly.

Hearsay evidence is admissible in civil proceedings, but first-hand evidence will usually carry greater weight. Where hearsay evidence is relied on, applicants should explain why direct evidence is not available and why the evidence should nevertheless be regarded as reliable. This may include, for example, cases where a witness is unwilling to give evidence because of fear or intimidation, or where complaints have been made anonymously but are supported by other evidence identifying the respondent. The Civil Evidence Act 1995 sets out the factors the court may take into account when assessing the weight to be given to hearsay evidence.

Breaching a respect order: Breach of a respect order is a criminal offence. This means that police officers can arrest anyone who is suspected of breaching the terms of their respect order, who is about to commit an offence, is in the act of committing an offence or they have "reasonable grounds to suspect" is about to commit an offence. Officers should be able to identify specific facts, information or circumstances which support their suspicion that a respect order has been breached. Officers should be satisfied that their suspicion is based on objective

grounds rather than assumption, speculation or unsupported belief. A breach could entail not complying with the prohibition set out in the respect order. For example, if a respect order sets out that the respondent is banned from attending the town centre and the respondent ignores this and goes to the town centre, they would have breached the respect order. The police will be able to immediately arrest and bring the respondent before court.

Breach can also occur by failing to comply with positive requirements set out in the respect order. However, after the respondent fails to comply with a positive requirement on the first occasion, the supervisor of the requirement must send a warning letter to the respondent. The warning letter must be in writing, describe the circumstances of the failure to comply and inform the respondent that a further breach of the activity requirement again within 12 months will mean that they are liable to prosecution. The warning letter must be served either by hand or by first class post addressed to the person at the person's last known address. As soon as practicable after giving a warning, the supervisor must record that they have done so. Following a warning letter being sent, if the respondent continues to fail to comply with the positive requirement, they will have committed a breach of the respect order and can be arrested and subject to breach proceedings.

All alleged breaches should be assessed on their individual facts and circumstances. Whether a breach is considered sufficiently serious to warrant prosecution, remand, or consideration by the Crown Court will depend on the nature of the conduct and the harm caused or risked. Decisions should be based on the full circumstances of the case and the public interest.

The arrest power associated with Respect Orders is intended to provide a swift response to breaches of court-imposed restrictions. Practitioners should note that this differs from some other anti-social behaviour powers. For example, breaches of Community Protection Notices require separate enforcement action and do not provide the same immediate arrest power. Respect Orders are therefore intended to provide a stronger enforcement response in cases where a court has already determined that formal intervention is necessary. As with other enforcement powers, decisions should be reasonable, proportionate and based on the circumstances of the individual case.

Absolute grounds for possession: Breach of a respect order is now a condition under the Housing Act 1985 that will allow social landlords and private rented sector landlords to secure possession. This allows for possession of a dwelling-house let under a secure tenancy where anti-social behaviour or criminality has already been proven by another court.

The mandatory ground is available for a dwelling-house let under a secure tenancy and can be used by both social landlords and private rented sector landlords. The court must grant possession where the tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has been found by a court to have breached a respect order where a condition has been met as set out under s.84A(4) Housing Act 1985.

In order to seek possession under the absolute ground, landlords must serve a notice of the proceedings on the tenant, either:

- within 12 months of the relevant conviction or finding of the court being relied on (or if there is an appeal against the finding or conviction within 12 months of the appeal being finally determined, abandoned or withdrawn); or

- within 3 months where the tenant's property has been closed under a closure order (or if there is an appeal against the making of the closure order, within three months of the appeal being finally determined, abandoned or withdrawn).

Further details of this can be found under the 'Absolute grounds for possession' chapter of the ASB Statutory Guidance.

Penalty on breach: Breach of a respect order is a criminal offence. Breaches will be dealt with initially in the Magistrates' Court with more serious breaches transferred to the Crown Court. In the Magistrates Court, breaches are punishable by imprisonment for a term not exceeding the general limit in the Magistrates' Court, an unlimited fine or both. Breaches heard in the Crown Court are punishable by imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years an unlimited fine or both.

Remands: The court has the power to remand a perpetrator in custody or on release them on bail after they have been arrested for breach of the respect order. The court has discretion as to whether to remand a person on bail or in custody.


Appeals: Appeals may be lodged by both the applicant and perpetrator following the grant, refusal, variation or discharge of the respect order. A decision by the County Court may be appealed to the High Court and a decision by the High Court may be appealed to the Court of Appeal Civil Division.

Police National Computer (PNC): Relevant agencies should be aware that Respect Orders may be recorded on the Police National Computer (PNC) in accordance with applicable police recording practices and national guidance. It is the responsibility of the applicant to add details of a granted respect order onto PNC so that police know to arrest for breaches. Accurate recording can assist in ensuring that officers and relevant agencies have access to information necessary to assess risk, understand previous interventions, support enforcement activity and identify repeat patterns of anti-social behaviour.

Where a Respect Order is in force, agencies should ensure that appropriate information-sharing arrangements are in place to support effective implementation, monitoring and enforcement of the Order. Practitioners should have regard to data protection legislation and any relevant operational guidance when handling information relating to Respect Orders.

2.1.2 Youth Injunction

Purpose	To stop or prevent those aged 10-17 engaging in anti-social behaviour quickly, nipping problems in the bud before they escalate.
Applicants	• Local councils; • Social landlords; • Police (including British Transport Police); • Transport for London; West Midlands Combined Authority; Transport for Greater Manchester; • Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales; and • NHS Counter Fraud Authority.
Test	On the balance of probabilities; • The respondent (under 18) has engaged in or threatens to engage in; • Conduct that has or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress (non-housing related anti-social behaviour); • Conduct capable of causing nuisance or annoyance (housing related anti-social behaviour); and • Just and convenient to grant the injunction to prevent anti-social behaviour.
Details	• Issued by the youth court. • Injunction will include prohibitions and can also include positive requirements to get the perpetrator to address the underlying causes of their anti-social behaviour. • Agencies must consult youth offending teams prior to making applications.
Penalty on breach	• Breach of the injunction is not a criminal offence, but breach must be proved to the criminal standard, that is, beyond a reasonable doubt. • Breach can result in a supervision order or, as a very last resort, a detention order of up to three months for 14-17 year olds.
Appeals	Appeals are made to the crown court.
The legislation	Part 1 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.



Purpose

The youth injunction under part 1 of the 2014 Act is a civil power to deal with youth-related ASB committed by under 18s. It can help to stop the behaviour from escalating whilst positive action can be required to help ensure that the underlying causes of the behaviour are addressed.

Although the youth injunction is a civil power, it is a formal sanction, and professionals will want to consider whether an informal approach might be preferable before resorting to court action. However, where informal approaches have not worked or professionals decide that a formal response is needed, they are free to apply to the court for a youth injunction.

Who can apply for a youth injunction?

Like the respect order, several agencies can apply for the youth injunction, which ensures that the body best placed to lead on a specific case can do so.

The relevant authorities who can apply for an injunction are:

- a local council;
- a housing provider;
- a chief officer of police in England & Wales;
- the chief constable of the British Transport Police;
- Transport for London;

- West Midlands Combined Authority⁵;
- Transport for Greater Manchester⁶;
- the Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales;
- the Secretary of State exercising security management functions, or a Special Health Authority exercising security management functions on the direction of the Secretary of State
- NHS Counter Fraud Authority
- the Welsh Ministers exercising security management functions, or a person exercising security management functions on the direction of the Welsh Ministers or under arrangements made between the Welsh Ministers and that person.

The legal tests for granting a youth injunction

Court is satisfied on the **balance of probabilities** that the respondent engaged or threatened to engage in anti-social behaviour.



Just and convenient to grant the injunction for the purpose of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour.

There are two conditions to meet in order to grant an Injunction:

1. The first is that the Court is satisfied on the balance of probabilities that the respondent engaged or threatened to engage in anti-social behaviour.
2. The second condition is that it is just and convenient to grant the injunction for the purpose of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour.

Anti-social behaviour is defined as conduct that has caused, or is likely to cause, harassment, alarm or distress to any person. This may include behaviour in public places, such as town or city centres, shopping centres or local parks, as well as abusive, intimidating or bullying behaviour towards individuals in the community, including neighbours. Where the behaviour affects the housing management functions of a social landlord or people in their homes, applicants should consider whether the housing-related provisions are more appropriate.

Putting victims first: In deciding whether the individual's conduct has caused or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress or is capable of causing nuisance or annoyance, agencies should contact all potential victims and witnesses to understand the wider harm to individuals and the community. Not only will this ensure that victims and communities feel that their problem is being taken seriously, it will also aid the evidence-gathering process for the application to the court.

⁵ The West Midlands Combined Authority (Functions and Amendment) Order 2017

⁶ The Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (Amendment) Order 2019

Details

Who can the youth injunction be issued against? A court may grant the Injunction against anyone who is aged between 10 and 17. Applications must be made in the youth court.

Dealing with young people: Applicants must consult the local youth offending team if the application is against someone under the age of 18 and inform any other body or individual the applicant thinks appropriate, for example, a youth charity that is already working with the young person. Although the consultation requirement does not mean that the youth offending team can veto the application, it is important that applicants fully consider and take into account representations from the youth offending team as part of developing good partnership working in cases involving young people.

The youth offending team will be important in getting the young person to adhere to the conditions in the injunction and that they are understood. The conditions will be overseen by a responsible officer in the youth offending team or children and family services. The youth offending team will also work with applicants as part of a multi-agency approach to ensure that positive requirements in the injunction are tailored to the needs of the young person.

It is recommended that relevant authorities consider informal interventions prior to issuing a youth injunction, particularly to those under 18, although it is good practice to apply early intervention in all cases no matter the age of the perpetrator. Examples of these can be found on pages 45 – 49 of this guidance. In particular, it may be more appropriate to give a verbal or written warning or have the perpetrator sign an acceptable behaviour contract with their parent or legal guardian present. Relevant authorities should ensure that applications for Youth Injunctions are proportionate, necessary and appropriate to the age, circumstances and needs of the child concerned. Particular caution should be exercised when considering applications involving younger children, especially those under the age of 16, given their stage of development and the importance of considering safeguarding, welfare and early intervention opportunities. It is also recommended that the relevant authorities consult with relevant children's services to identify any safeguarding and child protection concerns prior to applying for a youth injunction.

Youth consultation: Applicants should also consider consulting the relevant local authority as they may hold information which is of relevance and/or which may need to be considered as part of the application. For example, a young person may be a child in need or on a child protection plan and additional safeguarding measures may be required. The local authority may also hold information which supports the application.

When can youth injunctions be used? As with the respect order, the injunction can be used to deal with a wide range of behaviours by young people that cause, or are likely to cause, harm to victims and communities. This may include bullying, intimidation or harassment; verbal abuse, threatening or aggressive behaviour; vandalism, graffiti or criminal damage; misuse of bicycles, e-bikes, scooters or motorcycles in public spaces. Injunctions should not be used to stop reasonable, trivial or benign behaviour that has not caused, or is not likely to cause, anti-social behaviour to victims or communities, and potential applicants are encouraged to make reasonable and proportionate judgements about the appropriateness of the proposed response before making an application for an injunction.

The youth injunction can also be used to tackle gang related activity, either directly on gang members or on those being exploited by gangs in order to disrupt their operations. This can be

particularly useful in cases of 'county lines' where urban gangs exploit children and vulnerable people to move drugs and money to suburban areas and market and coastal towns. In such cases, the conditions of the injunction can include prohibitions on entering certain areas or affiliating with certain individuals. They could also include positive requirements such as engaging in drug treatment if the reason they became involved with, and remain indebted to, the gang is because of a drug dependency.

Risk assessment checklist: Prior to applying for a youth injunction, the relevant authority must complete a risk assessment checklist. This is a statutory requirement. The risk assessment must, include an assessment of:

- a) the risk of any person being caused harassment, alarm or distress by the respondent's conduct,
- b) any vulnerabilities of the respondent,
- c) any alternative means of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour, and
- d) such other matters as the person considers relevant.

The risk assessment could, for example, consider both the respondent and victims mental health, housing situation and any other contextual factors around the behaviour in question. Additionally, a risk assessment should consider use of positive requirements to determine if the respondent's behaviour can be addressed longer term. Consideration should be given to the use of early and informal interventions, as detailed on pages 45 - 49.

Beyond the requirements set out in legislation, the nature of the risk assessment is flexible, and it is up to the relevant authority to determine the format and details of their risk assessment process. There is no requirement for the risk assessment checklist to be provided to the court, however relevant authorities should consider doing so as it could provide the court with relevant contextual factors when making a decision to grant the youth injunction.

Although there is no statutory requirement to provide the risk assessment checklist to the court, it is recommended that the person authorising the proceedings provides a witness statement exhibiting the assessment. This will assist the court in understanding how the assessment was conducted and why it was considered appropriate to seek a respect order. The statement should set out the relevant equality, vulnerability and proportionality considerations, including any known protected characteristics, the enquiries made in relation to those matters, whether reasonable adjustments are required, whether due regard has been had to the Public Sector Equality Duty, and why any alternative remedies were considered unsuitable or insufficient.

It is recommended to include this at the earliest stage, instead of waiting for the defence to ask for disclosure as this would only unnecessarily lengthen the proceedings and may possibly be a reason for a judge to refuse an interim order at the first hearing. Advice from a lawyer should be sought when completing the risk assessment to ensure all potential issues the defence might raise are covered.

An example risk assessment checklist is provided at **Annex B**.

What to include in the application: The youth injunction will include relevant prohibitions to require individuals to stop behaving anti-socially. It can also include positive requirements to encourage the individual to deal with the underlying cause of their behaviour. Agencies will have the discretion to tailor the positive requirements in each case to address the respondent's

individual circumstances, behaviour and needs. There may be opportunities to work with voluntary sector organisations.

Positive requirements might, for example, include the respondent:

- attending youth crime prevention courses;
- attending youth diversion programmes;
- attending structured mentoring or consequential thinking sessions with an agreed provider (such as the Youth Offending Team) to address the behaviour linked to the injunction.

The prohibitions or requirements in the youth injunction must be reasonable and must not, so far as practicable:

- interfere with the times, if any, at which the respondent normally works or attends school or any other educational establishment; or
- conflict with the requirements of any other court order or youth injunction to which the respondent may be subject.

In addition, applicants should also consider the impact on any caring responsibilities the respondent may have and, if they have a disability, whether he or she can comply with the proposed prohibitions or requirements.

A draft of the proposed terms of the youth injunction should include all proposed prohibitions and requirements and their duration. Requirements should be clearly and unambiguously drafted. Applicants will need to be prepared for the court to examine each prohibition and requirement and will need to be able to prove how each will help stop or prevent the respondent from engaging in or threatening to engage in anti-social behaviour in the future. An effective risk assessment will aid this part of the court process. It is also important that any requirement is clear about who is responsible for supervising compliance and the court must receive evidence about its suitability and enforceability. Applicants should also consider whether additional information about the requirements should be provided in a format that the respondent is most likely to understand, such as plain language summaries, visual prompts or other age-appropriate materials. Where two or more requirements are included, the court must consider their compatibility.

Duration of youth injunctions: Prohibitions or requirements must have a specified time limit, with a maximum term of 12 months. Applicants should specify the duration they seek for the youth injunction in their application.

Publicising an Injunction issued to a young person: Making the public aware of the perpetrator and the terms of the order can be an important part of the process in dealing with anti-social behaviour and providing reassurance to victims, as well as providing the information people need to identify and report breaches. The decision to publicise the injunction will be taken by the police or council unless the court has made a section 39 order (Children and Young Persons Act 1933) prohibiting publication. When deciding whether to publicise the injunction, public authorities (including the courts) must consider that it is necessary and proportionate to interfere with the young person's right to privacy, and the likely impact on a young person's behaviour. This will need to be balanced against the need to provide re-

assurance to the victims and the wider community as well as providing information so that they can report any breaches. Each case should be decided carefully on its own facts.

‘Without notice’ applications: Youth injunctions can be applied for ‘without notice’ being given to the perpetrator in exceptional cases to stop serious harm to victims. They should not be made routinely or in place of inadequate preparation for normal ‘with notice’ applications.

The notification requirements that apply to ‘with notice’ applications do not apply to ‘without notice’ applications. Risk assessments must be completed for ‘without notice’ applications.

A without notice application may be appropriate where there is a credible and immediate risk of harm before a with notice hearing can be arranged, including threats to individuals, witnesses or property, or where there is reason to believe the defendant may frustrate the court process, for example by avoiding service. It may also be appropriate where the matter is time-critical and there is insufficient time to give notice, such as where the respondent is expected to engage imminently in conduct that the respect order would seek to prevent.

Interim youth injunctions: If an application is made without notice, the court must either:

- a. adjourn the proceedings and make an interim order;
- b. adjourn the proceedings without making an interim order; or
- c. dismiss the application.

The court may also grant an interim respect order where a standard application is adjourned. The interim respect order can only include prohibitions, not positive requirements. When applying for an interim respect order, the applicant should ensure that the application presents the victim’s case and also why the interim respect order is necessary.

Variation and discharge of youth injunctions: The court has the power to vary or discharge the youth injunction upon application by either the respondent or the applicant. If the applicant wishes to discharge or vary the youth injunction, they should notify the people and organisations they consulted as part of the initial application process. Applicants may consider applying to vary the youth injunction in response to changes in the respondent’s behaviour. The powers of the court to vary the youth injunction include:

- to remove a prohibition or requirement in the youth injunction;
- to include a prohibition or requirement in the youth injunction;
- to reduce the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect;
- to extend the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect; or
- to attach a power of arrest or extend the period for which a power of arrest has effect.

If the court dismisses an application to vary the youth injunction, the relevant party is not allowed to make a further application without the consent of the court or the agreement of the other party.

Hearsay evidence: Hearsay and professional witness evidence allow for the identities of those who are unable to give evidence due to fear of intimidation, to be protected. This is especially important as cases can involve anti-social behaviour in residential areas where local people and those targeted by the behaviour may feel unable to come forward for fear of reprisals.

Hearsay evidence could be provided by a police officer, healthcare official, or any other professional who has interviewed the witness directly.

Hearsay evidence is admissible in civil proceedings, but first-hand evidence will usually carry greater weight. Where hearsay evidence is relied on, applicants should explain why direct evidence is not available and why the evidence should nevertheless be regarded as reliable. This may include, for example, cases where a witness is unwilling to give evidence because of fear or intimidation, or where complaints have been made anonymously but are supported by other evidence identifying the respondent. The Civil Evidence Act 1995 sets out the factors the court may take into account when assessing the weight to be given to hearsay evidence.

Power of arrest: The court can attach a power of arrest to any prohibition or requirement in the injunction, except where the requirement is that the respondent participates in a particular activity. The court can only attach a power of arrest if:

- the anti-social behaviour in which the respondent has engaged, or threatens to engage, consists of or includes the use, or threatened use, of violence against other persons; or
- there is a significant risk of harm to other persons from the respondent.

If the applicant believes a power of arrest is appropriate, they should present this by way of written evidence. Such evidence may indicate that the respondent poses a high level of risk to the victim or the community should any of the conditions in the injunction be breached, for example, where there is a history of violent behaviour.

Where a power of arrest is attached to a condition of the injunction, a police officer can arrest the respondent without warrant if he or she has reasonable cause to believe that a breach has occurred. The police must present the respondent to court within 24 hours of the time of their arrest. (In calculating when the 24-hour period ends, Sunday, Christmas Day and Good Friday are to be disregarded).

If the applicant thinks that the respondent has breached a term of the injunction to which a power of arrest has not been attached, they may apply to the court for an arrest warrant. The application must be made to a justice of the peace. The justice of the peace may then issue a warrant for the respondent's arrest if they have reasonable grounds for believing the respondent has breached a provision in the injunction. The constable who arrests the person must inform the applicant when the respondent is arrested.

Breaching a youth injunction: Breach of the injunction is not a criminal offence, it is treated as contempt of court. However, due to the potential severity of the penalties which the court can impose on respondents, the criminal standard of proof – 'beyond reasonable doubt' – is applied in breach proceedings.

Breach proceedings are dealt with in the youth court and could result in a supervision order with a supervision, curfew or activity requirement. In the most serious cases (that is, 'where the court determines that because of the severity or extent of the breach no other power available


to it is appropriate') the court may impose a detention order on a young person who is aged 14-17 for breaching the terms of the injunction. The maximum period of detention is 3 months.

Remands: A person under 18 may be remanded to a hospital or registered establishment on medical grounds, that is, if after obtaining evidence from a registered medical practitioner, the court is satisfied that the young person is suffering from a mental disorder and it would be impracticable to get a medical report for the young person if they were granted bail.

Appeals: Appeals may be lodged by both the applicant and perpetrator following the grant, refusal, variation or discharge of the youth injunction. Appeals against decisions of the youth court are heard in the Crown Court.

2.1.3 Housing Injunction

Purpose	To stop or prevent perpetrators engaging in housing-related anti-social behaviour quickly, stopping problems before they escalate.
Applicants	• Local councils; • Social landlords; • Police (including British Transport Police); • Transport for London; West Midlands Combined Authority; Transport for Greater Manchester; • Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales; and • NHS Counter Fraud Authority.
Test	On the balance of probabilities; • The respondent has engaged in or threatens to engage in; • Conduct capable of causing nuisance or annoyance; and • Just and convenient to grant the injunction to prevent anti-social behaviour.
Details	• Issued by the county court or High Court. Unless there is a compelling reason for the application to be made to the High Court these should be made to the appropriate County Court. • Injunction will include prohibitions and can also include positive requirements to get the perpetrator to address the underlying causes of their anti-social behaviour.
Penalty on breach	• Breach of the injunction is not a criminal offence, but breach must be proved to the criminal standard, that is, beyond a reasonable doubt. • Breach can result in civil contempt of court with unlimited fine or up to two years in prison.
Appeals	Appeals are made to the High Court (where a decision has been made by the County Court) or to the Court of Appeal Civil Division (where a decision has been made by the High Court).
The legislation	Sections 1 to 21 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.



Purpose

The housing injunction under part 1 of the 2014 Act is a civil power to deal with individuals 18 or over who are engaging in ASB in a housing context. The housing injunction can offer fast and effective protection for victims and communities and set a clear standard of behaviour for perpetrators, stopping the person's behaviour from continuing or escalating.

Although the housing injunction is a civil power, it is a formal sanction and in appropriate cases professionals will want to consider whether an informal approach might be preferable before resorting to court action. However, where informal approaches have not worked or professionals decide that a formal response is needed, they are free to apply to the court for a housing injunction.

Who can apply for a housing injunction?

A number of agencies can apply for the housing injunction, which ensures that the body best placed to lead on a specific case can do so.

The agencies who can apply for a housing injunction are:

- a local council;
- a non-profit social housing provider;

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- the chief officer of police in England & Wales;
- the chief constable of the British Transport Police;
- Transport for London;
- West Midlands Combined Authority⁷;
- Transport for Greater Manchester⁸;
- the Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales;
- the Secretary of State exercising security management functions, or a Special Health Authority exercising security management functions on the direction of the Secretary of State;
- NHS Counter Fraud Authority
- the Welsh Ministers exercising security management functions, or a person or body exercising security management functions on the direction of the Welsh Ministers or under arrangements made between the Welsh Ministers and that person or body.

The legal tests for granting a housing injunction

Court is satisfied on the **balance of probabilities** that the Respondent has engaged in conduct capable of causing nuisance or annoyance.



Just and convenient to grant the housing injunction for the purpose of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour.

Details

Anti-social behaviour in a housing context is legally defined as conduct that is capable of causing nuisance or annoyance to a person in relation to that person's occupation of residential premises, or conduct capable of causing housing-related nuisance or annoyance to any person. "Housing-related" means directly or indirectly relating to their housing management function of the social landlord.

The housing injunction can be applied for by the police, local councils and social landlords against perpetrators in social housing, the private-rented sector and owner-occupiers. This means that it can be used against perpetrators who are not necessarily tenants of the social landlord applying for the order.

The injunction can also be used in situations where the perpetrator has allowed another person to engage in anti-social behaviour, as opposed to actively engaging in such behaviour themselves. For example, in a case where another person, such as a visitor or lodger, is or has been behaving anti-socially, the injunction could be used against the problem visitor, lodger or owner if applicable. An agency seeking to apply for the injunction must produce evidence to the

⁷ The West Midlands Combined Authority (Functions and Amendment) Order 2017

⁸ The Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (Amendment) Order 2019

civil standard of proof, that is, 'on the balance of probabilities', and satisfy the court that it is both 'just and convenient' to grant the order.

Putting victims first: In deciding whether the individual's conduct is capable of causing nuisance or annoyance, agencies should contact potential victims and witnesses to understand the wider harm to individuals and the community. Not only will this ensure that victims and communities feel that their problem is being taken seriously, it will also aid the evidence-gathering process for the application to the court.

Who can the housing injunction be issued against? A court may grant the injunction against anyone who is 18 years of age or over. Applications must be made in the County Court or High Court. Unless there is a compelling reason for the application to be made to the High Court these should be made to the appropriate County Court. Applications against anyone under the age of 18 who is causing housing-related nuisance or annoyance must be made to the Youth Court for a youth injunction.

When can housing injunctions be used? The housing injunction can be used to deal with a wide range of behaviours, many of which can cause serious harm to victims and communities in housing-related situations. This includes vandalism and damage, noisy or abusive behaviour towards neighbours, or bullying, amongst others. housing injunctions should not be used to stop reasonable, trivial or benign behaviour that has not caused, or is not likely to cause negative impact for victims or communities, and potential applicants are encouraged to make reasonable and proportionate judgements about the appropriateness of the proposed response before making an application for a housing injunction.

Where housing-related ASB occurs that is deemed to meet the threshold of harassment, alarm or distress, the relevant authority can apply for a respect order instead of a housing injunction. This would be for cases where greater harm is being caused, and as a result a greater range of sanctions is necessary.

Non-local authority applicants should also consider consulting the relevant local authority, or police, as they may hold information which is of relevance and/or which may need to be considered as part of the application. For example, a person may be vulnerable and additional safeguarding measures may be required. The local authority may also hold information which supports the application.

The risk assessment could, for example, consider both the respondent and victim's mental health situation, housing and any other contextual factors around the behaviour in question. Additionally, a risk assessment should consider use of positive requirements to determine whether they would be of benefit to the respondent.

Risk assessment checklist: Prior to applying for a housing injunction, the applicant must complete a risk assessment checklist. The risk assessment must, include an assessment of:

- a) the risk of any person being caused harassment, alarm or distress by the respondent's conduct,
- b) any relevant vulnerabilities of the respondent,
- c) any alternative means of preventing the respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour, and
- d) such other matters as the person considers relevant.

Beyond the requirements set out in legislation, the nature of the risk assessment is flexible, and it is up to the relevant authority to determine the format and details of their risk assessment process. There is no requirement for the risk assessment checklist to be provided to the court, however relevant authorities should consider doing so as it could provide the court with relevant contextual factors when making a decision to grant the housing injunction.

Although there is no statutory requirement to provide the risk assessment checklist to the court, it is recommended that the person authorising the proceedings provides a witness statement exhibiting the assessment. This will assist the court in understanding how the assessment was conducted and why it was considered appropriate to seek a respect order. The statement should set out the relevant equality, vulnerability and proportionality considerations, including any known protected characteristics, the enquiries made in relation to those matters, whether reasonable adjustments are required, whether due regard has been had to the Public Sector Equality Duty, and why any alternative remedies were considered unsuitable or insufficient.

It is recommended to include this at the earliest stage, instead of waiting for the defence to ask for disclosure as this would only unnecessarily lengthen the proceedings and may possibly be a reason for a judge to refuse an interim order at the first hearing. Advice from a lawyer should be sought when completing the risk assessment to ensure all potential issues the defence might raise are covered.

An example risk assessment checklist is provided at Annex B.

What to include in the application: The housing injunction will include relevant prohibitions to require individuals to stop engaging in relevant anti-social behaviour. It can also include positive requirements to encourage the individual to deal with the underlying cause of their behaviour. Agencies have the discretion to tailor the requirements in each case to address the respondent's individual circumstances, behaviour and needs. There may be opportunities to work with voluntary sector organisations.

Positive requirements might, for example, include the respondent:

- attending drug awareness classes for drug-related problems;
- providing access to landlords for inspections; or
- undertaking support for mental health concerns.

The prohibitions or requirements in the housing injunction must be reasonable and must not, so far as practicable:

- interfere with the times, if any, at which the respondent normally works or attends school or any other educational establishment; or
- conflict with the requirements of any other court order or Injunction to which the respondent may be subject.

In addition, applicants should also consider the impact on any caring responsibilities the perpetrator may have and, if they have a disability, whether he or she can comply with the proposed prohibitions or requirements.

A draft of the proposed terms of the housing injunction should include all proposed prohibitions and requirements and their duration. These should be drafted in a clear and unambiguous way, so they can be easily understood by the respondent, the relevant authorities, and other relevant parties. Applicants will need to be prepared for the court to examine each prohibition and requirement and will need to be able to prove how each will help stop or prevent the respondent from engaging in or threatening to engage in anti-social behaviour in the future. An effective risk assessment will aid this part of the court process. It is also important that any requirement is clear about who is responsible for supervising compliance and the court must receive evidence about its suitability and enforceability. Where two or more requirements are included the court must consider their compatibility.

Putting victims first: Keeping victims and communities updated on enforcement action at key points can help to mitigate the impact the behaviour is having on them. Victims may feel that their complaint has been ignored if they do not see changes to the behaviour. Letting victims know what is happening can make a big difference.

Duration of housing injunctions: Prohibitions or requirements in the housing injunction can be for a fixed or indefinite period. There is no minimum duration for a housing injunction. Applicants should specify the duration that they seek for the housing injunction in their application.

Exclusion from the home: The court may exclude a perpetrator from any premises or an area specified within the terms of the housing injunction. This can include their home, where the court thinks that the anti-social behaviour includes the use, or threatened use, of violence against other persons, or there is a significant risk of harm. The word harm is defined in section 20 of the legislation as including “serious ill-treatment or abuse, whether physical or not” – which means that it could include emotional or psychological harm, such as harassment or racial abuse.

Social landlords will only be able to apply to the court to exclude their own tenants and visitors to properties managed by them, whilst councils and the police will be the lead agencies in applying to exclude private tenants or owner-occupiers from their homes. In cases where the police or local council is the lead agency in an application to exclude a social tenant, they should consult the landlord. If the exclusion is applied to someone in privately rented accommodation or in residential leasehold housing, the police or council should, where circumstances permit, inform and consult the landlord (generally referred to in the leasehold as the freeholder) beforehand.

We do not expect the power of exclusion to be used often and the court will pay special attention to issues of proportionality. As such, applications should only be made for exclusion in extreme cases that meet the higher threshold set out above.

‘Without notice’ applications: Housing injunctions can be applied for ‘without notice’ being given to the perpetrator in exceptional cases. They should not be made routinely or in place of inadequate preparation for normal ‘with notice’ applications.

The notification requirements that apply to ‘with notice’ applications do not apply to ‘without notice’ applications. Risk assessments must be completed for ‘without notice’ applications.

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

A without notice application may be appropriate where there is a credible and immediate risk of harm before a with notice hearing can be arranged, including threats to individuals, witnesses or property, or where there is reason to believe the defendant may frustrate the court process, for example by avoiding service. It may also be appropriate where the matter is time-critical and there is insufficient time to give notice, such as where the respondent is expected to engage imminently in conduct that the respect order would seek to prevent.

Interim housing injunctions: If an application is made without notice, the court must either:

- a. adjourn the proceedings and make an interim order;
- b. adjourn the proceedings without making an interim order; or
- c. dismiss the application.

The court may also grant an interim respect order where a standard application is adjourned. The interim respect order can only include prohibitions, not positive requirements. When applying for an interim respect order, the applicant should ensure that the application presents the victim's case and also why the interim respect order is necessary.

Variation and discharge of housing injunctions: The court has the power to vary or discharge the housing injunction upon application by either the perpetrator or the applicant. If the applicant wishes to discharge or vary the housing injunction, they should notify the people and organisations they consulted as part of the initial application process.

Applicants may consider applying to vary the housing injunction in response to changes in the respondent's behaviour. The powers of the court to vary the housing injunction include:

- to remove a prohibition or requirement in the housing injunction;
- to include a prohibition or requirement in the housing injunction;
- to reduce the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect; or
- to extend the period for which a prohibition or requirement has effect;

If the court dismisses an application to vary the housing injunction, the relevant party is not allowed to make a further application without the consent of the court or the agreement of the other party.

Power of arrest: The court can attach a power of arrest to any prohibition or requirement in the injunction, except where the requirement is that the respondent participates in a particular activity. The court can only attach a power of arrest if:

- the anti-social behaviour in which the respondent has engaged, or threatens to engage, consists of or includes the use, or threatened use, of violence against other persons; or
- there is a significant risk of harm to other persons from the respondent.

If the applicant believes a power of arrest is appropriate, they should present this by way of written evidence. Such evidence may indicate that the respondent poses a high level of risk to the victim or the community should any of the conditions in the injunction be breached, for example, where there is a history of violent behaviour.

If the applicant thinks that the respondent has breached a term of the injunction to which a power of arrest has not been attached, they may apply to the court for an arrest warrant. The application must be made to a judge in the county court. The judge may then issue a warrant for the respondent's arrest if they have reasonable grounds for believing the respondent has

breached a provision in the injunction. The police constable who arrests the person must inform the applicant when the respondent is arrested.

Where a power of arrest is attached to a condition of the injunction, a police officer can arrest the respondent without warrant if they have reasonable cause to believe that a breach has occurred. The police must present the respondent to court within 24 hours of the time of their arrest (In calculating when the 24-hour period ends Sunday, Christmas Day and Good Friday are to be disregarded).

Hearsay evidence: Hearsay and professional witness evidence allow for the identities of those who are unable to give evidence due to fear or intimidation, to be protected. This is especially important as cases can involve anti-social behaviour in residential areas where local people and those targeted by the behaviour may feel unable to come forward for fear of reprisals. Hearsay evidence could be provided by a police officer, healthcare official, or any other professional who has interviewed the witness directly.

Hearsay evidence is admissible in civil proceedings, but first-hand evidence will usually carry greater weight. Where hearsay evidence is relied on, applicants should explain why direct evidence is not available and why the evidence should nevertheless be regarded as reliable. This may include, for example, cases where a witness is unwilling to give evidence because of fear or intimidation, or where complaints have been made anonymously but are supported by other evidence identifying the respondent. The Civil Evidence Act 1995 sets out the factors the court may take into account when assessing the weight to be given to hearsay evidence.

Breaching a housing injunction: Breach of a housing injunction is not a criminal offence. However, due to the potential severity of the penalties which the court can impose on respondents, the criminal standard of proof – ‘beyond reasonable doubt’ – is applied in breach proceedings.


Breach is dealt with by a civil contempt of court, which is punishable by up to two years in prison and/or an unlimited fine. The imprisonment is for contempt of court, not for the conduct.

Remands: The court has the power to remand a perpetrator in custody or release them on bail after they have been arrested for suspected breach of the housing injunction (with or without warrant).

Appeals: Appeals may be lodged by both the applicant and perpetrator following the grant, refusal, variation or discharge of the housing injunction. A decision by the County Court may be appealed to the High Court.

2.2 Criminal Behaviour Order

Purpose	Issued by any criminal court against a person who has been convicted of an offence to tackle the most persistently anti-social individuals who are also engaged in criminal activity.
Applicants	The prosecution, in most cases the Crown Prosecution Service, either at its own initiative or following a request from the police or council.
Test	• That the court is satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the offender has engaged in behaviour that has caused or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to any person; and • The court considers that making the order will help prevent the offender from engaging in such behaviour.
Details	• Issued by any criminal court on conviction for any criminal offence. • The anti-social behaviour does not need to be part of the criminal offence. • Order will include prohibitions to stop the anti-social behaviour but can also include positive requirements to get the offender to address the underlying causes of their behaviour. • Agencies must find out the view of the youth offending team for applications in respect of anybody under 18.
Penalty on breach	• Breach of the order is a criminal offence and must be proved to a criminal standard of proof, that is, beyond reasonable doubt. • For over 18s on summary conviction: up to six months imprisonment or a fine or both. • For over 18s on conviction on indictment: up to five years imprisonment or a fine or both. • For under 18s: the sentencing powers in the youth court apply.
Appeal	• Appeals against orders made in the magistrates' court (which includes the youth court) lie to the Crown Court. • Appeals against orders made in the Crown Court lie to the Court of Appeal.
The legislation	Sections 330 to 342 of the Sentencing Code (which is a product of the Sentencing Act 2020 amends sections 22-33 and s.179(3) of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014).



Purpose

The Criminal Behaviour Order (CBO) is available on conviction for any criminal offence in any criminal court. The court may make a CBO so long as the court imposes a sentence in respect of the offence or discharges the offender conditionally. The order is intended for tackling the most serious and persistent offenders where their behaviour has brought them before a criminal court.

Applicants: The prosecution may apply for a CBO after the offender has been convicted of a criminal offence. The prosecution can make such an application at its own initiative or following a request from a council or the police. The CBO hearing will occur after, or at the same time as, sentencing for the criminal conviction.

Good relationships between local agencies and the prosecutor will be important to ensure that the CBO application can be properly reviewed and notice of it served as soon as practicable, without waiting for the verdict in the criminal case. Agencies should consider setting up local information exchanges to make sure that the CBO is considered in appropriate cases where anti-social behaviour is brought before a criminal court.

The legal tests for granting a Criminal Behaviour Order

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

The court must be satisfied **beyond reasonable doubt** that the offender has engaged in behaviour that caused, or was likely to cause, harassment, alarm or distress to any person.



Making the order will help in preventing the offender from engaging in such behaviour.

Details

When can a Criminal Behaviour Order be used? The CBO can be used to deal with a wide range of anti-social behaviours following an individual's conviction for a criminal offence; for example, threatening others in the community, persistently being drunk and aggressive in public, or to deal with anti-social behaviour associated with a more serious conviction, such as for burglary, street robbery or cuckooing. The CBO can also be used to address the anti-social behaviour of gang members, for example to prevent them from affiliating with certain individuals or to require them to attend a job readiness course to help them get employment.

However, an application for a CBO does not require a link between the criminal behaviour which led to the conviction and the anti-social behaviour it addresses for it to be issued by the court. Agencies must make proportionate and reasonable judgements before applying for a CBO, and conditions of an order should not be designed to stop reasonable, trivial or benign behaviour that has not caused, or is unlikely to cause, harassment, alarm or distress to victims or communities.

An application for a CBO does not require a link between the criminal behaviour which led to the conviction and the anti-social behaviour for it to be issued by the court.

A CBO can only be made by a court after the defendant has been convicted and it is ancillary to the sentence for the offence. The application for a CBO is therefore made and, in most cases, determined at the sentencing hearing.

The police should notify the prosecutor, such as the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), of the intention to apply for a CBO at the earliest possible stage. This will usually be when the file is submitted by the police to the prosecutor after charge (where the police have responsibility for charging) or when the police submit the file to the prosecutor for a charging decision (where the prosecutor has the responsibility for charging). A local authority may also approach the prosecution directly with a request to consider an application for a CBO without having to go via the police.

A draft CBO (or a document, setting out the conditions sought) and the evidence in support of the application should be supplied to the prosecutor before the first hearing or as soon as possible thereafter.

It is important to note that defendants dealt with in the magistrates' court may plead guilty and be sentenced at the first hearing. Therefore, if the documents and evidence in support of an CBO are not supplied to the prosecutor before the first hearing, the opportunity to make such an application may be lost.

On occasion, if the application for a CBO is opposed by the defendant and a significant amount of evidence has to be called, the court may adjourn the application for a CBO to a separate contested hearing. In such circumstances the prosecutor may make an application for an interim order if there is an immediate need to protect specific individuals or a section of the community.

Best practice is for the police and prosecutor to engage with the defence at the earliest appropriate opportunity before the sentencing hearing to discuss the proposed terms of any Criminal Behaviour Order. Where agreement can be reached, the prosecution should present an agreed draft order to the court, while ensuring that the proposed prohibitions and requirements remain necessary, proportionate, clear, enforceable and supported by evidence. Early engagement can help narrow the issues in dispute, reduce the need for contested hearings, and assist the court in considering the proposed order at sentence.

Consultation: The only formal consultation requirement applies where an offender is under 18 years of age. In these cases, the prosecution must find out the views of the local youth offending team before applying for the CBO. The views of the youth offending team must be included in the file of evidence forwarded to the prosecution. In practice, the consultation with the youth offending team must be carried out by the organisation preparing the application for the CBO; that is, the council or the police.

The legislation (Part 2 of the 2014 Act) has deliberately kept formal consultation requirements to a minimum to enable agencies to act quickly where needed to protect victims and communities. However, in most cases it is likely that the police or local council will wish to consult with other agencies. This could include local organisations that have come into contact with the individual, such as schools and colleges of further education, providers of probation services, social services, mental health services, housing providers or others. Their views should be considered before the decision is made to ask the prosecutor to consider applying for a CBO. This will ensure that an order is the proper course of action in each case and that the terms of the order are appropriate.

Evidence not heard in the criminal case can still be admissible at the CBO hearing, for example, evidence of other anti-social behaviour by the offender and information about why an order is appropriate in the terms asked for. Witnesses who might be reluctant to give evidence in person may have their evidence accepted as a written statement or given by someone such as a police officer as hearsay evidence, but this will depend on the circumstances of the case.

Special measures are available in CBO proceedings for witnesses under 18 and vulnerable and intimidated adult witnesses (sections 16,17 and 18 Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999). The court has to satisfy itself that the special measure, or combination of special

measures, is likely to maximise the quality of the witness's evidence before granting an application for special measures.

Interim orders: In cases where an offender is convicted of an offence but the court is adjourned for sentencing, or the CBO hearing is adjourned after sentence, an interim order can be granted if the court thinks that it is just to do so. The prosecution can apply for the interim order.

Duration of a Criminal Behaviour Order: The terms of the CBO must include the duration of the order. For adults this is a minimum of two years, up to an indefinite period. For under 18s the order must be between one and three years.

Prohibitions and requirements: The CBO must clearly describe the details of what the offender is not allowed to do (prohibitions) as well as what they must do (requirements). Orders can include prohibitions or requirements or both. It is up to the court to decide which are needed to help prevent further anti-social behaviour and which measures are most appropriate and available to tackle the underlying cause of the behaviour. So far as practicable, these must not interfere with an offender's education or work commitments or conflict with any other court order or injunction that the offender is subject to. In addition, practitioners should, in proposing prohibitions or requirements to the court, also consider the impact on any caring responsibilities the respondent may have and, in the event that the respondent has any disability, whether he or she is capable of complying with the proposed prohibitions or requirements.

The Crown Prosecution Service has issued a guide to assist the police and local councils in preparing CBO applications setting out the general principles to consider; for example, the prohibitions need to deal with the behaviour in question that has caused or is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress. The order and requirements need to be proportionate and specific, and clear and easy to understand. Requirements could include:

- attendance at an anger management course where an offender finds it difficult to respond without violence;
- youth mentoring;
- substance misuse awareness sessions/ course where an offender's anti-social behaviour occurs when they have been drinking or using drugs; or
- a job readiness course to help an offender get employment and move them away from the circumstances that cause them to commit anti-social behaviour.

Before proposing any requirements, evidence must be provided in support of that requirement including information about the person or organisation who will be responsible for supervising compliance and the suitability and enforceability of the requirement. For any requirements where a course is proposed, details of that course and what is involved should be provided, including frequency of appointments and the issues that the appointments will cover or address.

In addition, the responsible person or organisation must inform the police if the offender fails to comply with a requirement; must be a willing participant in the order and be prepared to assist with enforcement.

Putting victims first: The potential impact on the victim or victims will be at the heart of the consideration of the terms of the CBO. Stopping the anti-social behaviour is for the benefit of the victim and thinking about how the terms of the order will impact on the victim is critical. What would they think? Would they be satisfied? It is also good practice to take the time to explain the terms of the order to the victims so that they are aware of the outcome of the court case.

Publicising a CBO issued to a young person: Making the public aware of the offender and the terms of the order can be an important part of the response to anti-social behaviour. It can provide reassurance for communities that action is being taken and it will provide the information that local people need to identify and report breaches.

The decision to publicise a CBO will be taken by the police or council unless the court has made a section 39 order (Children and Young Persons Act 1933) prohibiting publication. When deciding whether to publicise a CBO, public authorities (including the courts) must consider that it is necessary and proportionate to interfere with the young person's right to privacy, and the likely impact on a young person's behaviour. This will need to be balanced against the need to provide re-assurance to victims and the wider community as well as providing them with information so that they can report any breaches. Each case should be decided carefully on its own facts.

Applications to vary or discharge a Criminal Behaviour Order: A CBO may be varied or discharged by the court which made the original order. Either the offender or the prosecution can make an application but if this is dismissed by the court neither party can make a subsequent application without the consent of either the court or the other party. The power to vary includes extending the term of the order or including additional prohibitions or requirements. This flexibility allows for those monitoring the progress of offenders to alter the conditions of the order to suit developing or new circumstances.

Annual reviews for under 18s: Where the order is made against someone under 18 there is a requirement to conduct annual reviews. The review must include consideration of:

- the extent to which the offender has complied with the order;
- the adequacy of any support available to help them to comply with the order; and
- anything else relevant to the question of whether an application should be made to vary or discharge the order.

The police have overall responsibility for carrying out such a review, with a requirement to act in co-operation with the council. The police may invite any other person or body to participate in the review. This could include youth offending teams, educational establishments or other organisations who have been working with the young person. As a result of the review an application to vary or discharge the CBO may be made to the court.

Penalty on breach:

It is a criminal offence if an offender fails to comply, without reasonable excuse, with either the requirements or prohibitions in the CBO. Failure to comply with a prohibition or requirement should be notified to the police. The court has the power to impose serious penalties on conviction	
<u>Adults</u> - On summary conviction in the magistrates' court: a maximum of six months in prison or a fine or both; - On conviction on indictment in the Crown Court: a maximum of five years in prison or a fine or both.	<u>Under 18s</u> - Hearings will take place in the youth court. - The maximum sentence is a two-year detention and training order.


It is a criminal offence if an offender fails to comply, without reasonable excuse, with either the requirements or prohibitions in the CBO. Failure to comply with a prohibition or requirement should be notified to the police. The court has the power to impose serious penalties on conviction, including:

- on summary conviction in the magistrates' court: a maximum of six months in prison or a fine or both;
- on conviction on indictment in the Crown Court: a maximum of five years in prison or a fine or both.

Hearings for those under 18 will take place in the youth court where the maximum sentence is a two-year detention and training order.

2.3 Dispersal Power

Purpose	Requires a person committing or likely to commit anti-social behaviour, crime, or disorder to leave an area for up to 72 hours.
Used by	Police officers in uniform
Test	- Contributing or likely to contribute to members of the public in the locality being harassed, alarmed or distressed or the occurrence of crime and disorder; and - Direction necessary to remove or reduce the likelihood of the anti-social behaviour, crime, or disorder.
Details	- Must specify the area to which it relates and can determine the time and the route to leave by. - Can confiscate any item that could be used to commit anti-social behaviour, crime, or disorder. - Use in a specified locality must be authorised by a police inspector and can last for up to 72 hours, with a mandatory review to be conducted at 48 hours. - A direction can be given to anyone who is, or appears to be, over the age of 10. - A person who is under 16 and given a direction can be taken home or to a place of safety.
Penalty on breach	- Breach is a criminal offence. - Failure to comply with a direction to leave: up to a level 4 fine and/or up to three months in prison although under 18s cannot be imprisoned. - Failure to hand over items: up to a level 2 fine.
Appeals	A person who is given a direction and feels they have been incorrectly dealt with should speak to the duty inspector at the local police station. Details should be given to the person on the written notice.
The legislation	Sections 34 to 42 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, as amended by Section 3(2) of the Crime and Policing Act 2026.
Protecting the vulnerable	- Consideration should be given to how the use of this power might impact on the most vulnerable members of society. - Consideration should also be given to any risks associated with displacement, including to where people may be dispersed to. - There is value in working in partnership to resolve ongoing problems and find long term solutions.



Purpose

The dispersal power is a flexible power which the police can use in a range of situations to disperse anti-social individuals and provide immediate short-term respite to the local community. The power is preventative, allowing a police officer to deal quickly with someone's behaviour and deal with the problem before it escalates.

Restricting an individual's freedom of movement is a serious issue, and accordingly the power should not be invoked lightly. This is why the legislation requires the authorising officer to be satisfied on reasonable grounds that use of the power is necessary to remove or reduce the likelihood of people being harassed, alarmed or distressed or the occurrence of crime or disorder.

In areas where there are regular problems, it is recommended that the police work with the local council to find a sustainable long-term solution. The impact on the local community should be considered when using the dispersal power.

Who can use the power?

The dispersal power can be used by police officers in uniform. A direction given by a police officer not in uniform is not valid as set out under s.35.

Use of the dispersal power must be authorised by an officer of at least the rank of inspector. This helps to ensure that the power is not used to stop activities which are not causing anti-social behaviour. It may be appropriate for an officer of a more senior rank to authorise the use

of the power where, for example, there is no inspector on duty who knows the specific circumstances of the area. The authorising officer can authorise use of the power in a specified locality for a period they consider necessary and proportionate, up to a maximum of 72 hours.

The inspector (or above) must record the authorisation in writing, specifying the grounds on which it is given and sign the authorisation. The decision to issue a dispersal direction should be based on objective grounds: this may include local knowledge of the area and information to suggest that individuals are likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to others or engage in crime and disorder at a specific time. The authorising officer should ensure that the evidence is sufficient to justify using the power, and should take account of wider impacts, such as on community relations. The written authorisation may be admitted in evidence if the authorisation is in dispute.

Ensuring proportionality: Restricting people's freedom of movement is a serious matter and it is important that the dispersal power is used proportionately and reasonably, respecting individuals' rights of lawful freedom of expression and freedom of assembly.

The dispersal power can only be used in the specific location authorised by the inspector (or above) who should define a specific geographic location, for example by listing the streets to which it applies or the streets which form the boundary of the area, rather than stating 'in and around the area of'. The authorisation should not cover an area larger than is necessary. If the anti-social behaviour occurs outside the authorised area, the authorising officer will have to increase the area or officers will not be able to use the power. If the officer is unsure about issuing a dispersal direction, they may wish to check with a senior officer or colleague to ensure a dispersal direction is necessary and proportionate.

The dispersal power can exclude an individual from an area for up to 72 hours. Where an authorisation under section 34 of the 2014 Act is given for a period exceeding 48 hours, the authorising inspector must review the authorisation as soon as reasonably practicable after the expiry of 48 hours to ensure that it remains necessary and proportionate. If the authorising inspector is no longer on duty, the review should be conducted by the duty inspector or another officer of at least inspector rank. This review relates to the section 34 authorisation for use of the dispersal power in the specified locality, not to an individual section 35 direction to leave. The review may be conducted verbally or in writing, but there should be a written record confirming that it has taken place and the outcome. Where the police consider that the authorisation is no longer needed for the remaining period, they must consider revoking it.

Consultation: Wherever practicable, the authorising officer should consult the local council or community representatives before making the authorisation. This will help to understand the implications of using the power within a community or area and whether the community will benefit from use of the dispersal power. Working with the relevant council can also assist the police in gaining community consensus and support when it is necessary to use the dispersal power or assist community relations where there are concerns about the use of the power in a particular area. When it has not been practical to consult the local council, the authorising officer may wish to notify the local authority of the authorisation or the use of the power.

Transparency and scrutiny: Police forces may wish to put in place appropriate arrangements for maintaining records of authorisations and use of the dispersal power and the circumstances in which it is used, and to publish data on its use. Local Policing Bodies have an important role in holding forces to account to ensure that officers are using the power proportionately. Publication of data will help to highlight any 'hotspot' areas that may need a longer-term solution, such as

diversionary activities for young people or security measures in pubs and clubs to prevent alcohol-related anti-social behaviour in town centres.

If police forces find themselves issuing repeat dispersal directions, they may wish to consider asking the local authority to consult for a PSPO.

The legal tests for issuing a dispersal direction

The officer must have reasonable grounds to suspect that the behaviour of the person has contributed, or is likely to contribute, to:

- a) members of the public in the locality being harassed, alarmed, or distressed; or
- b) crime and disorder occurring in the locality



The officer considers that giving a direction to the person is necessary for the purpose of removing or reducing the likelihood of anti-social behaviour, crime or disorder.

Including behaviour that is likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress in the legal tests allows the power to be used as a preventative measure. The power is for use in public places; this includes places to which the public has access by virtue of express or implied permission, such as, a shopping centre or buildings being used as polling stations.

Details

Written notice: The direction must be given in writing, unless that is not reasonably practicable. The written notice will specify the locality to which the direction relates and for how long the person must leave the area. The officer can also impose requirements as to the time by which the person must leave the locality and the route they must take. The officer must also tell the person that failure to comply, without reasonable excuse, is an offence, unless it is not reasonably practicable to do this.

The information should be provided as clearly as possible, and the officer should ensure the person has understood it. If the direction is given verbally a written record of it must also be kept in order to enforce it in the event that it is breached, and for the police force to be able to monitor use of the power. The written notice may also be admitted in evidence in breach proceedings.

Many forces have already established good practice in relation to the use of dispersal powers. For instance, in some forces, officers carry a pre-printed notepad to provide details of the direction, the consequences of a failure to comply, where to collect any confiscated items, and a map to clarify the area a person is excluded from.

Dispersing young people: A police officer can give a direction to anyone who is, or appears to be, over the age of 10. If the officer reasonably believes the person given the direction to be under the age of 16, the officer can take them home or to another place of safety. Under the provisions of the Children Act 2004 the police have a duty to 'safeguard and promote the welfare of children'. Police forces have safeguarding arrangements in place to ensure that children are not returned to unsafe homes or placed in potentially harmful situations.

Case law in relation to Part 4 of the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003 states that to 'remove' a person under 16 to their place of residence carries with it a power to use reasonable force if necessary to do so: see *R (on the application of W) (Respondent) v (1) Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis, (2) Richmond-upon-Thames London Borough Council (Appellants) and the Secretary of State for the Home Department (Interested Party)* [2006] EWCA Civ 458.

Restrictions: A direction cannot be given to someone engaged in peaceful picketing that is lawful under section 220 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 or if they are taking part in a public procession as defined in section 11 of the Public Order Act 1986.

In addition, the direction cannot restrict someone from having access to the place where they live or from attending a place where they:

- work, or are contracted to work for that period of time;
- are required to attend by a court or tribunal;
- are expected for education or training;
- are required to attend a service provision appointment or to receive medical treatment during the period of time that the direction applies.

Providing information to the public: Where use of the dispersal power has been authorised in advance, the police should consider providing information to those who may be affected.

Putting victims first: If the dispersal power is used in response to a complaint from a member of the public, the officer should update them about what has been done in response to their complaint. Keeping victims updated on enforcement action can provide reassurance to the community and result in fewer follow up calls on the issue.

Surrender of property: The police officer can require the person given the direction to hand over items causing or likely to cause anti-social behaviour. This could be any item, but typical examples are alcohol, fireworks or spray paint. The officer does not have the power to seize the item; therefore, the person's consent is required to take the item. However, it is an offence for the person not to hand over the item if asked to do so.

Surrendered items will be held at the police station and can be collected after the period of the direction has expired. If the item is not collected within 28 days, it can be destroyed or disposed of. If the individual is under the age of 16 they can be required to be accompanied by a parent or other responsible adult to collect the item; this will mean that the adult can be made aware of the young person's behaviour and will help encourage parental responsibility.

Recording information and publishing data: The officer giving the direction must record:

- the individual to whom the direction is given;

- the time at which the direction is given; and
- the terms of the direction (including the area to which it relates and the exclusion period).

If a direction is varied or withdrawn the officer must record the time this was done and the terms of the variation.


Penalty on breach:

Failure to comply with the direction is a summary only criminal offence.	
<u>Adults</u> - Dealt with in the magistrates' court - Maximum penalty of a level 4 fine and/or three months imprisonment. - Failure to surrender items is also a criminal offence with a maximum penalty of a level 2 fine.	<u>Under 18s</u> - Dealt with in the youth court. - Maximum penalty of a level 4 fine. - Failure to surrender items is also a criminal offence with a maximum penalty of a level 2 fine. - Cannot be imprisoned.

Appeals: A person who is given a direction and feels they have been incorrectly dealt with should speak to the duty inspector at the local police station. Details should be given to the person on the written notice.

2.4 Community Protection Notice

Purpose	To stop a person aged 16 or over, business or organisation committing anti-social behaviour which has a persistent or continuing detrimental effect on the community's quality of life.
Who can issue a CPN	• Council officers; • Police officers; • Social landlords (if designated by the council).
Test	Behaviour has to: • have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality; • be of a persistent or continuing nature; and • be unreasonable.
Details	• The Community Protection Notice (CPN) can deal with a range of behaviours; for instance, it can deal with noise nuisance or require businesses, such as fast-food outlets, to take action to tackle litter linked to their premises. • The CPN can include requirements to ensure that problems are rectified and that steps are taken to prevent the anti-social behaviour occurring again. • A written warning must first be issued informing the perpetrator of problem behaviour, requesting them to stop, and the consequences of continuing. • A CPN can then be issued including requirement to stop things, do things or take reasonable steps to avoid further anti-social behaviour. • Can allow council to carry out works in default on behalf of a perpetrator.
Penalty on breach	• Breach is a criminal offence. • A fixed penalty notice can be issued of up to £500 if appropriate. • A fine of up to level 4 (for individuals), or a fine for businesses.
Appeals	• Terms of a CPN can be appealed by the perpetrator within 21 days of issue. • The cost of works undertaken on behalf of the perpetrator by the council can be challenged by the perpetrator if they think they are <i>excessive</i>.
The legislation	Sections 43 to 58 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, as amended by Crime and Policing Act 2026.
Protecting the vulnerable	• Particular care should be taken to consider how use of the power might impact on more vulnerable members of society.



Purpose

The Community Protection Notice can be used to deal with ongoing problems or nuisances which are having a persistent or continuing effect on the community's quality of life by targeting those responsible.


The Community Protection Notice is particularly suited to environmental issues such as graffiti, rubbish, and noise nuisances.

Who can issue a Community Protection Notice

Local councils have traditionally taken the lead in dealing with the sort of issues that can be addressed through the use of Community Protection Notices, but the police are also able to issue these Notices, as are social landlords where they have been designated to do so by the relevant local authority, recognising their role in responding to anti-social behaviour in the dwellings they manage.

Putting victims first: To understand the impact that the behaviour is having on the quality of life of those in a locality, the agency considering the use of a Community Protection Notice should first speak to members of the community to gain a proper understanding of the harm that is being caused to individuals and the community. This will help to ensure that victims feel that the issue is being taken seriously and will also help to ensure that the decision to issue a Community Protection Notice is based on evidence of the impact that the perpetrator's behaviour is having. It will also help to ensure that officers do not use the notice to stop activities which are not causing anti-social behaviour.

The legal test for issuing a Community Protection Notice



Details

Agencies should have sufficient evidence to satisfy themselves that the behaviour in question is genuinely having a detrimental effect on others' quality of life, in terms of the nuisance or harm that is being caused to others, rather than being a behaviour that others may just find annoying.

Similarly, decisions on whether behaviour is persistent or continuing in nature should be taken on a case-by-case basis. For example, where an individual is storing rubbish in their garden for many months, proving persistence will be relatively straightforward. However, there will be cases where behaviour is continuing over a much shorter time period and the individual has been asked to cease the behaviour but has refused to do so and persists with the behaviour.

The issuing officer must also make a judgement as to whether the behaviour in question is unreasonable. For instance, a baby crying in the middle of the night may well have a detrimental effect on immediate neighbours and is likely to be persistent in nature. However, it is unlikely to be reasonable to issue the parents with a Community Protection Notice if there is not a great deal that they can do to control or affect the behaviour.

There is significant merit in involving the local council, who will have many years of experience in tackling environmental issues, when deciding whether to serve a Community Protection Notice. In addition, the issuing body should be satisfied that it has enough evidence that the activity in

question is having a detrimental effect on others' quality of life, is persistent or continuing and is unreasonable.

Who can a Community Protection Notice be issued to? A Community Protection Notice can be issued against any person aged 16 or over or to a body, including a business. Where a body is issued with a Community Protection Notice, it should be issued to the most appropriate person. In the case of a small business, it could be the shop owner whereas in the case of a major supermarket it may well be the store manager. The issuing officer will need to be satisfied that the person issued with the Community Protection Notice can be reasonably expected to control or affect the behaviour in question, taking into consideration all the available circumstances. There is also a need to have due regard to the Equality Act 2010.

The Community Protection Notice can be handed directly to the person in question or it can be posted. In circumstances where the owner or occupier cannot be determined, the issuing officer can post the Community Protection Notice on the premises and it is considered as having been served at that point. In such a scenario, the issuing officer would need to demonstrate that reasonable enquiries had been undertaken to ascertain the identity of the owner or occupier, for instance, checking with the Land Registry.

Under s.50 Police and Reform Act 2002, if a constable in uniform has reason to believe that a person has engaged, or is engaging, in anti-social behaviour, then they may compel that person to provide their name and address. Failure to do so, or providing a false or inaccurate name or address, is guilty of an offence and that person shall be liable, on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding level 3.

Dealing with young people: Applicants are advised to consult the local youth offending team if the Community Protection Notice is against someone aged 16 or 17 and inform any other body or individual the applicant thinks appropriate, for example, a youth charity that is already working with the young person. Though the youth offending team cannot veto the Community Protection Notice, it is important that applicants fully consider and take into account representations from the youth offending team as part of developing good partnership working in cases involving young people.

The youth offending team will be important in helping the young person to adhere to the requirements in the Community Protection Notice and ensuring that they are understood. The requirements will be overseen by a responsible officer in the youth offending team or children and family services.

It is vital that relevant agencies consider informal interventions prior to issuing a Community Protection Notice to those under 18. Examples of these can be found on pages 45 - 49 of this guidance. In particular, it may be more appropriate to give a verbal or written warning or have the perpetrator sign an acceptable behaviour contract with their parent or legal guardian present. Relevant agencies are advised to ensure that a Community Protection Notice is only given to someone under 18 where it is proportionate and necessary, and all other means of intervention have been exhausted.

The relevant agencies should consider if the child offender appears vulnerable or requires further support prior to issuing a Community Protection Notice. It is also recommended that the relevant agencies consult with relevant children's services to identify safeguarding and child protection concerns prior to applying for a civil injunction.

Community Protection Notices and statutory nuisance: Issuing a Community Protection Notice does not discharge the council from its duty to issue an Abatement Notice where the behaviour constitutes a statutory nuisance for the purposes of Part 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. For the issue to count as a statutory nuisance it must do one of the following:

- Unreasonably and substantially interfere with the use or enjoyment of a home or other premises; or
- Injure health or be likely to injure health.

For England and Wales, statutory nuisances are listed in section 79(1) of that Act as:

- any premises in such a state to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- smoke emitted from premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- fumes or gases emitted from (domestic) premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- any dust, steam, smell or other effluvia arising on industrial, trade or business premises and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- any accumulation or deposit and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- any animal kept in such a place or manner as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- any insects emanating from relevant industrial, trade or business premises and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- artificial light emitted from premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- noise emitted from premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance;
- noise that is prejudicial to health or a nuisance and is emitted from or caused by a vehicle, machinery or equipment in a street;
- any other matter declared by any enactment to be a statutory nuisance.

Many of these terms have special meanings, either under the 1990 Act or following decisions of the courts. In particular, 'nuisance' means something different to 'bothersome' or an 'annoyance'. The assessment of nuisance is an objective test, taking into account whether a complaint constitutes a nuisance in the eyes of the law, including how bad the noise/odour/smoke/accumulation etc is, the time of day of the occurrence, its duration and its frequency. The law also requires that their

determination is based on what an average person would find unacceptable and cannot take into account individual sensitivities or personal circumstances.

'Prejudicial to health' means 'injurious or likely to cause injury to health' under section 79(7) of the 1990 Act. While a Community Protection Notice can be issued for behaviour that may constitute a statutory nuisance, the interaction between the two powers should be considered. It remains a principle of law that a specific power should be used in preference to a general one.

As a Community Protection Notice can only be issued for behaviour that is persistent or continuing and unreasonable, in most cases, social landlords or the police will have sufficient time to contact the relevant council team in advance of issuing the Notice if they believe the behaviour could be a statutory nuisance. If it could be a statutory nuisance, the issuing authority should consider whether issuing a Community Protection Notice is necessary given the powers afforded to council under the 1990 Act. If they do decide to issue a Community Protection Notice in parallel, they should work with the relevant council team to ensure any restrictions or requirements complement those that may be included in any future Abatement Notice.

The written warning: In many cases, the behaviour in question will have been ongoing for some time. Informal interventions prior to a written warning should be attempted, particularly for younger perpetrators. Examples of appropriate informal interventions may include a conversation, general information about the possible escalation through the Community Protection Notice process, and Acceptable Behaviour Contract, or independent mediation. The issuing officer should make clear to the potential recipient, preferably verbally and in person, the alleged ASB and supporting evidence. Potential recipients should also be able to contact the issuing officer to discuss their case.

Before a Notice can be issued, a written warning must be issued to the person committing anti-social behaviour. Where the offender is under 18, relevant agencies are advised to issue the written warning both to the person committing the anti-social behaviour and their parents/legal guardian.

The written warning must make clear to the individual that if they do not stop the anti-social behaviour, they could be issued with a Community Protection Notice. However, local agencies may wish to include other information in the written warning, for instance:

- outlining the specific behaviour that is considered anti-social and which is having a detrimental effect on others' quality of life, as this will ensure there is little doubt over what needs to be done to avoid the formal Notice being issued. Additional requirements beyond the scope of the behaviour in question should not be included. For example, generic requirements that prevent 'any' harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance, or annoyance;
- outlining the time by which the behaviour is expected to have changed in order to give the alleged perpetrator a clear understanding of when the Community Protection Notice might be served;

- setting out the potential consequences of being issued with a Community Protection Notice and in particular the potential sanctions on breach, which could act as an incentive for the individual to change their behaviour before a formal Notice is issued.

How the written warning is discharged is up to each agency. In cases where a problem has been continuing for a period of time, the written warning may be included in other correspondence. In cases where the issue of a written warning is required more quickly, it could be a standard form of words, adaptable to any situation – for instance, a pre-agreed form of words that can be used by the officer on the spot.

Enough time should be left between the issue of a written warning and the issue of a Community Protection Notice to allow the individual or body to deal with the matter. It will be for the issuing officer to decide how long is allowed on a case-by-case basis. For instance, in an example where a garden is to be cleared of waste, several days or weeks may be required to enable the individual to make the necessary arrangements. However, where an individual is playing loud music in a park, as outlined above, the officer could require the behaviour to stop immediately.

A written warning should include contact information for the issuing authority, so the recipient can discuss any of the requirements if necessary.

Putting victims first: Keeping victims and communities updated on enforcement action at important points can help them to deal with the impact of the behaviour. Victims may feel that their complaint has been ignored if they do not see immediate changes to the behaviour. However, informing them of what is happening can make a difference and result in fewer follow up calls on the issue. If a Community Protection Notice has been issued, the officer may wish to speak to those affected by the anti-social behaviour to inform them of what steps have been taken, potential timescales and possible implications for the perpetrator.

Partnership working: In many cases, the issuing agency will have already had contact with other partners in dealing with a persistent issue. For instance, in a case dealing with a build-up of litter, the council may have spoken to the local neighbourhood policing team or social landlord. However, in situations that develop more quickly, the relevant officer will have to decide whether there are other individuals or bodies that should be informed. For matters that could amount to a statutory nuisance it will often be advisable to seek the expert view of council environmental health officers before issuing a Community Protection Notice. Partners may wish to give consideration to a shared repository of issued Community Protection Notices and warning letters in order to avoid duplication across issuing bodies.

What to include in a Community Protection Notice A Community Protection Notice should be bespoke to the individual and the behaviour in question so that it is appropriate to the situation and can include any or all of the following:

- a requirement to stop doing specified things;
- a requirement to do specified things;
- a requirement to take reasonable steps to achieve specified results.

This means that not only can the relevant officer require someone to stop being anti-social, they can also put steps in place to ensure that the behaviour does not reoccur. In deciding what should be included as a requirement in a Community Protection Notice, issuing officers should consider what is reasonable to include in a notice of this type and any reasonable timescales they wish to add. Careful consideration should be given by those issuing a CPN to ensure that the prohibitions and restrictions imposed are necessary and proportionate, particularly for younger perpetrators. The Community Protection Notice is intended to deal with short or medium-term issues. They must be clear and the time limit must be clearly stated on the CPN. While restrictions and requirements may be similar to those in a Civil Injunction, more onerous conditions, such as attendance at a drug rehabilitation course, would clearly be more appropriate to a court issued order. The CPN could be used, for example, to require a dog owner to attend training classes or fix fencing to deal with straying incidents where this is having a detrimental effect on the community's quality of life.

Those with the power to issue CPNs also have the power to vary or discharge a CPN and information about how to request this should be given to the person concerned when the CPN is issued.

Putting victims first: When the issuing officer has decided what to include as a requirement in the Community Protection Notice they should consider the desired outcome for the community. Victims will not only want the behaviour to stop, they will also want it not to occur again. Consideration should be given to whether there are requirements that could ensure the anti-social behaviour does not recur.

Penalty on breach: Failure to comply with a Community Protection Notice is an offence. Where an individual, business or organisation fails to comply with the terms of a Community Protection Notice, a number of options are available for the issuing authority and these are outlined in more detail below.

- **Fixed penalty notices**

Depending on the behaviour in question, the authorised person could decide that a fixed penalty notice would be the most appropriate sanction. This can be issued by a police officer, local authority or a person designated by the local authority, for example a social landlord or a person authorised under the Community Safety Accreditation Scheme. In making the decision to issue a fixed penalty notice, the authorised person should be mindful that if issued, payment would discharge any liability to conviction for the offence.

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

A fixed penalty notice should not be more than £500 and can specify two amounts, for instance, a lower payment if settled early, say within 14 days. In order to allow the individual time to pay, no other associated proceedings can be taken until at least 14 days after the issue. The exact wording or design of a fixed penalty notice can be determined locally to fit with local standards and protocols but must.

- give reasonably detailed particulars of the circumstances alleged to constitute the offence;
- state the period during which proceedings will not be taken for the offence;
- specify the amount or amounts payable;
- state the name and address of the person to whom the FPN should be paid; and
- specify permissible methods of payment (for example, cash, cheque, bank transfer).

Contracted enforcement staff:

Authorities can outsource any area of enforcement to private companies. They should ensure the contractor and its employees meet the same standards expected of the authority itself.

Authorities that outsource enforcement to private companies should ensure that the contractor and its employees read this guidance and meet the same standards. Enforcement authorities must give their staff the skills, training and guidance to do their jobs effectively. This includes enforcement staff:

- at all levels
- employed either directly by the enforcing authority or by contractors
- carrying out any type of enforcement activity, including office processes

Enforcing authorities remain responsible for the whole enforcement process, whether or not they contract part of it out. This includes considering disputes of liability for the alleged offence. Authorities that outsource any area of enforcement to private companies should ensure that the contractor fulfils all the requirements of the authority itself.

When using external contractors, the council should publish the full text of any contracts or legal agreements. This provides additional scrutiny and reassurance that such contracts are not being used as a revenue raiser.

The Local Government Transparency Code already requires that councils falling under the code publish contracts over £5,000. The code discourages the use of commercial confidentiality clauses to prevent such publication.

Enforcement authorities should judge the performance of contractors and staff according to how far desired objectives have been achieved. Outcome indicators might include:

- compliance statistics
- the number of appeals
- the localised impact that enforcement appears to have had on relevant offending and the accumulation rate of litter

Performance management of enforcement staff, including rewards or penalties, should never be based on:

- the volume of penalties issued
- a fixed amount of revenue to be raised

Enforcement authorities should have a service level agreement for any enforcement operations carried out by an internal client department on their behalf. This should include the specification terms and conditions required by the client department, just as there would be for a contract with an external service provider. Any such service level agreement should reflect these principles.

Where external contractors are used, private firms should not be able to receive greater revenue or profits just from increasing the volume of penalties. This would conflict with the overall aim of reducing the number of offences committed. Enforcement activity should never be considered as a way to generate income. This could undermine the legitimacy of enforcement and make it less effective at discouraging further ASB.

The amount specified in a fixed penalty notice should be determined in accordance with the issuing authority's published policy or agreed framework, taking account of the seriousness and circumstances of the breach. Authorities may provide for a reduced amount where payment is made within a specified period, subject to the relevant legislative requirements.

A person who receives a Fixed Penalty Notice may wish to raise concerns about the circumstances in which the notice was issued, including where they believe the enforcement arrangements used by the local authority are inconsistent with the principles set out in this guidance. Local authorities should have appropriate procedures in place to consider such representations and should ensure that any concerns raised are reviewed fairly and impartially.

Local authorities remain responsible for enforcement activity carried out on their behalf by contractors, accredited persons or other authorised officers. Where concerns are raised about the actions of a contractor or the local authority's enforcement arrangements, the authority should consider whether the Fixed Penalty Notice was issued in accordance with the law, this guidance and any relevant local policies.

If a person disputes liability for the alleged offence, they may make representations to the issuing authority. Where a Fixed Penalty Notice is not paid and proceedings are commenced, the recipient may raise any relevant matters before the court, which will determine the case on the facts and the law.

- **Remedial action**

If an individual or body fails to comply with a Community Protection Notice issued by the council, it may decide to take remedial action to address the issue. Where the Community Protection Notice has been issued by the police or a social landlord, but they believe remedial action is an appropriate sanction, they should approach the council to discuss the best way to move forward. For instance, the social landlord could undertake the work on behalf of the council.

If it is decided that remedial action is the best way forward, the council (or the other agency in discussion with the council) should establish what works are required to put the situation right. For instance, in a situation where the complaint relates to a significant build-up of rubbish in someone's front garden, remedial action could take the form of clearing the garden on the perpetrator's behalf.

Where this work is to be undertaken on land 'open to the air', the council or their agent (for instance, a rubbish disposal contractor) can undertake these works without the consent of the owner or occupier. Where works are required indoors the permission of the owner or occupier is required. When it has been decided what works are required, if these works are taking place indoors, the council must specify to the perpetrator what work it intends to carry out and the estimated cost (there is no duty on a council to do this when carrying out remedial work under section 47(2) of the 2014 Act i.e. this on land that is 'open to the air'. The requirement only exists in relation to premises other than land open to the air (see s.47(3) of the 2014 Act). Once the work has been completed, the council should give the perpetrator details of the work completed and the final amount payable. In determining a 'reasonable' charge, local authorities should ensure the costs are no more than is necessary to restore the land to the standard specified in the notice. Such costs may include officer time, use of cleaning equipment (unless of a specialised nature), and administration costs relating to the clearance itself.

Putting victims first: Punishment of the perpetrator may not be top of the victim's priority list; they may just want to see the situation fixed. If remedial action is chosen as the most appropriate action, it may help those affected by the behaviour to know when they can expect remedial works to be undertaken.

- **Remedial orders**

On conviction for an offence of failing to comply with a Community Protection Notice, the prosecuting authority may ask the court to impose a remedial order and/or a forfeiture order. This could be for a number of reasons, for instance:

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- the matter may be deemed so serious that a court order is warranted;
- works may be required to an area that requires the owner's or occupier's consent and this is not forthcoming; or
- the issuing authority may believe that forfeiture or seizure of one or more items is required as a result of the behaviour (for instance, sound making equipment).

A remedial order may require the defendant:

- to carry out specified work (this could set out the original Community Protection Notice requirements); or
- to allow work to be carried out by, or on behalf of, a specified local authority.

Where works are required indoors, the defendant's permission is still required. But this does not prevent a defendant who fails to give that consent from being in breach of the court's order.

• Forfeiture orders

Following conviction for an offence under section 48, the court may also order the forfeiture of any item that was used in the commission of the offence. This could be spray paints, sound making equipment or a poorly socialised dog where the court feels the individual is not able to manage the animal appropriately (re-homed in the case of a dog). Where items are forfeited, they can be destroyed or disposed of appropriately.

• Seizure

In some circumstances, the court may issue a warrant authorising the seizure of items that have been used in the commission of the offence of failing to comply with a Community Protection Notice. In these circumstances, an enforcement officer may use reasonable force, if necessary, to seize the item or items.

Failure to comply with any of the requirements in the court order constitutes contempt of court and could lead to a custodial sentence. If an individual is convicted of an offence under section 48, they may receive up to a level 4 fine (up to £20,000 in the case of a business or organisation).

Appeals: Provision should be in place for a recipient to query the basis of a written warning, despite there being no route in the '2014 Act' to do so. The issuing authority can rescind a written warning at their discretion, therefore contact details of a senior officer with oversight of the process should be made available on the written warning itself and the authority's website. Local systems of review are encouraged.

Anyone issued with a Community Protection Notice has the opportunity to appeal it. Appeals are heard in a magistrates' court and the Notice should provide details of the process, how an individual can appeal and the timeframe to appeal (within 21 days of the person being issued with the notice). As the legislation makes clear, an appeal can be made on the following grounds:

The test was not met if:

- **the behaviour did not take place:** in most cases, officers will have collected evidence to place beyond any reasonable doubt that the behaviour occurred. However, in cases where the officer has relied on witness statements alone, they should consider the potential for this appeal route and build their case accordingly;
- **the behaviour has not had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality:** again, the importance of witness statements and any other evidence that the behaviour in question is having a negative impact on those nearby should be collected to ensure this defence is covered;

the behaviour was not persistent or continuing: in some cases, judging persistence will be straightforward. However, in cases where a decision to issue a Community Protection Notice is taken more quickly, officers should use their professional judgement to decide whether this test is met and may need to justify this on appeal;
- **the behaviour is not unreasonable:** In many cases, individuals, businesses or organisations that are presented with evidence of the detrimental impact of their behaviour will take steps to address it. Where they do not, they may argue that what they are doing is reasonable. In deciding whether behaviour is unreasonable, officers should consider the impact the behaviour is having on the victim or victims, whether steps could be taken to alleviate this impact and whether the behaviour is necessary at all.
- **the individual cannot reasonably be expected to control or affect the behaviour:** in issuing the CPN, the officer must make a judgement based on reasonable grounds as to whether the individual, business or organisation can reasonably be expected to do something to change the behaviour. The officer should be prepared to justify this decision in court if required.

Other reasons:


- **any of the requirements are unreasonable:** requirements in a Community Protection Notice should either prevent the anti-social behaviour from continuing or recurring or reduce the detrimental effect or reduce the risk of its continuance or recurrence. As such, it should be related to the behaviour in question;
- **there is a material defect or error with the Community Protection Notice:** this ground for appeal could be used if there was a failure to comply with a requirement in the Act, such as a failure to provide a written warning before issuing the Notice;
- **the Notice was issued to the wrong person:** this could be grounds for appeal if the Notice was posted to the wrong address or the wrong person was identified in a business or organisation.

The person issued with the Community Protection Notice must appeal within 21 days of issue. Where an appeal is made, any requirement included under section 43(3)(b) or (c), namely a requirement to do specified things or take reasonable steps to achieve specified results, is suspended until the outcome of the appeal. However, requirements stopping the individual or body from doing specified things under section 43(3)(a) continue to have effect. In addition,

where remedial action is taken by a council under section 47 or 49 the individual can appeal on the grounds that the cost of the work being undertaken on their behalf is excessive.

2.5 Public Spaces Protection Order

Purpose	Designed to stop individuals or groups committing anti-social behaviour in a public space.
Who can make a PSPO	Councils issue a Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) after consultation with the police, Police and Crime Commissioner, the owner or occupier of land in the restricted area and other community representatives they see fit.
Test	Behaviour being restricted has to: - be having, or be likely to have, a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality; - be persistent or continuing nature; and - be unreasonable.
Details	- Restrictions and requirements set by the council. - These can be blanket restrictions or requirements or can be targeted against certain behaviours by certain groups at certain times. - Can restrict access to public spaces (including certain types of highway) where that route is being used to commit anti-social behaviour. - Can be enforced by a police officer and council officers.
Penalty on breach	- Breach is a criminal offence. - Enforcement officers can issue a fixed penalty notice of up to £500 if appropriate. - A fine of up to level 3 on prosecution.
Appeals	- Anyone who lives in, or regularly works in or visits the area can appeal a PSPO in the High Court within six weeks of issue. - Further appeal is available each time the PSPO is varied by the council.
The legislation	Sections 59 to 75 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, as amended by the Crime and Policing Act 2026.
Protecting the vulnerable	- Consideration should be given to how the use of this power might impact on the most vulnerable members of society. - Consideration should also be given to any risks associated with displacement, including to where people may be dispersed to - There is value in working in partnership to resolve ongoing problems and find long term solutions.



Purpose

Public Spaces Protection Orders are intended to deal with a particular nuisance or problem in a specific area that is detrimental to the local community's quality of life, by imposing conditions on the use of that area which apply to everyone. They are intended to help ensure that the law-abiding majority can use and enjoy public spaces, safe from anti-social behaviour.


Given that these orders can restrict what people can do and how they behave in public spaces, it is important that the restrictions imposed are focused on specific behaviours and are proportionate to the detrimental effect that the behaviour is causing or can cause, and are necessary to prevent it from continuing, occurring or recurring.

Who can make a PSPO?

Local councils are responsible for making Public Spaces Protection Orders. District councils should take the lead in England with county councils or unitary authorities undertaking the role where there is no district council. In London, borough councils can make Public Spaces Protection Orders, as is the Common Council of the City of London and the Council of the Isles of Scilly. In Wales, responsibility falls to county councils or county borough councils. Parish councils and town councils in England, and community councils in Wales are not able to make these Orders. In addition, section 71 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 allows bodies other than local authorities to make Public Spaces Protection Orders in certain circumstances by order of the Secretary of State. This power has been exercised by the

Secretary of State to allow the City of London Corporation to manage several public spaces with the permission of, and on behalf of, local authorities.

The legal test for implementing a Public Spaces Protection Order



Putting victims first: In deciding to place restrictions on a particular public space, councils should consider the knock-on effects of that decision and ensure that this is a reasonable and proportionate response to incidents of anti-social behaviour in the area. Introducing a blanket ban on a particular activity may simply displace the behaviour and create victims elsewhere. Consideration may also be given to members of the public who need or want to use the space before implementing a Public Spaces Protection Order e.g. those who want access to a park due to not having a garden at their home.

Details

Where can it apply? The council can make a Public Spaces Protection Order on any public space within its own area. The definition of public space is wide and includes any place to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission, for example a shopping centre.

Consultation and working with partners: Before making a Public Spaces Protection Order, the council must consult with the police. This should be done formally through the chief officer of police and the Police and Crime Commissioner, but details could be agreed by working level leads. This is an opportunity for the police and council to share information about the area and the problems being caused as well as discussing the practicalities of enforcement. In addition, the owner or occupier of the land should be consulted. This should include the county council (if the application for the Order is not being led by them) where they are the Highway Authority.

The council must also consult whatever community representatives they think appropriate. It is strongly recommended that the council engages in an open and public consultation to give the users of the public space the opportunity to comment on whether the proposed restriction or

restrictions are appropriate, proportionate or needed at all. The council should also ensure that specific groups likely to have a particular interest are consulted, such as a local residents association, or regular users of a park or those involved in specific activities in the area, such as buskers and other street entertainers.

The appropriate length of the consultation will depend on the particular circumstances of the Public Spaces Protection Order being sought and it is important that councils ensure that the consultation is reasonable and proportionate to the issues under consideration. In general, a consultation is expected to take no longer than two weeks. If a matter is particularly urgent, a shorter consultation period is likely to be proportionate. However, if it is less pressing or more complex factors to consider, then a longer consultation may be appropriate.

Openness and accountability: Before making, varying, extending or discharging a Public Spaces Protection Order, the council must carry out the necessary publicity and necessary notification (if any) in accordance with section 72(3) of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 – this includes publishing the text of a proposed order or variation and publishing the proposal for an extension or variation. The council must also publish information about the order in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State - this includes publishing the order as made, extended or varied on its website, and, where an order is discharged, publishing a notice on its website identifying the order which has been discharged and the date on which it ceases to have effect.

Given that the effect of Public Spaces Protection Orders is to restrict the behaviour of everybody using the public place, the close or direct involvement of elected members will help to ensure openness and accountability. This will be achieved, for example, where the decision is put to the Cabinet or full Council.

Land requiring special consideration

Before a council makes a Public Spaces Protection Order it should consider whether the land falls into any of the following categories:

- **Registered common land:** There are around 550,000 hectares of registered common land in England and Wales. Common land is mapped as open access land under the Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000 with a right of public access on foot. Some commons, particularly those in urban districts, also have additional access rights and these may include rights for equestrian use.
- **Registered town or village green:** Town and village greens developed under customary law as areas of land where local people indulged in lawful sports and pastimes. These might include organised or ad-hoc games, picnics, fetes and similar activities, such as dog walking.
- **Open access land:** Open access land covers mountain, moor, heath and down and registered common land, and some voluntarily dedicated land, for example the Forestry Commission's or Natural Resources Wales' freehold estate. Open access land provides a right of open-air recreation on foot although the landowner can voluntarily extend the right to other forms of access, such as for cycling or horse-riding.

This can be done by contacting the Commons registration authority (county council in two-tier areas; unitary authority elsewhere). If the land in question is a registered common the council will be able to find out what common land rights exist and the access rights of any users. The Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs considers the model set out in 'A Common Purpose' to be good practice in consulting directly affected persons (including commoners) and the public about any type of potential change in the management of a common.

If land is a registered green, it receives considerable statutory protection under the 'Victorian Statutes'. In terms of open access land, there are various national limitations on what activities are included within the access rights. It is possible for local restrictions on CROW rights to be put in place to meet wider land use needs, and this system is normally administered by Natural England.

Where a local authority is considering an order on one of these types of land, the council should consider discussing this with relevant forums and user groups (e.g. Local Access Forums, Ramblers or the British Horse Society) depending on the type of provision that is contemplated in the order. It could also be appropriate to hold a local public meeting when considering whether to make an order for an area of such land to ensure all affected persons are given the opportunity to raise concerns.

Historic England: Where a local authority is considering an order in an area which might contain designated heritage assets, the council should engage with Historic England at an early stage; and should the proposal be taken forward, it is recommended to consult with Historic England.

What to include in a Public Spaces Protection Order. The Order can be drafted from scratch based on the individual and specific issues being faced in a particular public space. A single Order can also include multiple restrictions and requirements. It can prohibit certain activities, such as the drinking of alcohol, as well as placing requirements on individuals carrying out certain activities, for instance making sure that people walking their dogs keep them on a lead in designated areas.

When deciding what to include, the council should consider scope. The broad aim is to keep public spaces welcoming to law abiding people and communities and not simply to restrict access. So, restrictions or requirements can be targeted at specific people, designed to apply only at certain times or apply only in certain circumstances.

Putting victims first: Although it may not be viable in each case, discussing potential restrictions and requirements prior to issuing an Order with those living or working nearby may help to ensure that the final Order better meets the needs of the local community and is less likely to be challenged.

In establishing which restrictions or requirements should be included, the council should be satisfied on reasonable grounds that the measures are necessary to prevent the detrimental effect on those in the locality or reduce the likelihood of the detrimental effect continuing, occurring or recurring.

As with all the anti-social behaviour powers, the council should give due regard to issues of proportionality: is the restriction proposed proportionate to the specific harm or nuisance that is being caused? Councils should ensure that the restrictions being introduced are reasonable and will prevent or reduce the detrimental effect continuing, occurring or recurring. In addition, councils should ensure that the Order is appropriately worded so that it targets the specific behaviour or activity that is causing nuisance or harm and thereby having a detrimental impact on others' quality of life. Councils should also consider whether restrictions are required all year round or whether seasonal or time limited restrictions would meet the purpose.

When the final set of measures is agreed the Order should be published in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State and must:

- identify the activities having the detrimental effect;
- explain the potential sanctions available on breach; and
- specify the period for which the Order has effect.

Controlling the presence of dogs

Under the Animal Welfare Act 2006, owners of dogs are required to provide for the welfare needs of their animals. This includes providing the necessary amount of exercise each day, which in many cases will require dogs to be let off the lead whilst still under control.

Councils will be aware of the publicly accessible parks and other public places in their area which dog walkers can use to exercise their dogs without restrictions.

When deciding whether to make requirements or restrictions on dogs and their owners, local councils will need to consider whether there are suitable alternative public areas where dogs can be exercised without restrictions. Councils should consider if the proposed restrictions will displace dog walkers onto other sensitive land, such as farmland or nature conservation areas.

Councils should also consider the accessibility of these alternative sites for those with reduced mobility, including but not limited to, assistance dog users. For example, is there step free access, are there well-maintained paths and what transport options are available, including in the early morning and evening.

Councils are also encouraged to publish a list of alternative sites which dog walkers can use to exercise their dogs without restrictions. Both dog walkers and non-dog walkers would then have a clear opportunity to submit their views on whether these alternatives were suitable. This should help minimise the risks of unwanted and unintended displacement effects.

Guidance published by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs on dog control states that councils must consult dog law and welfare experts e.g. vets or animal welfare officers and organisations affected by restrictions before seeking to impose restrictions. Councils may also wish to consider consulting the Kennel Club. Where a Public Spaces Protection Order proposes to restrict dog walking in parks and other commonly used dog walking sites, consideration should be given to how to alert interested people to the proposed restrictions, such as posting notices of the proposed restrictions and consultation details within these spaces.

Consideration must also be given on how any dog walking restrictions being proposed would affect those who rely on assistance dogs, ensuring any prohibition or requirement is compliant with the provisions of Equality Act 2010 or considering what exemptions should apply for assistance dogs.

In relation to dogs and their owners, a Public Spaces Protection Order could, for example:

- exclude dogs from designated areas (e.g. a children's play area in a park);
- require the person in charge of the dog to pick up after it;
- require dogs to be kept on leads in a designated area;
- be framed to apply during specific times or periods (e.g. dogs excluded from a beach from 9am to 6pm, 1 May to 30 September);
- restrict the number of dogs that can be walked by one person at any one time; and
- put in place other restrictions or requirements to tackle or prevent any other activity that is considered to have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality or is likely

to have such an effect.

Councils should also consider whether alternative options are available to deal with problems around irresponsible dog ownership or dogs being out of control. It may be that if there are local problems with specific individuals allowing their dogs to stray or run out of control for which one of the other available powers, such as the Community Protection Notice, may be more appropriate. The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs has produced detailed guidance in the form of a practitioner's guide on the range of tools available to deal with irresponsible dog ownership. Targeted measures and educational days for irresponsible dog owners can bring about real improvements in the behaviour of irresponsible dog owners.

Parish and Town Councils:

Public Spaces Protection Orders are not available to Parish and Town Councils. Parish and Town Councils wishing to deal with dog control issues should discuss the issue with their principal authority, including whether a Public Spaces Protection Order would provide the means to address the issues being experienced by the local community. If the principal authority is satisfied that the legal tests for the use of the power are met and that it is a proportionate response to the level of harm and nuisance being caused it should consider consulting on putting in place a Public Spaces Protection Order. This ensures a single approach on dog control matters within the local community and avoids the risk of any duplication or conflicting requirements and restrictions being put in place.

Restricting alcohol: A Public Spaces Protection Order can be used to restrict the consumption of alcohol in a public space where the relevant legal tests are met. However, such an Order cannot be used to restrict the consumption of alcohol where the premises or its curtilage (a beer garden or pavement seating area) is licensed for the supply of alcohol (other than council operated licenced premises). There are also limitations where a temporary event notice has been given under Part 5 of the Licensing Act 2003, or where the sale or consumption of alcohol is permitted by virtue of permission granted under section 115E of the Highways Act 1980.

This is because the licensing system already includes safeguards against premises becoming centres for anti-social behaviour. It would create confusion and duplication if Public Spaces Protection Orders were introduced here.

Groups hanging around/standing in groups/playing games

It is important that councils do not inadvertently restrict everyday sociability in public spaces. The Public Spaces Protection Order should target specifically the problem behaviour that is having a persistent effect on the community's quality of life, rather than everyday sociability, such as standing in groups which is not in itself a problem behaviour.

Where young people are concerned, councils should think carefully about restricting activities that they are most likely to engage in. Restrictions that are too broad or general in nature may force the young people into out-of-the-way spaces and put them at risk. In such circumstances, councils should consider whether there are alternative spaces that they can use.

People living in temporary accommodation may not be able to stay in their accommodation during the day and so may find themselves spending extended times in public spaces or seeking shelter in bad weather. It is important that public spaces are available for the use and enjoyment of a broad spectrum of the public, and that people of all ages are free to gather, talk and play games.

Restricting access: In the past, Gating Orders have been used to close access to certain public rights of way where the behaviour of some has been anti-social.

A Public Spaces Protection Order can be used to restrict access to a public right of way. However, when deciding on the appropriateness of this approach, the council must consider a number of things, as set out below:

- **Can they restrict access?** A number of rights of way may not be restricted due to their strategic value.
- **What impact will the restriction have?** For instance, is it a primary means of access between two places and is there a reasonably convenient alternative route?
- **Are there any alternatives?** Previously gating was the only option, but it may be possible under a Public Spaces Protection Order to restrict the activities causing the anti-social behaviour rather than access in its totality.

There are also further consultation requirements where access is to be restricted to a public right of way. These include notifying potentially affected persons of the possible restrictions. This could include people who regularly use the right of way in their day-to-day travel as well as those who live nearby. Interested persons should be informed about how they can view a copy of the proposed order and be given details of how they can make representations and by when. The council should then consider these representations.

It will be up to the council to decide how best to identify and consult with interested persons. In the past newspapers have been used, but other channels such as websites and social media may now be more effective. Where issues are more localised, councils may prefer to deal with individual households. Or, where appropriate, councils may decide to hold public meetings and

discuss issues with regional or national bodies (such as the Local Access Forum) to gather views.

Duration of a Public Spaces Protection Order: The maximum duration of a Public Spaces Protection Order is three years, but they can last for shorter periods of time where more appropriate. Short-term Orders could be used where it is not certain that restrictions will have the desired effect, for instance, when closing a public right of way, and in such circumstances the council might decide to make an initial Order for 12 months and then review that decision at that point.

At any point before expiry, the council can extend a Public Spaces Protection Order by up to three years if they consider it is necessary to prevent the original behaviour from occurring or recurring. They should also consult with the local police and any other community representatives they think appropriate before doing so.

Changing the terms of a Public Spaces Protection Order: A Public Spaces Protection Order can cover a number of different restrictions and requirements so there should be little need to have overlapping orders in a single public space. However, if a new issue arises in an area where an Order is already in force, the council can vary the terms of the order at any time. This can change the size of the restricted area or the specific requirements or restrictions. For instance, a Public Spaces Protection Order may exist to ensure dogs are kept on their leads in a park but, after 12 months, groups start to congregate in the park drinking alcohol which is having a detrimental effect on those living nearby. As a result, the council could vary the Order to deal with both issues. Any proposed variation to an existing Public Spaces Protection Order would require the council to undertake the necessary consultation on the proposed changes.

As well as varying the Order, a council can also seek to discharge it at any time, for instance when the issue that justified the Order has ceased or where the behaviour has stopped or the land ceases to be classified as a public space.

Penalty on breach: It is an offence for a person, without reasonable excuse, to:

- do anything that the person is prohibited from doing by a Public Spaces Protection Order (other than consume alcohol – see below); or
- fail to comply with a requirement to which the person is subject under a Public Spaces Protection Order.

A person does not commit an offence by failing to comply with a prohibition or requirement that the council did not have power to include in a Public Spaces Protection Order. A person guilty of an offence is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

It is not an offence to drink alcohol in a controlled drinking zone. However, it is an offence to fail to comply with a request to cease drinking or surrender alcohol in a controlled drinking

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

zone. This is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 2 on the standard scale. If alcohol is confiscated, it can be disposed of by the person who confiscates it.

Depending on the behaviour in question, the enforcing officer could decide that a fixed penalty notice up to £500 would be the most appropriate sanction. This can be issued by a police officer, council officer or other person designated by the council, or an officer designated under the Community Safety Accreditation Scheme. In making the decision to issue a fixed penalty notice, the officer should consider that if issued, payment would discharge any liability to conviction for the offence. However, payment is not made within the required timescale, court proceedings can be initiated (prosecution for the offence of failing to comply with the Public Spaces Protection Order). Under s.50 Police and Reform Act 2002, if a constable in uniform has reason to believe that a person has engaged, or is engaging, in anti-social behaviour, then they may compel that person to provide their name and address. Failure to do so, or providing a false or inaccurate name or address, is guilty of an offence and that person shall be liable, on summary conviction, to a fine not exceeding level 3.

Contracted enforcement staff:

Authorities can outsource any area of enforcement to private companies. They should ensure the contractor and its employees meet the same standards expected of the authority itself.

Authorities that outsource enforcement to private companies should ensure that the contractor and its employees read this guidance and meet the same standards. Enforcement authorities must give their staff the skills, training and guidance to do their jobs effectively. This includes enforcement staff:

- at all levels
- employed either directly by the enforcing authority or by contractors
- carrying out any type of enforcement activity, including office processes

Enforcing authorities remain responsible for the whole enforcement process, whether or not they contract part of it out. This includes considering disputes of liability for the alleged offence. Authorities that outsource any area of enforcement to private companies should ensure that the contractor fulfils all the requirements of the authority itself.

When using external contractors, the council should publish the full text of any contracts or legal agreements. This provides additional scrutiny and reassurance that such contracts are not being used as a revenue raiser.

The Local Government Transparency Code already requires that councils falling under the code publish contracts over £5,000. The code discourages the use of commercial confidentiality clauses to prevent such publication.

Enforcement authorities should judge the performance of contractors and staff according to how far desired objectives have been achieved. Outcome indicators might include:

- compliance statistics
- the number of appeals
- the localised impact that enforcement appears to have had on relevant offending and the accumulation rate of litter

Performance management of enforcement staff, including rewards or penalties, should never be based on:

- the volume of penalties issued
- a fixed amount of revenue to be raised

Enforcement authorities should have a service level agreement for any enforcement operations carried out by an internal client department on their behalf. This should include the specification terms and conditions required by the client department, just as there would be for a contract with an external service provider. Any such service level agreement should reflect these principles.

Where external contractors are used, private firms should not be able to receive greater revenue or profits just from increasing the volume of penalties. This would conflict with the overall aim of reducing the number of offences committed. Enforcement activity should never be considered as a way to generate income. This could undermine the legitimacy of enforcement and make it less effective at discouraging further ASB.

When issuing a fixed penalty notice, the issuing officer should ensure that the amount is proportionate to the breach. It will not always be appropriate to issue fixed penalty notices of £500 and the issuing officer is expected to consider the severity of the breach and the conduct of the individual as well as other factors the issuing officer deems relevant when deciding the amount of the fixed penalty notice.

Whilst a fixed penalty notice can be issued to someone under 16, other penalties as outlined below, or informal interventions, should initially be considered. It will not always be appropriate for someone under 16 to receive a fixed penalty notice that is payable by their parent or legal guardian. Where it is felt necessary to issue a fixed penalty notice to someone under 16, they should ensure that the amount is proportionate to the breach.

A person who receives a Fixed Penalty Notice may wish to raise concerns about the circumstances in which the notice was issued, including where they believe the enforcement arrangements used by the local authority are inconsistent with the principles set out in this guidance. Local authorities should have appropriate procedures in place to consider such representations and should ensure that any concerns raised are reviewed fairly and impartially.

Local authorities remain responsible for enforcement activity carried out on their behalf by contractors, accredited persons or other authorised officers. Where concerns are raised about the actions of a contractor or the local authority's enforcement arrangements, the authority should consider whether the Fixed Penalty Notice was issued in accordance with the law, this guidance and any relevant local policies.

If a person disputes liability for the alleged offence, they may make representations to the issuing authority. Where a Fixed Penalty Notice is not paid and proceedings are commenced, the recipient may raise any relevant matters before the court, which will determine the case on the facts and the law.

Appeals: Any challenge to the Public Spaces Protection Order must be made in the High Court by an interested person within six weeks of it being made. An “interested person” is someone who lives in, regularly works in, or visits the restricted area. The right to challenge the validity of the order will also exist where an order is varied by a council. An “interested person” will be excluded from challenging the validity of the Order (including a decision to vary the order) by way of judicial review (see: s.66(7) of the 2014 Act). Other persons who fall outside the definition of an “interested person” will have the right to bring a judicial review to challenge the lawfulness of the use of the power to make the PSPO.

Interested persons can challenge the validity of an Order on two grounds. They could argue that the council did not have power to make the order, or to include particular prohibitions or requirements imposed by the order. In addition, the interested person could argue that one of the requirements (for instance, consultation) had not been complied with.

When the application is made, the High Court can decide to suspend the operation of the Public Spaces Protection Order pending the verdict in part or in totality. The High Court will have the power to uphold the Public Spaces Protection Order, quash it, or vary it.

Enforcement: Although Public Spaces Protection Orders are made by the council in an area, enforcement is the responsibility of a wider group. Council officers are able to enforce the restrictions and requirements, as are other groups that they designate, including officers accredited under the community safety accreditation scheme. In addition, police officers can enforce Public Spaces Protection Orders.

Transition of previous orders to Public Spaces Protection Orders

Section 75 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 sets out that where a Gating Order, Dog Control Order or Designated Public Place Order was still in force three years from commencement of the Act (i.e. on 20 October 2017) the provisions of such an order would automatically be treated as if they were provisions of a Public Spaces Protection Order. The transitioned Order would then remain in force up to a maximum of three years from the point of transition i.e. 2020.

Section 75(3) of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 treats transitioned orders as Public Spaces Protection Orders that have already been made. The consultation, notification and publicity requirements in section 72(3) of the Act apply before a Public Spaces Protection Order has been made; the obligation under section 59(8) of the Act to publish arises once a Public Spaces Protection Order has been made.

Councils are not required to undertake a new consultation (or associated publications, and notifications, set out in section 72(3) of the Act) where a Gating Order, Dog Control Order or Designated Public Place Order automatically transitions to a Public Spaces Protection Order after October 2017.

However, local councils should publish the Public Spaces Protection Order online when the Gating Order, Dog Control Order or Designated Public Place Order transitions in order to make the public aware of the specific provisions of the Public Spaces Protection Order.

It will be for local councils to consider what changes to signage are necessary to sufficiently draw the matters set out in Regulation 2 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (Publication of Public Spaces Protection Orders) Regulation 2014 to members of the public's attention.

Any extension, variation or discharge of a transitioned Public Spaces Protection Order would mean that the local council would need to carry out the necessary consultation and publication as required under section 72(3) of the 2014 Act.

2.6 Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order (E-PSPO)

Purpose	Designed to allow local authorities to take rapid action to protect those who work at and use schools, vaccination site and NHS Test and Trace/Test, Trace, Protect sites, from the harm that some protests targeting these sites have been able to cause.
Who can make an Expedited PSPO	Local Authorities can make an Expedited Public Space Protection Order without prior consultation and with the consent of: - the chief officer of police for the police area; - for orders imposed in the vicinity of a school, a person authorised by the appropriate authority for the school in question; - for orders imposed in the vicinity of a vaccination or NHS Test and Trace site, a person authorised by the appropriate NHS authority.
Test	Activities that are part of the test for making an Expedited PSPO: - be in the course of a protest or demonstration; - be in a public space within the vicinity of a school, vaccine or NHS Test & Trace/ Test, Trace, Protect site; - has had or is likely to have the effect of harassing or intimidating staff or volunteers at the school or site, or persons using the services of the school or site; or impeding the provision of services by staff or volunteers at the school or site, or the access of persons seeking to use the services of the school or site; - the effect is, or is likely to be, persistent or continuing in nature; and - the effect is, or is likely to be, unreasonable
Details	- Can last up to 6-months. - Restrictions and requirements are set by the local authority. - These can be blanket restrictions or requirements or can be targeted against certain behaviours by certain groups at certain times. - Can restrict access to public spaces within the vicinity of schools, vaccination and NHS Test & Trace/ Test, Trace, Protect sites. - Can be enforced by a police officer and council officers. - The council must carry out a consultation as soon as reasonably practicable after making an expedited order.
Penalty on breach	- Breach is a criminal offence. - Enforcement officers can issue a fixed penalty notice of up to £500 if appropriate. - A fine of up to level 3 on prosecution.
Appeals	- Anyone who lives in, or regularly works in or visits the area can appeal an Expedited PSPO in the High Court within six weeks of issue. - Further appeal is available each time the Expedited PSPO is varied by the council.
The legislation	Sections 59A to 74 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 as amended by sections 82 of, and Schedule 7 to, the Police, Crime, Sentencing and

	Courts Act 2022 (section 82 of the 2022 Act inserted new sections 59A, 60A, 72A and 72B into the 2014 Act).
Protecting the vulnerable	• Consideration should be given to how the use of this power might impact on the most vulnerable members of society. • Consideration should be given to how use of this power might impact on individuals' rights under the European Convention on Human Rights. • Consideration should also be given to any risks associated with displacement, including to where people may be dispersed to. • There is value in working in partnership to resolve ongoing problems and find long term solutions.

Purpose

Expedited Public Spaces Protection Orders are intended to protect the public from harm that some protests in the vicinity of schools, vaccination centres and NHS Test & Trace (T&T) sites in England or Test, Trace, Protect (TTP) sites in Wales cause. They are intended to help ensure that both the public using services at these sites and the staff and volunteers providing these services, can do so free from intimidation, harassment and impediment of access or provision of services.

An Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order can only be used when specific conditions are met and should not be used as a tool to deal with wider anti-social behaviour or to stop non-disruptive or non-harmful protests.

Given that these orders can restrict someone's right to protest in the vicinity of these sites, it is important that the restrictions imposed are focused on specific and persistent activities that are having a detrimental effect on those using or working at these specific sites. These restrictions must be proportionate and necessary to prevent the behaviour in question from continuing, occurring or recurring.

Who can make an Expedited PSPO?

Similarly to regular Public Spaces Protection Orders, councils are responsible for making Expedited Public Spaces Protection Orders. Full details can be seen in section 2.5.

Details

The legal tests: The legal tests focus on the impact that activities is having on those providing and accessing the services of NHS T&T or TTP sites, vaccination centres, and schools. An Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order can be made by the local authority if they are satisfied on reasonable grounds that the following conditions have been met:

- The public place is in the vicinity of:
 - A school in the local authority's area;
 - A site in the local authority's area which provides vaccines to the public;
 - A site in the local authority's area which provides NHS T&T or TTP services.
- That activities carried out, or likely to be carried out, by individuals in the course of a protest or demonstration in the public place have had, or are likely to have, the effect of:
 - Harassing or intimidating members of the public using the services of the school or site; or impeding their access to the school or site;

- Harassing or intimidating staff or volunteers at the school or site; or impeding the provision of services by them.
- That the effect or likely effect mentioned above is:
 - Is, or likely to be of, a persistent or continuing nature;
 - Is, or is likely to, be unreasonable; and
 - Justifies the restrictions imposed by the expedited order.

Choosing whether an Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order is the right approach for responding to disruptive activities, harassment and intimidation at the sites in scope should be the first step of an effective response.

Where it can apply? The council can make an expedited order only on public spaces within its own area that is in the vicinity of a school, vaccination centre or NHS T&T or TTP site. The definition of public space is wide and includes any place to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission, for example during a school open day.

Schools are taken to include institutions providing primary education, secondary education, or both primary and secondary education.

When can it apply? Councils may not make an expedited order on a particular area if that area has been subject to an expedited order within the last year. Similarly, an expedited order cannot be made on an area which has been subject to a regular Public Spaces Protection Order within the last year which prohibited or required anything which an expedited order could prohibit or require.

Consultation and working with partners: Before making, varying, extending or reducing, or discharging an order the council must obtain consent from relevant authorities. Where the order is in the vicinity of a school, they must obtain consent from:

- the leadership of the school; and
- the chief officer of the police area.

When the order is in the vicinity of a vaccination, T&T or TTP site, councils must obtain consent from:

- the responsible NHS body; and
- the chief officer of the police area.

Unlike regular Public Spaces Protection Orders, there are no consultation requirements before making an Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order. The local authority must carry out a consultation as soon as reasonably practical after the expedited order is made.

The local authority must consult:

- the chief officer of police, and the local policing body, for the police area that includes the restricted area;
- whatever community representatives the local authority thinks it appropriate to consult;
- the owner or occupier of land within the restricted area.

Openness and accountability: As soon as reasonably practicable after making, extending or reducing, varying, or discharging an expedited order, the council must carry out the necessary notification (if any) in accordance with section 72B of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. The council must also carry out the necessary publication in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State – this includes publishing the order as made, extended, reduced or varied on its website and erect a notice or notices of the expedited order. The notice must sufficiently draw the

attention of any member of the public using the place. The same publishing procedure and notice erection applies to where an order is discharged and the date on which it ceases to have effect.

What to include in an expedited Public Spaces Protection Order. These orders can restrict individuals' freedoms of expression and assembly. It is important that the restrictions imposed are proportionate and justify the restriction of these freedoms. Restrictions should focus on specific behaviours and be proportionate to the detrimental effect that the behaviour is causing or can cause. They should be necessary to prevent harmful behaviour from continuing, occurring or recurring.

Duration of an expedited order: An Expedited Public Spaces Protection may not last more than six-months. The length of an expedited order can be reduced or extended within this limit by the council. Following the expiration of an expedited order, no further expedited order can be made in relation to a place where the earlier order took effect, until one year following the expiry of the earlier order. This includes any earlier PSPO which neither prohibited nor required anything that could not have been prohibited or required by an expedited order.

Changing the terms of an Expedited Public Spaces Protection Order: The terms of an Expedited Public Spaces Protection Orders can only be varied to address behaviour within the scope of these orders. Similarly, to when making an expedited order, there are no consultation requirements for varying an order. However, the council must receive consent from the relevant authorities as when making an order.

Restricting access, penalty on breach, appeals and enforcement: The guidance in section 2.5 for regular Public Spaces Protection Orders on restricting access, penalties on breach appeals and enforcement also apply to expedited Public Spaces Protection Orders.

2.7 Closure Power

Purpose	To allow the police or council to close premises quickly which are being used, or likely to be used, to commit nuisance or disorder.
Applicants	- Local council. - County Council (only in Wales and only in England if there is no district council in the area). - Police. - Registered social housing providers
Test	The following has occurred, or is likely to occur, if the closure power is not used: (a) Closure Notice (up to 72 hours): - Nuisance to the public; or - Disorder near those premises. (b) Closure Order (up to six months): - Disorderly, offensive or criminal behaviour on the premises; - Serious nuisance to the public, or - Disorder near the premises.
Details	- A police officer, local authority or registered social housing provider can issue a Closure Notice. Following this, the application for a Closure Order must be heard by the magistrates' court not later than 48 hours after service of the closure notice. Notice: can close premises for up to 72 hours out of court but cannot stop owner or those who habitually live there accessing the premises. Order: can close premises for up to six months and can restrict all access. - Both the Notice and the Order can cover any land or any other place, whether enclosed or not including residential, business, non-business and licensed premises.
Penalty on breach	Breach is a criminal offence. Notice: Up to three months in prison. Order: Up to 6 months in prison. - Both: Up to an unlimited fine for residential and non-residential premises.
Who can appeal	- Any person who the Closure Notice was served on; - Any person who had not been served the Closure Notice but has an interest in the premises; - The council (where Closure Order was not made and they issued the notice); - The police (where Closure Order was not made and they issued the notice); - Registered social housing providers (where Closure Order was not made and they issued the notice)
The legislation	Sections 76 to 93 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, as amended by Crime and Policing Act 2026.



Purpose

The closure power is a fast, flexible power that can be used to protect victims and communities by quickly closing premises that are causing nuisance or disorder.


The power comes in two stages; the Closure Notice and the Closure Order which are intrinsically linked. The Closure Notice can be used by the council or the police out of court.

Following the issuing of a Closure Notice, an application must be made to the magistrates' court for a Closure Order, unless the closure notice has been cancelled.

Applicants

The Closure Order can be applied for by the local council, county council (only in Wales and only in England if there is no district council in the area), police and registered social housing providers. Registered social housing providers include both for profit and non-profit in England and non-profit only in Wales.


The legal test for issuing a closure notice



A closure notice may be authorised by an inspector for up to 48 hours. It may only be extended beyond 48 hours, up to a maximum of 72 hours, by a police superintendent, the local authority chief executive or their designate, or a member of the senior management of a registered provider of social housing.

If a closure notice is issued by a police officer of at least superintendent rank, a local authority chief executive or their delegate, or a senior manager of a registered provider of social housing, it may be issued for up to 72 hours.

The legal test for issuing a closure order



A Closure Order can subsequently be issued if the court is satisfied:

- that a person has engaged, or (if the order is not made) is likely to engage, in disorderly, offensive or criminal behaviour on the premises; or
- that the use of the premises has resulted, or (if the order is not made) is likely to result, in serious nuisance to members of the public; or
- that there has been, or (if the order is not made) is likely to be, disorder near those premises associated with the use of those premises, and that the order is necessary to prevent the behaviour, nuisance or disorder from continuing, recurring or occurring.

The Crime and Policing Act 2026 gives the Secretary of State new powers to amend the duration of closure orders subject to a targeted consultation, which was launched in June 2026. ***(NB: Further detail will be added on this following the consultation).***

Details

A Closure Notice cannot prohibit access in respect of anyone who habitually lives on the premises. This means that the notice cannot prohibit those who routinely or regularly live at those premises. It is therefore unlikely to disallow access to, for example, students who live away from the family home for part of the year but routinely return to the family home or those who spend the majority of the week living at the pub in which they work. However, a Closure Order, granted by the court, can prohibit access to those who routinely live at the premises.

In prohibiting access through a Closure Notice it will be important to consider who is responsible for the premises and who may need access to secure the premises. This might not always be the owner, for example an individual managing premises on behalf of an owner who lives abroad may need to secure the premises on their behalf.

The 2014 Act provides a power of entry for the purpose of serving a closure notice. The constable or local authority representative may enter any premises, using reasonable force if necessary, for the purposes of fixing a copy of the notice to at least one prominent place on the premises. This does not create a general power of entry to enforce a closure notice or closure order once it is in place. Where residents or occupiers refuse access, police and other agencies should consider how compliance can be monitored and managed through lawful and proportionate means, including visible patrols, engagement with occupiers and landlords, liaison with housing or local authority officers, use of available intelligence, and prompt action where there is evidence of breach or further offending. Agencies should ensure that any proposed entry to premises is supported by an appropriate legal power or warrant, and should keep clear records of attempts to engage, concerns about compliance, and any action taken. Where access issues are affecting the effective management of a notice or order, this should be addressed through partnership working and, where necessary, by seeking legal advice on the appropriate enforcement route.

Approvals: The level or role of employee within the council who can issue a notice for up to 24 hours has not been specified due to the different structures in place in different areas. Local authorities should ensure that the decision is authorised by an officer with sufficient seniority, relevant operational knowledge and delegated authority to assess necessity, proportionality and risk. Local authorities should set out their own approval arrangements in accordance with local governance structures and ensure decisions are recorded clearly.

In considering who should be authorised as designates of the chief executive officer for the issuing of the 72-hour notice, councils will also want to consider who is delegated to issue the Closure Notice for 24 hours and consider whether the extension to 72 hours should be authorised by an officer of greater seniority, as is the case for the police. This may take into consideration the need for the power to be used quickly, its flexible nature, and equivalent requirement for a police inspector to issue a Closure Notice for 24 hours.

A registered social housing provider may only issue a notice in respect of premises owned or managed by that provider. The notice must be signed by an individual who is part of the registered social housing provider's senior management.

The relevant agency must review the closure notice after 48 hours and deem if it is still needed for a further 24 hours and subsequently needs to be progressed to a closure order. Where this is not necessary, the relevant agency should withdraw the application made to the magistrates' court.

Notifications: With every issue of a Closure Notice, an application must be made to the magistrates' court for a Closure Order. Where the intention is to cancel the notice prior to the end of the 72-hour period because a Closure Order or a temporary order is not deemed necessary, this should be communicated to the court on application for a hearing for the Closure Order.

The relevant agencies will want to consider when the courts will be able to hear the application for the Closure Order. The courts are required to hear the application within 72 hours of the service of the Closure Notice. This 72-hour period for the courts excludes Christmas day. To avoid undue pressure on the courts to hear applications for Closure Orders within 72 hours of serving the

Putting victims first: The issuing body should undertake to inform the victim of the anti-social behaviour of the Closure Notice and to inform them of the details of the Closure Order hearing where possible and appropriate.

Closure Notice, careful thought should be given as to exactly when to serve the Closure Notice. Where possible, it is advisable to liaise with the court's listing office before serving the Closure Notice so that victims can be effectively protected at the earliest opportunity.

Temporary orders: Courts can consider giving an extension of the Closure Notice if required. This can be considered as an option by the magistrates' court at the hearing for the Closure Order. The court can order a Closure Notice to stay in force for a further 72 hours if it is satisfied that this meets the test required for a Closure Notice.

A court may also order that a Closure Notice continue in force for a period of not more than 14 days in circumstances where the hearing is adjourned. A hearing can be adjourned for no more than 14 days to enable the occupier or anyone with an interest in the premises to show why a Closure Order should not be made.

Partnership working: Consultation is required as part of the Closure Notice. Before issuing a notice, the police, council or registered social housing provider must ensure that they consult with anyone they think appropriate and each other. This should include the victim but could also include other members of the public that may be affected positively or negatively by the closure, community representatives, other organisations and bodies, the police or local council (where not the issuing organisation) or others that regularly use the premises. In particular, consideration should be given to consult with the police (where the police are not the issuing organisation) at the earliest opportunity so as not to compromise any undercover/surveillance work. There may also be people who use the premises as access to other premises that are not subject to the closure notice but may be impacted on by the closure.

The method of consultation will depend on the situation and urgency. The police, council or registered social housing provider will want to consider how to keep a record of those consulted in case challenged at a later date (for instance, as part of a court case).

What to include in a Closure Notice? The Closure Notice should:

- identify the premises;
- explain the effect of the notice;
- state that failure to comply with the notice is an offence;
- state that an application will be made for a closure order;
- specify when and where the application will be heard;
- explain the effect of the closure order; and
- give information about the names of, and means of contacting, persons and organisations in the area that provide advice about housing and legal matters.

Information should be displayed clearly in simple language, avoiding the use of jargon.

Putting victims first: It is not necessary to include information about those consulted within an order so as to protect those who may have made a complaint from any retribution. However, the officer issuing the Closure Notice should keep a record of those consulted.

Access: There may be times where the closure of premises through a Closure Order has a wider impact. An item may have been left in the premises or access has become restricted to other premises. Where an item has been left on premises it is expected that the police, local council and registered social housing provider will use their discretion in either allowing access temporarily to enable the individual to retrieve their item or retrieving the item on their behalf. Where an individual access' the premises themselves without communication to the police, council or registered social housing provider they commit an offence unless they have a reasonable excuse. It is therefore sensible for the issuing authority to have clear communication with individuals affected.

It would be advisable in the cases of full closures to allow a reasonable time frame for persons to remove items they will need for the period of the closure before any access is restricted. Effective service of the notice is vital so that this can be explained in detail to the occupier of the premises.

Where a Closure Order restricts access to other premises or part of other premises that are not subject to a Closure Order the individuals affected will be able to apply to the appropriate court to have the order considered. The court may make any order that it thinks appropriate. This may be a variation order to vary the terms of the order or it could cancel the order if considered inappropriate for it to remain in place.

An authorised person may enter the premises in respect of which a closure order is in force, including to secure it against entry. Where a police constable has made the order, an authorised person is a constable or a person authorised by the chief officer of police for the area in which the premises are situated. Where a local authority has made the order, an authorised person is a person authorised by that local authority. Where a registered social housing provider has made the order, an authorised person is someone who is authorised by a senior manager of that provider.

There is no absolute requirement for local authorities always to provide alternative accommodation; it will be fact specific to the particular situation. Local authorities should take advice from housing lawyers before issuing a closure order which could make any individuals homeless.

Penalty on breach: An offence is committed when a person, without reasonable excuse, remains on or enters premises in contravention of a Closure Notice or a Closure Order.

Closure Notice and temporary order: Breaching a Closure Notice or temporary order is a criminal offence carrying a penalty of either imprisonment for a period of up to three months or an unlimited fine or both.

Closure Order: Breaching a Closure Order is a criminal offence carrying a penalty of either imprisonment for a period of up to six months or an unlimited fine, or both.

Obstruction: It is a criminal offence to obstruct a police officer or local council employee who is:

- serving a Closure Notice, cancellation notice or variation notice;
- entering the premises; or
- securing the premises.

This offence carries a penalty of either imprisonment for a period of up to three months or an unlimited fine, or both.

Who can appeal: A Closure Notice cannot be appealed. A Closure Order can be appealed. Appeals are to the Crown Court and must be made within 21 days beginning with the date of the decision to which the appeal relates.

An appeal against the decision to issue the order may be made by:

- a person who was served the Closure Notice; or
- anyone who has an interest in the premises upon whom the notice was not served.

Where the court decides not to issue a closure order the following may appeal:

- the police may only appeal where they issued the Closure Notice;


- the local council may only appeal where they issued the Closure Notice;
- The registered social housing provider may only appeal where they issued the Closure Notice.

On appeal, the Crown Court may make whatever order it thinks appropriate. If the premises is licensed the court must inform the licensing authority. It should also be considered whether it is appropriate and possible to update the victim on the progress of the case.

Non-statutory Guidance

2.8 Absolute ground for possession

Overview	The 2014 Act introduced a new absolute ('mandatory') ground for possession of secure and assured tenancies where anti-social behaviour or criminality has already been proven by another court.
Purpose	The absolute ('mandatory') ground expedites the eviction of landlords' most anti-social tenants to bring faster relief to victims in England. Different possession arrangements apply in Wales under the Renting Homes (Wales) Act 2016.
Applicants / Who can use the new ground	• England: Social landlords (local authorities and housing associations) and private rented sector landlords may rely on the absolute ground where the statutory conditions are met. • Wales: Landlords should refer to the relevant possession provisions in the Renting Homes (Wales) Act 2016.
Test	The tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has met one of the following conditions: • convicted of a serious offence (specified in Schedule 2A to the Housing Act 1985); • found by a court to have breached a respect order; • convicted for breaching a criminal behaviour order (CBO); • convicted for breaching a noise abatement notice; or • the tenant's property has been closed for more than 72 hours under a closure order for anti-social behaviour.
Details	• Offence/breach needs to have occurred in the locality of the property or affected a person with a right to live in the locality or affected the landlord or their staff/contractors; • Secure tenants of local housing authorities will have a statutory right to request a review of the landlord's decision to seek possession. Private registered providers of social housing in England, and Registered Social Landlords and stock holding local authorities in Wales are encouraged to adopt a similar practice. • Private landlords subject to licensing regimes (such as selective licencing, or for a House in Multiple Occupation) must take reasonable steps to manage ASB and may be required to take steps to reduce any ASB. They may also have to follow a reasonable anti-social behaviour policy
Result of action	• If the above test is met, the court must grant a possession order (subject to any available human rights defence raised by the tenant, including proportionality), where the landlord is a social landlord) where the correct procedure has been followed.
Important changes/differences	• Unlike the discretionary grounds for possession, the landlord is not required to prove to the court that it is reasonable to grant possession. This means the court is more likely to determine cases in a single, short hearing; • This offers better protection and faster relief for victims and witnesses of anti-social behaviour, saves landlords costs, and frees up court resources and time; • It provides flexibility for landlords to obtain possession through this route for persistently anti-social tenants; • The court cannot postpone possession to a date later than 14 days after the making of the order except in exceptional circumstances and cannot postpone for later than six weeks in any event.
The legislation	Sections 94 to 100 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 Schedule 2, Part I of the Housing Act 1988 Section 84A of the Housing Act 1985



Overview

Prevention and early intervention should be at the heart of all landlords' approaches to dealing with anti-social behaviour.

Purpose

Prevention and early intervention should be at the heart of all landlords' approaches to dealing with anti-social behaviour. However, the mandatory ground for possession was introduced to speed up the possession process in cases where anti-social behaviour or criminality has been already been proven by another court. This strikes a better balance between the rights of victims and perpetrators and provides swifter relief for those victims. The mandatory ground for possession is intended to be used in the most serious cases and landlords are encouraged to ensure that the ground is used selectively.

Details

Informing the tenant: Landlords should ensure that tenants are aware from the commencement of their tenancy that anti-social behaviour or criminality either by the tenant, people living with them, or their visitors could lead to a loss of their home under the absolute ground.

Applicants: The mandatory ground is available for secure and assured tenancies and can be used by both social landlords and private rented sector landlords. Private rented sector landlords are also able to use the 'no fault' ground for possession, in section 21 of the Housing Act 1988, where this is available. This does not require the tenant to be in breach of any of the terms of their tenancy and, therefore, does not require the landlord to show that it is reasonable to grant possession as long as the relevant notice has been served. However, the 'no fault' ground can only be used at the end of the fixed term of the tenancy, which must be at least six months from the initial inception of the tenancy. The mandatory ground should assist private rented sector landlords to end tenancies quickly in cases of serious anti-social behaviour or criminality that occur during the fixed term of an assured short-hold tenancy.

The legal test for granting absolute grounds for possession:

The court must grant possession (subject to any available human rights defence raised by the tenant, including proportionality, where the landlord is a social landlord) provided the landlord has followed the correct procedure and at least one of the following five conditions is met:

the tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has been convicted of a serious offence.	the tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has been found by a court to have breached a Civil Injunction.	the tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has been convicted for breaching a Criminal Behaviour Order.	the tenant's property has been closed for more than 72 hours under a closure order for anti- social behaviour.	the tenant, a member of the tenant's household, or a person visiting the property has been convicted for breaching a noise abatement notice or order.
--	--	--	--	---

The offence or anti-social behaviour must have been committed in, or in the locality of, the property, affected a person with a right to live in the locality of the property or affected the landlord or the landlord's staff or contractors.

Serious offences for this purpose include, for example: violent and sexual offences and those relating to offensive weapons, drugs and damage to property. A list of the relevant offences is found in Schedule 2A to the Housing Act 1985.

The ground is available to landlords in addition to the discretionary grounds for possession set out in Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1985 for secure tenants and Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1988 for assured tenants. Landlords are able to choose to use the absolute ground, in addition to or instead of the discretionary grounds for anti-social behaviour where one or more of the five conditions are met.

Partnership working: Close working relationships with the police, local councils and other local agencies are important to ensure that the landlord is always aware when one or more of the triggers for the absolute ground has occurred.

Secured and Assured Tenancies

Secure tenants are generally tenants of local councils. Apart from the absolute ground, secure tenants can be evicted from their property through discretionary grounds for possession in Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1985.

Tenants of housing associations generally have **non-shorthold assured tenancies**. They can be evicted under mandatory grounds for possession provided for in Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1988 (for example, for rent arrears) as well as discretionary grounds for possession. Housing association tenants may have assured shorthold tenancies in some instances. Where this is the case the landlord can use section 21 of the Housing Act 1988 as well as the grounds for possession in Schedule 2.

Private rented sector tenants generally have **assured shorthold tenancies**

Notice requirements: In order to seek possession under the absolute ground, landlords must serve a notice of the proceedings on the tenant, either:

- within 12 months of the relevant conviction or finding of the court being relied on (or if there is an appeal against the finding or conviction within 12 months of the appeal being finally determined, abandoned or withdrawn); or
- within 3 months where the tenant's property has been closed under a closure order (or if there is an appeal against the making of the closure order, within three months of the appeal being finally determined, abandoned or withdrawn).

The minimum notice period for periodic tenancies is four weeks, or the tenancy period (i.e. the rent period) if longer. In the case of a fixed term tenancy the minimum notice period is one month. The notice is valid for 12 months.

The notice must include the following information:

- the landlord's intention to seek possession under the absolute ground;
- the reasons why they are seeking possession;
- which of the five conditions for the absolute ground the landlord proposes to rely on;
- the relevant conviction, finding of the court, or closure order the landlord proposes to rely on;
- details of any right that the tenant may have to request a review of the landlord's

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- decision to seek possession, and the time within which the request must be made;
- where and how a tenant may seek advice on the notice; and
- the date after which possession proceedings may be begun.

If the landlord wishes to seek possession on one or more of the discretionary grounds as well, they must also specify and give details of the relevant discretionary ground/s in the notice.

There are no prescribed forms of notice for the absolute ground for secure tenancies. In the case of secure tenancies, section 83ZA of the Housing Act 1985 (inserted by section 95 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014) specifies that prescribed information must be contained in the notice. However, there is a prescribed form for Notices Seeking Possession under ground 7A in Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1988, which applies to assured and assured shorthold tenancies.

The provisions of section 83ZA (4) also makes clear that where possession of a secure tenancy is being sought under the absolute ground as well as one of the grounds in Schedule 2 of the 1985 Act, the notice need not be served in a form prescribed by regulations as required by section 83 of the 1985 Act but should follow the requirements of section 83ZA in such circumstances.

In the case of assured tenancies, section 97 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 has amended section 8 of the 1988 Act to modify the notice requirements for possession under assured tenancies to take account of the absolute ground.

The court has no power to dispense with service of a notice for possession under the absolute ground. Therefore, where a landlord decides to seek possession for anti-social behaviour on the absolute ground alongside one or more of the discretionary grounds, the court will not be able to dispense with the notice as they would have been able to do if the possession was sought solely on the discretionary ground.

The review procedure:

- Local council tenants have a statutory right to request a review of the landlord's decision to seek possession under the new absolute ground.
- The request for a review must be made in writing within seven days of the notice to seek possession being served on the tenant.
- The review must be carried out before the end of the notice.
- The landlord must communicate the outcome of the review to the tenant in writing.
- If the decision is to confirm the original decision to seek possession, the landlord must also notify the tenant of the reasons for the decision.
- If the review upholds the original decision, the landlord will proceed by applying to the court for the possession order.

Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals

- The statutory review procedure does not apply to housing associations tenants. However, we expect housing associations to offer a similar non-statutory review procedure (in the same way that they have done for starter tenancies for example).

Putting victims first: In preparation for the court process, landlords should consider:

- reassuring victims and witnesses by letting them know what they can expect to happen in court;
- using professional witnesses where possible; and
- taking necessary practical steps with court staff to reassure and protect vulnerable victims and witnesses in court (e.g. the provision of separate waiting areas and accompanying them to and from court).

A private rented sector landlord may be required to follow an Anti-Social Behaviour policy, or to take reasonable steps to manage ASB, if their property is licenced. Tenants can find out if their property is licenced by contacting the local housing authority.

Landlords should also consider providing support/protection for victims and witnesses out of court, at home, and beyond the end of the possession proceedings when necessary.

Court hearing and defences: Tenants are entitled to a court hearing. As with other grounds of possession, tenants of public authorities or landlords carrying out a public function are able to raise any available human rights defence, including proportionality, against the possession proceedings.

The court will consider whether such a defence meets the high threshold of being ‘seriously arguable’ established by the Supreme Court. Subject to any available human rights defence raised by the tenant, the court must grant an order for possession where the landlord has followed the correct procedure.

Suspension of possession order: The court may not postpone the giving up of possession to a date later than 14 days after the making of the order; unless exceptional hardship would result in which case it may be postponed for up to six weeks.

Important differences

Unlike with the discretionary grounds for possession, landlords do not need to prove to the court that it is reasonable to grant possession. This means that the court will be more likely to determine cases in a single hearing, thereby expediting the process.

The mandatory ground is an additional tool which provides more flexibility for landlords but is applicable only in limited circumstances – where a court has already found a tenant or member of their household guilty of anti-social behaviour or criminality in the locality of the property.

The court has no power to dispense with service of a notice for possession under the mandatory ground as they can do under the discretionary ground for anti-social behaviour.

Local council tenants have a statutory right to request a review of the landlord's decision to seek possession under the absolute ground. We expect housing associations to make a similar non-statutory review procedure available to their tenants.

The court only has the discretion to suspend a possession order made under the absolute ground to a date no later than 14 days after the making of the order (unless it appears to the court that exceptional hardship would be caused, in which case it may be postponed to a date no later than six weeks after the making of the order).

Position in Wales

Practitioners should be aware that the possession framework in Wales differs from that in England following implementation of the **Renting Homes (Wales) Act 2016**. References in this section to secure and assured tenancies, and to the absolute ground for possession under the Housing Act 1985 and Housing Act 1988, reflect the position in England.

In Wales, social landlords and private landlords operate under the occupation contract framework established by the Renting Homes (Wales) Act 2016. Landlords in Wales should have regard to the relevant possession grounds and procedures set out in that Act when considering action in response to anti-social behaviour.

Where this guidance refers to possession proceedings or mandatory possession grounds, practitioners should ensure they apply the legislative framework relevant to their jurisdiction. The powers available, legal tests and procedural requirements may differ between England and Wales.

Annex A- Data sharing guidance

Addressing anti-social behaviour (ASB) often includes a range of partners and it is most effectively tackled using a multi-agency response. The ASB principles highlight the ambition for agencies and practitioners to work across boundaries to identify, assess and tackle ASB. Lawful data sharing has an important role within this process.

UK GDPR⁹ and DPA 2018¹⁰ are the principal legislation governing the process of data relating to individuals. The ICO's guide to the GDPR can be found on the ICO website¹¹.

Article 5 of the GDPR sets out seven key principles which lie at the heart of the general data protection regime. Article 5(1) requires that personal data shall be:

- processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to individuals ('lawfulness, fairness and transparency');
- collected for specified, explicit and legitimate purposes and not further processed in a manner that is incompatible with those purposes; further processing for archiving purposes in the public interest, scientific or historical research purposes or statistical purposes shall not be considered to be incompatible with the initial purposes ('purpose limitation');
- adequate, relevant and limited to what is necessary in relation to the purposes for which they are processed ('data minimisation');
- accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date; every reasonable step must be taken to ensure that personal data that are inaccurate, having regard to the purposes for which they are processed, are erased or rectified without delay ('accuracy');
- kept in a form which permits identification of data subjects for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which the personal data are processed; personal data may be stored for longer periods insofar as the personal data will be processed solely for archiving purposes in the public interest, scientific or historical research purposes or statistical purposes subject to implementation of the appropriate technical and organisational measures required by the GDPR in order to safeguard the rights and freedoms of individuals ('storage limitation');
- processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data, including protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage, using appropriate technical or organisational measures ('integrity and confidentiality')

⁹ UK GDPR- <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr/>

¹⁰ DPA 2018 – <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2018/12/contents/enacted>

¹¹ ICO guide to GDPR – <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr/lawful-basis-for-processing/special-category-data/>

The lawful bases for processing, that can be found on the ICO website, are replicated here:¹²

- **Consent:** the individual has given clear consent for you to process their personal data for a specific purpose.
- **Contract:** the processing is necessary for a contract you have with the individual, or because they have asked you to take specific steps before entering into a contract.
- **Legal obligation:** the processing is necessary for you to comply with the law (not including contractual obligations).
- **Vital interests:** the processing is necessary to protect someone's life.
- **Public task:** the processing is necessary for you to perform a task in the public interest or for your official functions, and the task or function has a clear basis in law
- **Legitimate interests:** the processing is necessary for your legitimate interests or the legitimate interests of a third party, unless there is a good reason to protect the individual's personal data which overrides those legitimate interests. (This cannot apply if you are a public authority processing data to perform your official tasks.)

It is the responsibility of agencies to consider their role in relation to data sharing as part of the response to ASB. Information governance and legal teams should be engaged where deemed appropriate to ensure data sharing is necessary, proportionate and legal. Organisations should also document the nature, basis and agreement of data sharing in line with the GDPR principle of accountability. This will include having data sharing agreements in place among all the parties involved that are regularly updated and signed-off at the appropriate level.

¹² <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr/lawful-basis-for-processing/>

Annex B – Example risk assessment

Agencies **must** complete a risk assessment checklist prior to applying for a respect order, youth injunction or housing injunction. The below is an example of a risk assessment checklist. Agencies are free to use the below or create their own, depending on local need. The example below does not include an exhaustive list, and agencies should consider other questions relevant to the individual case.

Consideration should be given to providing the risk assessment checklist as part of the application to court as it may provide the court with relevant contextual factors when making a decision to grant the Order/Injunction.

Risk assessment checklist for respect order/youth injunction/housing injunction

1. Before commencing proceedings, the applicant should consider whether an early intervention approach or alternative approach would be appropriate. Examples of early and alternative interventions include informal warnings, mediation and acceptable behaviour contracts. Details of informal interventions can be found earlier in the guidance.

Have you considered use of any informal interventions prior to deciding to apply for a respect order, youth injunction or housing injunction?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below, including any informal interventions that have already been used:

2. Before commencing proceedings, the applicant should consider use of other formal tools and powers available through the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. This could include, for example, the Community Protection Warning/Notice or the Closure Power.

Have you considered use of any formal powers available in the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below:

3. If the applicant is aware that the proposed respondent is potentially vulnerable, and/or has mental health issues, consideration should take place at the earliest possible stage, as to:
- a. Whether or not the proposed respondent has the mental capacity to defend a claim for a respect order/youth injunction/housing injunction and, if not, after consultation with appropriate bodies, as to how to make arrangements for an application for the appointment of a litigation friend in accordance with CPR 21;
 - b. Whether the proposed respondent should be directed to the NHS Liaison and Diversion Service or other health professional;
 - c. Whether or not any issues arise under the Equality Act 2010;
 - d. Whether or not there is a need for a community case assessment in accordance with the Care Act 2014;
 - e. What steps can be taken to enable the proposed respondent to fully participate in the proceedings and (if they wish to do so) to give their best evidence.

Have you considered the respondent's vulnerability and mental health capacity in line with the above?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below, highlighting the rationale and weighing up the vulnerability of the victims/community against the vulnerability of the respondents:

4. Before commencing proceedings, the applicant should consider whether the order to be sought should include any positive requirements for the purpose of preventing the proposed respondent from engaging in anti-social behaviour, and if so, should identify such requirement(s) and the person(s) who should be responsible for supervising compliance.

Have you considered use of any positive requirements?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below:

5. Have you considered the vulnerability of the victim, including:
- a. How the behaviour is affecting their physical and mental health;
 - b. If the victim is a repeat victim;
 - c. If there are children living at the address who affected by the behaviour; and
 - d. Other relevant contextual factors.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below:

If yes, have you considered all safeguarding concerns, including completing a full safeguarding referral form?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below, including highlighting any safeguarding measures that have been put in place:

6. The respect order permits a person to be excluded from their home where the anti-social behaviour includes the use, or threatened use, of violence against other persons, or there is

a significant risk of harm. Agencies should only apply for an exclusion from home in exceptional circumstances, taking into account the vulnerability of the victim and the harm being caused by the perpetrator. Agencies should also consider the vulnerability of the perpetrator, and any factors that are contributing to their behaviour. Other informal interventions or anti-social behaviour powers may be appropriate prior to applying for an exclusion from home.

If applying for an exclusion from home, have you considered all contextual factors, including the vulnerability of the victim and the perpetrator, as well as other interventions?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below. It is vital that rationale is documented if seeking to exclude someone from their home:

7. Have you thought about the implications of publicising the order, in particular in relation to the youth injunction for children. Particular consideration should be given to the benefits and risks of informing the public about the order and the impact it will have on both the perpetrator and the victim?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below:

Youth injunction only

8. If the proposed respondent is under 18, consultation in relation to the proposed application should take place with the Youth Offending Team at the earliest possible stage. Consideration should also be given at an early stage as to whether or not any issues arise under the Children Act 1989 and/or the Children Act 2004.

Have you considered consulting with the Youth Offending Team as well as considering any issues arising under the Children Act 1989 and/or the Children Act 2004?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Expand below, including any details of the consultation:

